#### **Recurrence on the space of lattices**

# Yves Benoist joint work with J.F. Quint

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ の < @

Seoul ICM 2014

## Abstract

We will describe the orbit closures  $F = \overline{\Gamma x_0}$  in the space X of lattices of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  when the Zariski closure of the group  $\Gamma$  is semisimple.

We will need to know that a random walk on X is recurrent as soon as the support of its transition law  $\mu$  is compact and spans  $\Gamma$ .

A key point will be the construction of a proper function f on X which is contracted by the law  $\mu$ .

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

1. Dynamics of  $\Gamma$  on  $G/\Lambda$ .

Let G be a real Lie group,  $\Lambda \subset G$  a lattice,  $X = G/\Lambda$ ,  $\Gamma \subset G$  a subgroup such that the Zariski closure of Ad( $\Gamma$ ) is semisimple with no compact factor.

i.e.  $vol(G/\Lambda) < \infty$ . i.e. the smallest algebraic subgroup of  $GL(\mathfrak{g})$  containing  $Ad(\Gamma)$ .

**Example:**  $X = SL(d, \mathbb{R})/SL(d, \mathbb{Z})$ , i.e.  $X = \{$  lattices x in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  of covolume 1  $\}$ ,  $\Gamma =$  Zariski dense subgroup in  $H = SL(d_1, \mathbb{R}) \times SL(d_2, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $d = d_1 + d_2$ .

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Orbit closure Theorem 1 For all  $x_0$  in X, the orbit closure  $F := \overline{\Gamma x_0}$  in X is homogeneous of finite volume. (Margulis-Shah Conjecture)

A D F A 同 F A E F A E F A Q A

i.e.  $G_F := \{g \in G \mid gF = F\}$  is transitive on *F*.

i.e. *F* supports a  $G_F$ -invariant probability  $\nu_F$ .

Let  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(G)$  be a probability measure on G whose support is compact and spans  $\Gamma$ .

Stationary Measure Theorem 2 Every  $\mu$ -ergodic  $\mu$ -stationary probability measure  $\nu$  on X is  $\Gamma$ -invariant and homogeneous. (Furstenberg Conjecture)

i.e.  $\nu$  is extremal among the  $\mu$ -stationary ones. i.e.  $\mu * \nu = \nu$  where  $\mu * \nu = \int_G g_* \nu d\mu(g)$ . i.e.  $G_{\nu} := \{g \in G \mid g_* \nu = \nu\}$  is transitive on  $\operatorname{supp}(\nu)$ .

Remark. The set of  $\Gamma$ -invariant, ergodic and homogeneous probability measures on *X* is a countable union of *L*-orbits where *L* is the centralizer of  $\Gamma$ .

Equidistribution Theorem 3 For all  $x_0$  in X, the sequence of probability  $\nu_n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \mu^{*k} * \delta_{x_0}$  converges to  $\nu_F$  with  $F = \overline{\Gamma x_0}$ .

Why does Theorem 2 implies Theorems 1 and 3? Any weak sublimit  $\nu_{\infty}$  of the sequence  $\nu_n$  is  $\mu$ -stationary and supported on F. One has to check that (*i*)  $\nu_{\infty}$  is a probability measure, (*ii*)  $\nu_{\infty}(LF') = 0$  for any  $\Gamma$ -invariant finite volume homogeneous set F' that does not meet Lx.

The end of this talk is devoted to (*i*) no mass escape.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

#### 2. Random walk on $X = G/\Lambda$

**Recurrence Theorem 4** For all  $x_0$  in X,  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a compact set  $K \subset X$  such that, for all  $n \ge 1$ ,  $\mu^{*n} * \delta_{x_0}(K^c) \le \varepsilon$ . (Eskin-Margulis Conjecture)

### It is enough to check the

Existence of f There exists a proper function  $f: X \to [0, \infty]$ , finite at  $x_0$ , and contracted by  $\mu$ .

i.e. for all T > 0,  $f^{-1}([0, T])$  is relatively compact. i.e. there exist a < 1, b > 0 such that  $P_{\mu}f \le af + b$ , where  $P_{\mu}f(x) = \int_{G} f(gx) d\mu(g)$ .

When the centralizer L is trivial, Theorem 4 is due to Eskin-Margulis and the function f is finite everywhere.

A.  $X = SL(2, \mathbb{R})/SL(2, \mathbb{Z}), H = SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ 

Choose  $\alpha_1(x) := \min\{\|v\| \mid v \in x \setminus 0\}$  and  $f = \alpha_1^{-\delta}$ .

\* *f* is proper, by Mahler compactness criterion.

 $\star$  *f* is contracted by  $\mu$ , as soon as  $\delta$  is given by the

General Fact (Furstenberg, Eskin, Margulis) When V is an irreducible non-trivial representation of H, there exist a < 1,  $n_0 \ge 1$ ,  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\int_G \|gv\|^{-\delta} d\mu^{*n_0}(g) \le a \|v\|^{-\delta}$ , for all v in V.

Indeed, when the min is almost achieved at two non-colinear vectors v and w, the value f(x) is uniformly bounded, because

 $1 \leq \| \boldsymbol{v} \wedge \boldsymbol{w} \| \leq \| \boldsymbol{v} \| \| \boldsymbol{w} \|.$ Hence  $P_{\mu} f \leq a f + b$ .

**B.**  $X = SL(3, \mathbb{R})/SL(3, \mathbb{Z}), H = SL(3, \mathbb{R})$ 

Choose  $\alpha_1(x) := \min\{\|v\| \mid v \in x \smallsetminus 0\},\$ 

 $\alpha_2(x) := \alpha_1(x^*)$  where  $x^*$  is the dual lattice,

 $f_1 = \alpha_1^{-\delta}, f_2 = \alpha_2^{-\delta}, \text{ and } f = f_1 + f_2.$ 

One has  $P_{\mu}f_1 \leq af_1 + M\sqrt{f_2}$ , for some M > 0,

and also  $P_{\mu}f_2 \leq af_2 + M\sqrt{f_1}$ .

Indeed, when the min is almost achieved at two non-colinear vectors *v* and *w*, the value  $f_1(x)$  is bounded by a multiple of  $\sqrt{f_2(x)}$ , because

 $\|v \wedge w\| \leq \|v\| \|w\|$ , for all v, w in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

Hence  $P_{\mu}f \leq af + b$ .

**C.**  $X = \operatorname{SL}(d, \mathbb{R}) / \operatorname{SL}(d, \mathbb{Z}), H = \operatorname{SL}(d, \mathbb{R})$ 

Choose  $\alpha_i(x) := \min\{||u|| \mid u \in \Lambda^i x \smallsetminus 0 \text{ pure tensor}\},\$ 

$$f_i = \alpha_i^{-\delta}$$
,  $f_0 = f_d = 1$  and  $f = \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \varepsilon_0^{(d-i)i} f_i$ .

One has 
$$P_{\mu}f_i \leq af_i + M \sum_{0 < j \leq \min(i,d-i)} \sqrt{f_{i+j}f_{i-j}}$$
.

Indeed, when the min is almost achieved at two non-colinear vectors, one uses the inequality

 $\|\boldsymbol{u}\| \|\boldsymbol{u} \wedge \boldsymbol{v} \wedge \boldsymbol{w}\| \leq \|\boldsymbol{u} \wedge \boldsymbol{v}\| \|\boldsymbol{u} \wedge \boldsymbol{w}\|,$ 

for all  $u \in \Lambda^{r} \mathbb{R}^{d}$ ,  $v \in \Lambda^{s} \mathbb{R}^{d}$ ,  $w \in \Lambda^{t} \mathbb{R}^{d}$  pure tensors.

Hence  $P_{\mu}f \leq af + b$ , for  $\varepsilon_0$  small.

**D.**  $X = SL(3, \mathbb{R})/SL(3, \mathbb{Z}), H = SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ 

Write  $v = v_+ + v_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3 = \mathbb{R}^2 \oplus \mathbb{R}$  and fix  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ .

Choose  $\alpha_1(x) := \min\{\|v_+\| \mid v \in x \smallsetminus 0 \text{ with } \|v_0\| < \varepsilon_0\}$ ,

$$lpha_2(x) := lpha_1(x^*)$$
,  $f_1 = lpha_1^{-\delta}$ ,  $f_2 = lpha_2^{-\delta}$ , and  $f = f_1 + f_2$ .

One has  $P_{\mu}f_1 \leq af_1 + M\varepsilon_0^{\delta}f_2 + b$ , for some M > 0,

and also  $P_{\mu}f_2 \leq af_2 + M\varepsilon_0^{\delta}f_1 + b$ .

Indeed, when the min is almost achieved at two non-colinear vectors v and w, one uses the inequality

 $\|(v \wedge w)_+\| \le \|v_0\| \|w_+\| + \|v_+\| \|w_0\|.$ 

Hence  $P_{\mu}f \leq af + b$ , for  $\varepsilon_0$  small.

E.  $X = SL(d, \mathbb{R})/SL(d, \mathbb{Z}), H = SL(d_1, \mathbb{R}) \times SL(d_2, \mathbb{R})$ For  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ , write  $|\lambda| = (d_1 - \lambda_1)\lambda_1 + (d_2 - \lambda_2)\lambda_2$ . For v in  $\Lambda^r \mathbb{R}^d$  set  $v = \sum v_\lambda$ , with  $v_\lambda \in \Lambda^{\lambda_1} \mathbb{R}^{d_1} \otimes \Lambda^{\lambda_2} \mathbb{R}^{d_2}$ ,

and set  $\varphi(\mathbf{v}) = \max_{|\lambda| \neq 0} \varepsilon_0^{\frac{(d-r)r}{|\lambda|}} \|\mathbf{v}_{\lambda}\|^{\frac{1}{|\lambda|}}$ . Choose

 $\alpha(\mathbf{x}) := \min\{\varphi(\mathbf{v}) \mid \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{x} \smallsetminus \mathbf{0} \text{ with } \|\mathbf{v}_0\| < \varepsilon_0\}, \, \mathbf{f} = \alpha^{-\delta}.$ 

One has  $P_{\mu}f \leq af + b$ , for  $\varepsilon_0$  small. Indeed, when the min is almost achieved at two non-colinear vectors , one uses the Mother inequality for  $SL_{d_1} \times SL_{d_2}$ 

 $\|u_{\lambda}\| \|(u \wedge v \wedge w)_{\mu}\| \ll \max_{\substack{\nu+\rho=\lambda+\mu\\\min(\nu_i,\rho_i) \geq \min(\lambda_i,\mu_i)}} \|(u \wedge v)_{\nu}\| \|(u \wedge w)_{\rho}\|,$ 

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

for all u, v, w in  $\Lambda^{\bullet}\mathbb{R}^{d}$  pure tensors and all  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$ .

This inequality is proven using representation theory. Indeed, its statement is simpler for a general semisimple Lie group  $H \subset SL(d, \mathbb{R})$ . Let  $P^+$  be the set of dominant weights of H.

Mother inequality

 $\|u_{\lambda}\| \|(u \wedge v \wedge w)_{\mu}\| \ll \max_{\substack{
u, 
ho \in \mathcal{P}^+ \\
u+
ho \geq \lambda+\mu}} \|(u \wedge v)_{
u}\| \|(u \wedge w)_{
ho}\|,$ 

for all u, v, w pure tensors and all  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$  in  $P^+$ .

Here  $u_{\lambda}$  means the projection of u on the sum of irreducible subrepresentations with highest weight  $\lambda$ ,

and  $\geq$  means that the difference is a sum of positive root.

## Thank you!