Correction to "Actions symplectiques de groupes compacts"

Yves Benoist

The main aim of my paper [1] is an extension of the Guillemin-Sternberg-Kirwan convexity theorem to any symplectic action of a compact group on a compact symplectic manifold (M, ω) . As an application, I deduce in chapter 6, extending Delzant's work, the description of all coisotropic actions of a torus T on M, i.e. actions with at least one coisotropic orbit, up to isomorphism where isomorphism means equivariant symplectomorphism. But as Duistermaat and Pelayo discovered in [2], my conclusion for this application is too strong: the general coisotropic action is not a product of a hamiltonian one by an anhamiltonian one, as I claimed in Proposition 6.17 and Theorem 6.6.A of [1], but a "fiber bundle" of hamiltonian over anhamiltonian. The aim of this text is to explain exactly where this omission occurs and how to correct it.

Note that this omission does not affect our convexity Theorem (Theorem 4.1 of [1]) which is the main result of [1]

Let T be a torus, (M, ω) be a compact connected symplectic manifold endowed with a coisotropic effective action of T.

Recall from corollary 6.16 of [1] that there exist a torus decomposition $T = T_h \times T_a$, an hamiltonian coisotropic action of T_h on a compact simply-connected symplectic manifold (M_h, ω_h) and a coisotropic action of \widetilde{T}_a by translation on a symplectic vector space \widetilde{M}_a such that the universal cover \widetilde{M} can be equivariantly and symplectically identified with the product $M_h \times \widetilde{M}_a$. Let us denote $\check{T} := T_h \times \widetilde{T}_a$ and $\Gamma := \pi_1(M)$. Both groups are subgroups of the group $\operatorname{Aut}(\widetilde{M}) := \{\check{T}$ -equivariant symplectomorphisms of $\widetilde{M}\}$.

Recall also that according to Lemma 6.18 of [1], the elements of $\operatorname{Aut}(M)$ permute the fibers of the projections $\widetilde{M} \simeq M_h \times \widetilde{M}_a \to \widetilde{M}_a$ hence induce automorphisms of \widetilde{M}_a .

Proposition 6.17 and Theorem 6.6.A must be replaced by the following statements, using freely the notations of [1]:

Proposition There exists a connected nilpotent Lie group N which is a subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(\widetilde{M})$ containing \check{T} and Γ , such that the quotient N/Γ is compact and such that the induced action of the quotient group $N_a := N/T_h$ on \widetilde{M}_a is simply transitive. Let Γ_a be the image of Γ in N_a and $M_a := \widetilde{M}_a/\Gamma_a \simeq N_a/\Gamma_a$. In a less precise way (less precise because it does not describe completely the symplectic structure on the fibration), one can reformulate this proposition:

Any coisotropic action of a torus T on a compact connected symplectic manifold (M, ω) is a fibration of the hamiltonian action of a torus T_h on a symplectic manifold M_h over an anhamiltonian action of a torus T_a on a symplectic nilmanifold M_a .

Proof of Proposition The proof of this corrected version of Proposition 6.17 needs almost no changes: I will just explain these changes. The omission in the proof of Proposition 6.17 of [1] is on line 18 where I write: Autrement dit les fonctions $g_{\delta,\delta'}$ ne dépendent pas de la première variable. In fact these functions $g_{\delta,\delta'}$ may depend in an affine way in the first variable with a slope in $\mathfrak{t}_{h,\mathbb{Z}}$ because I had forgotten the "modulo $\mathfrak{t}_{h,\mathbb{Z}}$ " in the differentials $dg_{\delta,\delta'}$. Hence these functions $g_{\delta,\delta'}$ are in $Pol(\mathfrak{l}^*)$ instead of $Pol(\mathfrak{l}^*_a)$. Once this omission is taken into account, the proof of this new proposition is almost the same: the functions f'_{δ} are in $Pol(\mathfrak{l}^*)$ instead of $Pol(\mathfrak{l}^*_a)$ and, after conjugating by an element g in $Aut(\widetilde{M})$, the group Γ becomes a subgroup of the connected nilpotent Lie group

$$N_p := \{ [df, s, \lambda] / f \in Pol(\mathfrak{l}^*), \ d^\circ f \le p, \ s \in \mathfrak{s}, \ \lambda \in \mathfrak{l}_a^* \}.$$

for some $p \ge 1$. This group is not simply connected anymore but N_p/T_h is. This allows to end the proof in the same way.

Remarks - Theorem 6.6.B of [1] needs no changes since, in this case $\mathfrak{t}_{h,\mathbb{Z}} = 0$. - As explained in Exercise 6.3 of [1], N is either abelian or two-step nilpotent. - Explicit examples where this fibered action is not a product have been discovered by Duistermaat and Pelayo in [2]. I thank them for sending [2] to me. In fact, the aim of [2] is to give a complete list of invariants for all coisotopic actions of tori. Such a classification can also be obtained using the cohomological approach of the proof of proposition 6.17 of [1].

- Y.BENOIST Actions symplectiques de groupes compacts, Geom. Ded. 89 (2002) p.181-245.
- [2] J.DUISTERMAAT, A.PELAYO Symplectic torus actions with coisotropic principal orbit, arXiv:math.DG/0511676 p.1-66.

Ecole Normale Supérieure-CNRS, 45 rue d'Ulm, 75230 Paris www.dma.ens.fr/~benoist