

A slow transient diffusion in a drifted stable potential

ARVIND SINGH *

Université Paris VI

Abstract

We consider a diffusion process X in a random potential \mathbb{V} of the form $\mathbb{V}_x = \mathbb{S}_x - \delta x$, where δ is a positive drift and \mathbb{S} is a strictly stable process of index $\alpha \in (1, 2)$ with positive jumps. Then the diffusion is transient and $X_t/\log^\alpha t$ converges in law towards an exponential distribution. This behaviour contrasts with the case where \mathbb{V} is a drifted Brownian motion and provides an example of a transient diffusion in a random potential which is as "slow" as in the recurrent setting.

Keywords. diffusion with random potential, stable processes

MSC 2000. 60K37, 60J60, 60F05

e-mail. arvind.singh@ens.fr

1 Introduction

Let $(\mathbb{V}(x), x \in \mathbb{R})$ be a two-sided stochastic process defined on some probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$. We call a diffusion in the random potential \mathbb{V} a formal solution X of the S.D.E:

$$\begin{cases} dX_t = d\beta_t - \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{V}'(X_t)dt \\ X_0 = 0, \end{cases}$$

where β is a standard Brownian motion independent of \mathbb{V} . Of course, the process \mathbb{V} may not be differentiable (for example when \mathbb{V} is a Brownian motion) and we should formally consider X as a diffusion whose conditional generator given \mathbb{V} is

$$\frac{1}{2}e^{\mathbb{V}(x)} \frac{d}{dx} \left(e^{-\mathbb{V}(x)} \frac{d}{dx} \right).$$

Such a diffusion may be explicitly constructed from a Brownian motion through a random change of time and a random change of scale. This class of processes has

**Laboratoire de Probabilités et Modèles Aléatoires, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, 175 rue du Chevaleret, 75013 Paris, France.*

been widely studied for the last twenty years and bears a close connection with the model of the random walk in random environment (RWRE), see [17] and [12] for a survey on RWRE and [11], [12] for the connection between the two models.

This model exhibits many interesting features. For instance, when the potential process \mathbb{V} is a Brownian motion, the diffusion X is recurrent and Brox [2] proved that $X_t/\log^2 t$ converges to a non-degenerate distribution. Thus, the diffusion is much "slower" than in the trivial case $\mathbb{V} = 0$ (then X is simply a Brownian motion).

We point out that Brox's theorem is the analogue of Sinai's famous theorem for RWRE [13] (see also [4] and [8]). Just as for the RWRE, this result is a consequence of a so-called "localization phenomenon": the diffusion is trapped in some valleys of its potential \mathbb{V} . Brox's theorem may also be extended to a wider class of potentials. For instance, when \mathbb{V} is a strictly stable process of index $\alpha \in (0, 2]$, Schumacher [11] proved that

$$\frac{X_t}{\log^\alpha t} \xrightarrow[t \rightarrow \infty]{\text{law}} b_\infty,$$

where b_∞ is a non-degenerate random variable, whose distribution depends on the parameters of the stable process \mathbb{V} .

There is also much interest concerning the behaviour of X in the transient case. When the potential is a drifted Brownian motion *i.e.* $\mathbb{V}_x = \mathbb{B}_x - \frac{\kappa}{2}x$ where \mathbb{B} is a two-sided Brownian motion and $\kappa > 0$, then the associated diffusion X is transient toward $+\infty$ and its rate of growth is polynomial and depends on κ . Precisely, Kawazu and Tanaka [7] proved that

- If $0 < \kappa < 1$, then $\frac{1}{t^\kappa} X_t$ converges in law towards a Mittag-Leffler distribution of index κ .
- If $\kappa = 1$, then $\frac{\log t}{t} X_t$ converges in probability towards $\frac{1}{4}$.
- If $\kappa > 1$, then $\frac{1}{t} X_t$ converges almost surely towards $\frac{\kappa-1}{4}$.

In particular, when $\kappa < 1$, the rate of growth of X is sub-linear. Refined results on the rates of convergence for this process were later obtained by Tanaka [16] and Hu *et al.* [6].

In fact, this behaviour is not specific to diffusions in a drifted Brownian potential. More generally, it is proved in [15] that if \mathbb{V} is a two-sided Lévy process with no positive jumps and if there exists $\kappa > 0$ such $\mathbf{E}[e^{\kappa \mathbb{V}_1}] = 1$, then the rate of growth of X_t is linear when $\kappa > 1$ and of order t^κ when $0 < \kappa < 1$ (see also [3] for a law of large numbers in a general Lévy potential). These results are the analogues of those previously obtained by Kesten *et al.* [9] for the discrete model of the RWRE.

In this paper, we study the asymptotic behaviour of a diffusion in a drifted stable potential. Precisely, let $(\mathbb{S}_x, x \in \mathbb{R})$ denote a two-sided càdlàg stable process with index $\alpha \in (1, 2)$. By two-sided, we mean that

- (a) The process $(\mathbb{S}_x, x \geq 0)$ is strictly stable with index $\alpha \in (1, 2)$, in particular $\mathbb{S}_0 = 0$.
- (b) For all $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, the process $(\mathbb{S}_{x+x_0} - \mathbb{S}_{x_0}, x \in \mathbb{R})$ has the same law as \mathbb{S} .

It is well known that the Lévy measure Π of \mathbb{S} has the form

$$\Pi(dx) = (c^+ \mathbf{1}_{\{x>0\}} + c^- \mathbf{1}_{\{x<0\}}) \frac{dx}{|x|^{\alpha+1}} \quad (1)$$

where c^+ and c^- are two non-negative constants such that $c^+ + c^- > 0$. In particular, the process $(\mathbb{S}_x, x \geq 0)$ has no positive jumps (resp. no negative jumps) if and only if $c^+ = 0$ (resp. $c^- = 0$). Given $\delta > 0$, we consider a diffusion X in the random potential

$$\mathbb{V}_x = \mathbb{S}_x - \delta x.$$

Since the index α of the stable process \mathbb{S} is larger than 1, we have $\mathbf{E}[\mathbb{V}_x] = -\delta x$, and therefore

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{V}_x = -\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} \mathbb{V}_x = +\infty \quad \text{almost surely.}$$

This two facts easily imply that X is transient towards $+\infty$ (see the beginning of Section 2.1). We have already mentioned that, when \mathbb{S} has no positive jumps (*i.e.* $c^+ = 0$), the rate of transience of X is given in [15] and X_t has polynomial growth. Thus, we here assume that \mathbb{S} possesses positive jumps.

Theorem 1. *Assume that $c^+ > 0$, then*

$$\frac{X_t}{\log^\alpha t} \xrightarrow[t \rightarrow \infty]{\text{law}} \mathcal{E}\left(\frac{c^+}{\alpha}\right),$$

where $\mathcal{E}(c^+/\alpha)$ denotes an exponential law with parameter c^+/α . This result also holds with $\sup_{s \leq t} X_s$ or $\inf_{s \geq t} X_s$ in place of X_t .

The asymptotic behaviour of X is in this case very different from the one observed when \mathbb{V} is a drifted Brownian motion. Here, the rate of growth is very slow: it is the same as in the recurrent setting. We also note that neither the rate of growth nor the limiting law depend on the value of the drift parameter δ .

Theorem 1 has a simple heuristic explanation: the "localisation phenomenon" for the diffusion X tells us that the time needed to reach a positive level x is approximatively exponentially proportional to the biggest ascending barrier of \mathbb{V} on the interval $[0, x]$. In the case of a Brownian potential, or more generally a spectrally negative Lévy potential, the addition of a negative drift somehow "kills" the ascending barriers, thus accelerating the diffusion and leading to a polynomial rate of transience. However, in our setting, the biggest ascending barrier on $[0, x]$ of the stable process \mathbb{S} is of the same order as its biggest jump on this interval. Since the addition of a drift has no influence on the jumps of the potential process, the time needed to reach level x still remains of the same order as in the recurrent case (*i.e.* when the drift is zero) and yields a logarithmic rate of transience.

2 Proof of the theorem.

2.1 Representation of X and of its hitting times.

In the remainder of this paper, we indifferently use the notation \mathbb{V}_x or $\mathbb{V}(x)$. Let us first recall the classical representation of the diffusion X in the random potential \mathbb{V} from a Brownian motion through a random change of scale and a random change of time (see [2] or [12] for details). Let $(B_t, t \geq 0)$ denote a standard Brownian motion independent of \mathbb{V} and let σ stand for its hitting times:

$$\sigma(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \inf(t \geq 0, B_t = x).$$

Define the scale function of the diffusion X ,

$$\mathbb{A}(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_0^x e^{\mathbb{V}_y} dy \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2)$$

Since $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{V}_x/x = -\delta$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} \mathbb{V}_x/x = \delta$ almost surely, it is clear that

$$\mathbb{A}(\infty) = \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{A}(x) < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} \mathbb{A}(x) = -\infty \quad \text{almost surely.}$$

Let $\mathbb{A}^{-1} : (-\infty, \mathbb{A}(\infty)) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ denote the inverse of \mathbb{A} and define

$$\mathbb{T}(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_0^t e^{-2\mathbb{V}(\mathbb{A}^{-1}(B_s))} ds \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t < \sigma(\mathbb{A}(\infty)).$$

Similarly, let \mathbb{T}^{-1} denote the inverse of \mathbb{T} . According to Brox [2] (see also [12]), the diffusion X in the random potential \mathbb{V} may be represented in the form

$$X_t = \mathbb{A}^{-1}\left(B_{\mathbb{T}^{-1}(t)}\right). \quad (3)$$

It is now clear that, under our assumptions, the diffusion X is transient toward $+\infty$. We will study X via its hitting times H defined by

$$H(r) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \inf(t \geq 0, X_t = r) \quad \text{for } r \geq 0.$$

Let $(L(t, x), t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R})$ stand for the bi-continuous version of the local time process of B . In view of (3), we can write

$$H(r) = \mathbb{T}(\sigma(\mathbb{A}(r))) = \int_0^{\sigma(\mathbb{A}(r))} e^{-2\mathbb{V}(\mathbb{A}^{-1}(B_s))} ds = \int_{-\infty}^{\mathbb{A}(r)} e^{-2\mathbb{V}(\mathbb{A}^{-1}(x))} L(\sigma(\mathbb{A}(r)), x) dx.$$

Making use of the change of variable $x = \mathbb{A}(y)$, we get

$$H(r) = \int_{-\infty}^r e^{-\mathbb{V}_y} L(\sigma(\mathbb{A}(r)), \mathbb{A}(y)) dy = I_1(r) + I_2(r) \quad (4)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(r) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_0^r e^{-\mathbb{V}_y} L(\sigma(\mathbb{A}(r)), \mathbb{A}(y)) dy, \\ I_2(r) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{-\mathbb{V}_y} L(\sigma(\mathbb{A}(r)), \mathbb{A}(y)) dy. \end{aligned}$$

2.2 Proof of Theorem 1.

Given a càdlàg process $(Z_t, t \geq 0)$, we denote by $\Delta_t Z = Z_t - Z_{t-}$ the size of the jump at time t . We also use the notation Z_t^\natural to denote the largest positive jump of Z before time t ,

$$Z_t^\natural \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \Delta_s Z.$$

Let $Z_t^\#$ stand for the largest ascending barrier on $[0, t]$, namely:

$$Z_t^\# \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup_{0 \leq x \leq y \leq t} (Z_y - Z_x).$$

We also define the functionals:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{Z}_t &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup_{s \in [0, t]} Z_s && \text{(running unilateral maximum)} \\ \underline{Z}_t &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \inf_{s \in [0, t]} Z_s && \text{(running unilateral minimum)} \\ Z_t^* &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup_{s \in [0, t]} |Z_s| && \text{(running bilateral supremum)} \end{aligned}$$

We start with a simple lemma concerning the fluctuations of the potential process.

Lemma 1. *There exist two constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that for all $a, x > 0$*

$$\mathbf{P}\{\mathbb{V}_x^\# \leq a\} \leq e^{-c_1 \frac{x}{a^\alpha}}, \quad (5)$$

and whenever $\frac{a}{x}$ is sufficiently large,

$$\mathbf{P}\{\mathbb{V}_x^* > a\} \leq c_2 \frac{x}{a^\alpha}. \quad (6)$$

Proof. Recall that $\mathbb{V}_x = \mathbb{S}_x - \delta x$. In view of the form of the density of the Lévy measure of \mathbb{S} given in (1), we get

$$\mathbf{P}\{\mathbb{V}_x^\# \leq a\} \leq \mathbf{P}\{\mathbb{V}_x^\natural \leq a\} = \exp\left(-x \int_a^\infty \frac{c^+}{y^{\alpha+1}} dy\right) = \exp\left(-\frac{c^+}{\alpha} \frac{x}{a^\alpha}\right).$$

This yields (5). From the scaling property of the stable process \mathbb{S} , we also have

$$\mathbf{P}\{\mathbb{V}_x^* > a\} = \mathbf{P}\left\{x^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} |\mathbb{S}_t - \delta x^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha}} t| > a\right\} \leq \mathbf{P}\left\{\mathbb{S}_1^* > \frac{a}{x^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} - \delta x^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha}}\right\}.$$

Notice further that $a/x^{1/\alpha} - \delta x^{1-1/\alpha} > a/(2x^{1/\alpha})$ whenever a/x is large enough. Therefore, making use of a classical estimate concerning the tail distribution of the stable process \mathbb{S} (*c.f.* Proposition 4, p221 of [1]), we find that

$$\mathbf{P}\{\mathbb{V}_x^* > a\} \leq \mathbf{P}\left\{\mathbb{S}_1^* > \frac{a}{2x^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}}\right\} \leq \mathbf{P}\left\{\bar{\mathbb{S}}_1 > \frac{a}{2x^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}}\right\} + \mathbf{P}\left\{\underline{\mathbb{S}}_1 < -\frac{a}{2x^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}}\right\} \leq c_2 \frac{x}{a^\alpha}.$$

□

Proposition 1. *There exists a constant $c_3 > 0$ such that, for all r sufficiently large and all $x \geq 0$,*

$$\mathbf{P}\{\mathbb{V}_r^\# \geq x + \log^4 r\} - c_3 e^{-\log^2 r} \leq \mathbf{P}\{\log I_1(r) \geq x\} \leq \mathbf{P}\{\mathbb{V}_r^\# \geq x - \log^4 r\} + c_3 e^{-\log^2 r}.$$

Proof. This estimate was first proved by Hu and Shi (see Lemma 4.1 of [5]) when the potential process is close to a standard Brownian motion. A similar result is given in Proposition 3.2 of [14] when \mathbb{V} is a random walk in the domain of attraction of a stable law. As explained by Shi [12], the key idea is the combined use of Ray-Knight's Theorem and Laplace's method. However, in our setting, additional difficulties appear since the potential process is neither flat on integer interval nor continuous. We shall therefore give a complete proof but one can still look in [5] and [14] for additional details. Recall that

$$I_1(r) = \int_0^r e^{-\mathbb{V}_y} L(\sigma(\mathbb{A}(r)), \mathbb{A}(y)) dy,$$

where L is the local time of the Brownian motion B (independent of \mathbb{V}). Let $(U(t), t \geq 0)$ denote a two-dimensional squared Bessel process starting from zero, also independent of \mathbb{V} . According to the first Ray-Knight Theorem (*c.f.* Theorem 2.2 p455 of [10]), for any $x > 0$ the process $(L(\sigma(x), x - y), 0 \leq y \leq x)$ has the same law as $(U(y), 0 \leq y \leq x)$. Therefore, making use of the scaling property of the Brownian motion and the independence of \mathbb{V} and B , for each fixed $r > 0$, the random variable $I_1(r)$ has the same law as

$$\tilde{I}_1(r) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{A}(r) \int_0^r e^{-\mathbb{V}_y} U\left(\frac{\mathbb{A}(r) - \mathbb{A}(y)}{\mathbb{A}(r)}\right) dy.$$

We simply need to prove the proposition for \tilde{I}_1 instead of I_1 . In the rest of the proof, we assume that r is very large.

Proof of the upper bound. Define the event

$$\mathcal{E}_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ \sup_{t \in (0,1]} \frac{U(t)}{t \log\left(\frac{8}{t}\right)} \leq r \right\}.$$

According to Lemma 6.1 of [5], $\mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{E}_1^c\} \leq c_4 e^{-r/2}$ for some constant $c_4 > 0$. On \mathcal{E}_1 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{I}_1(r) &\leq r \int_0^r e^{-\mathbb{V}_y} (\mathbb{A}(r) - \mathbb{A}(y)) \log\left(\frac{8\mathbb{A}(r)}{\mathbb{A}(r) - \mathbb{A}(y)}\right) dy \\ &= r \int_0^r \left(\int_y^r e^{\mathbb{V}_z - \mathbb{V}_y} dz \right) \log\left(\frac{8\mathbb{A}(r)}{\mathbb{A}(r) - \mathbb{A}(y)}\right) dy \\ &\leq r^2 e^{\mathbb{V}_r^\#} \int_0^r \log\left(\frac{8\mathbb{A}(r)}{\mathbb{A}(r) - \mathbb{A}(y)}\right) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Notice also that $\mathbb{A}(r) = \int_0^r e^{\mathbb{V}_z} dz \leq r e^{\bar{\mathbb{V}}_r}$ and similarly $\mathbb{A}(r) - \mathbb{A}(y) \geq (r - y)e^{\underline{\mathbb{V}}_r}$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^r \log \left(\frac{8\mathbb{A}(r)}{\mathbb{A}(r) - \mathbb{A}(y)} \right) dy &\leq r(\bar{\mathbb{V}}_r - \underline{\mathbb{V}}_r) + \int_0^r \log \left(\frac{8r}{r - y} \right) dy \\ &= r(\bar{\mathbb{V}}_r - \underline{\mathbb{V}}_r + 1 + \log 8). \end{aligned}$$

Define the set $\mathcal{E}_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\bar{\mathbb{V}}_r - \underline{\mathbb{V}}_r \leq e^{\log^3 r}\}$. In view of Lemma 1,

$$\mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{E}_2^c\} \leq \mathbf{P}\left\{\mathbb{V}_r^* > \frac{1}{2}e^{\log^3 r}\right\} \leq e^{-\log^2 r}.$$

Therefore, $\mathbf{P}\{(\mathcal{E}_1 \cap \mathcal{E}_2)^c\} \leq 2e^{-\log^2 r}$ and on $\mathcal{E}_1 \cap \mathcal{E}_2$,

$$\tilde{I}_1(r) \leq r^3(e^{\log^3 r} + 1 + \log 8)e^{\mathbb{V}_r^\#} \leq e^{\log^4 r + \mathbb{V}_r^\#}.$$

This completes the proof of the upper bound.

Proof of the lower bound. Define by induction

$$\begin{cases} \gamma_0 &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 0, \\ \gamma_{k+1} &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \inf\{t > \gamma_k, |\mathbb{V}_t - \mathbb{V}_{\gamma_k}| \geq 1\}. \end{cases}$$

The sequence $(\gamma_{k+1} - \gamma_k, k \geq 0)$ is i.i.d. and distributed as $\gamma_1 = \inf\{t > 0 : |\mathbb{V}_t| \geq 1\}$. We denote by $\lfloor x \rfloor$ the integer part of x . We also use the notation $\epsilon \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} e^{-\log^3 r}$. Consider the following events

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_3 &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\gamma_{\lfloor r^2 \rfloor} > r\}, \\ \mathcal{E}_4 &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\gamma_k - \gamma_{k-1} \geq 2\epsilon \text{ for all } k = 1, 2, \dots, \lfloor r^2 \rfloor\}. \end{aligned}$$

With the help of Markov's inequality, we get

$$\mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{E}_3^c\} = \mathbf{P}\{e^{-\gamma_{\lfloor r^2 \rfloor}} \geq e^{-r}\} \leq e^r \mathbf{E}[e^{-\gamma_{\lfloor r^2 \rfloor}}] = e^r \mathbf{E}[e^{-\gamma_1}]^{\lfloor r^2 \rfloor} \leq e^{-r},$$

where we used that r is very large and that $\mathbf{E}[e^{-\gamma_1}] < 1$ for the last inequality (because γ_1 is non-negative and not identically zero). We also have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{E}_4^c\} &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor r^2 \rfloor} \mathbf{P}\{\gamma_k - \gamma_{k-1} < 2\epsilon\} \leq \lfloor r^2 \rfloor \mathbf{P}\{\gamma_1 < 2\epsilon\} \\ &\leq \lfloor r^2 \rfloor \mathbf{P}\{\mathbb{V}_{2\epsilon}^* \geq 1\} \\ &\leq e^{-\log^2 r}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used Lemma 1 for the last inequality. Define also

$$\mathcal{E}_5 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{|\mathbb{V}_x - \mathbb{V}_r| < 1 \text{ for all } x \in [r - 2\epsilon, r]\}.$$

We finally set $\mathcal{E}_9 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{E}_7 \cap \mathcal{E}_8$. Then on \mathcal{E}_9 , we have, for all r large enough,

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{I}_1(r) &\geq \mathbb{A}(r) \int_{x_-}^{x_-+\epsilon} e^{-\mathbb{V}_y} U \left(\frac{\mathbb{A}(r) - \mathbb{A}(y)}{\mathbb{A}(r)} \right) dy \\
&\geq e^{-\mathbb{V}_{x_-} - 2 - 2\log^2 r} \int_{x_-}^{x_-+\epsilon} (\mathbb{A}(r) - \mathbb{A}(x_-)) dy \\
&= e^{-\mathbb{V}_{x_-} - 2 - 2\log^2 r - \log^3 r} \int_{x_-}^r e^{\mathbb{V}_y} dy \\
&\geq e^{-\mathbb{V}_{x_-} - 2 - 2\log^2 r - \log^3 r} \int_{x_+}^{x_++\epsilon} e^{\mathbb{V}_y} dy \\
&\geq e^{\mathbb{V}_{x_+} - \mathbb{V}_{x_-} - 4 - 2\log^2 r - 2\log^3 r} \\
&\geq e^{\mathbb{V}_r^\# - \log^4 r}.
\end{aligned}$$

This proves the lower bound on \mathcal{E}_9 . It simply remains to show that $\mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{E}_9^c\} \leq c_5 e^{-\log^2 r}$. According to Lemma 6.1 of [5], for any $0 < a < b$ and any $\eta > 0$, we have

$$\mathbf{P} \left\{ \inf_{a < t < b} U(t) \leq \eta b \right\} \leq 2\sqrt{\eta} + 2 \exp \left(-\frac{\eta}{2(1-a/b)} \right).$$

Therefore, making use of the independence of \mathbb{V} and U , we find

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{E}_9^c\} &\leq \mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{E}_6^c\} + \mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{E}_8^c\} + \mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{E}_7^c \cap \mathcal{E}_6 \cap \mathcal{E}_8\} \\
&\leq \mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{E}_6^c\} + \mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{E}_8^c\} + 2e^{-\log^2 r} + 2\mathbf{E} \left[e^{-\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{J}(r)e^{-2\log^2 r}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{E}_6 \cap \mathcal{E}_8} \right],
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathbb{J}(r) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\mathbb{A}(r) - \mathbb{A}(x_-)}{\mathbb{A}(x_- + \epsilon) - \mathbb{A}(x_-)}.$$

We have already proved that $\mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{E}_6^c\} \leq 3e^{-\log^2 r}$. Using Lemma 1, we also check that $\mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{E}_8^c\} \leq e^{-\log^2 r}$. Thus, it remains to show that

$$\mathbf{E} \left[e^{-\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{J}(r)e^{-2\log^2 r}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{E}_6 \cap \mathcal{E}_8} \right] \leq c_6 e^{-\log^2 r}. \quad (7)$$

Notice that, on \mathcal{E}_6 ,

$$\mathbb{A}(r) - \mathbb{A}(x_-) = \int_{x_-}^r e^{\mathbb{V}_y} dy \geq \int_{x_+}^{x_++\epsilon} e^{\mathbb{V}_y} dy \geq e^{\log^3 r + \mathbb{V}_{x_+} - 2},$$

and also

$$\mathbb{A}(x_- + \epsilon) - \mathbb{A}(x_-) = \int_{x_-}^{x_-+\epsilon} e^{\mathbb{V}_y} dy \leq e^{\log^3 r + \mathbb{V}_{x_-} + 2}.$$

Therefore, on $\mathcal{E}_6 \cap \mathcal{E}_8$,

$$\mathbb{J}(r) \geq e^{\mathbb{V}_{x_+} - \mathbb{V}_{x_-} - 4} \geq e^{\mathbb{V}_r^\# - 8} \geq e^{\mathbb{V}_r^\natural - 8} \geq e^{3\log^2 r - 8}$$

which clearly yields (7) and the proof of the proposition is complete. \square

Lemma 2. *We have*

$$\frac{\mathbb{V}_r^\#}{r^{1/\alpha}} \xrightarrow[r \rightarrow \infty]{\text{law}} \mathbb{S}_1^\natural.$$

Proof. Let $f : [0, 1] \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ be a deterministic càdlàg function. For $\lambda \geq 0$, define

$$f_\lambda(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f(x) - \lambda x.$$

We first show that

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} f_\lambda^\#(1) = f^\natural(1). \quad (8)$$

It is clear that $f^\natural(1) = f_\lambda^\natural(1) \leq f_\lambda^\#(1)$ for any $\lambda > 0$. Thus, we simply need to prove that $\limsup f_\lambda^\#(1) \leq f^\natural(1)$. Let $\eta > 0$ and set

$$\begin{aligned} A(\eta, \lambda) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup \{f_\lambda(y) - f_\lambda(x) : 0 \leq x \leq y \leq 1 \text{ and } y - x \leq \eta\}, \\ B(\eta, \lambda) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup \{f_\lambda(y) - f_\lambda(x) : 0 \leq x \leq y \leq 1 \text{ and } y - x > \eta\}, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$f_\lambda^\#(1) = \max\{A(\eta, \lambda), B(\eta, \lambda)\}. \quad (9)$$

Notice that $A(\eta, \lambda) \leq A(\eta)$ where

$$A(\eta) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} A(\eta, 0) = \sup \{f(y) - f(x) : 0 \leq x \leq y \leq 1 \text{ and } y - x \leq \eta\}.$$

Since f is càdlàg, we have $\lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} A(\eta) = f^\natural(1)$. Thus, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we can find $\eta_0 > 0$ small enough such that

$$\limsup_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} A(\eta_0, \lambda) \leq f^\natural(1) + \varepsilon. \quad (10)$$

Notice also that

$$\begin{aligned} B(\eta_0, \lambda) &\leq \sup \{f(y) - f(x) - \eta_0 \lambda : 0 \leq x \leq y \leq 1 \text{ and } y - x > \eta_0\} \\ &\leq f^\#(1) - \eta_0 \lambda \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} B(\eta_0, \lambda) = -\infty. \quad (11)$$

The combination of (9), (10) and (11) yields (8). Making use of the scaling property of the stable process \mathbb{S} , for any fixed $r > 0$,

$$(\mathbb{V}_y, 0 \leq y \leq r) \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} (r^{1/\alpha} \mathbb{S}_y - \delta r y, 0 \leq y \leq 1).$$

Therefore, setting $\mathbb{R}(z) = (\mathbb{S} - z)_1^\#$, we get the equality in law:

$$\frac{\mathbb{V}_r^\#}{r^{1/\alpha}} \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} \mathbb{R}(\delta r^{1-1/\alpha}). \quad (12)$$

Making use of (8), we see that $\mathbb{R}(z)$ converges almost surely towards \mathbb{S}_1^\natural as z goes to infinity. Since $\alpha > 1$ and $\delta > 0$, we also have $\delta r^{1-1/\alpha} \rightarrow \infty$ as r goes to infinity and we conclude from (12) that

$$\frac{\mathbb{V}_r^\#}{r^{1/\alpha}} \xrightarrow[r \rightarrow \infty]{\text{law}} \mathbb{S}_1^\natural.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1. Recall that the random variable \mathbb{S}_1^\natural denotes the largest positive jump of \mathbb{S} over the interval $[0, 1]$. In view of the Lévy measure of \mathbb{S} given by (1), this random variable has a continuous density. Thus, on the one hand, the combination of Proposition 1 and Lemma 2 readily shows that

$$\frac{\log(I_1(r))}{r^{1/\alpha}} \xrightarrow[r \rightarrow \infty]{\text{law}} \mathbb{S}_1^\natural. \quad (13)$$

On the other hand, the random variables $\mathbb{A}(\infty) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{A}(x)$ and $\int_{-\infty}^0 e^{-\mathbb{V}_y} dy$ have the same law. We have already noticed that these random variables are almost surely finite. Since the function $L(t, \cdot)$ is, for any fixed t , continuous with compact support, we get

$$I_2(r) = \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{-\mathbb{V}_y} L(\sigma(\mathbb{A}(r)), \mathbb{A}(y)) dy \leq \sup_{z \in (-\infty, 0]} L(\sigma(\mathbb{A}(\infty)), z) \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{-\mathbb{V}_y} dy < \infty.$$

Therefore,

$$\sup_{r \geq 0} I_2(r) < \infty \quad \text{almost surely.} \quad (14)$$

Combining (4), (13) and (14), we deduce that

$$\frac{\log(H(r))}{r^{1/\alpha}} \xrightarrow[r \rightarrow \infty]{\text{law}} \mathbb{S}_1^\natural$$

which, from the definition of the hitting times H , yields

$$\frac{\sup_{s \leq t} X_s}{\log^\alpha t} \xrightarrow[t \rightarrow \infty]{\text{law}} \left(\frac{1}{\mathbb{S}_1^\natural} \right)^\alpha.$$

Moreover, according to the density of the Lévy measure of \mathbb{S} , we have

$$\mathbf{P}\{\mathbb{S}_1^\natural \leq x\} = \exp\left(-\int_x^\infty \frac{c^+}{y^{\alpha+1}} dy\right) = \exp\left(-\frac{c^+}{\alpha x^\alpha}\right).$$

Therefore, the random variable $(1/\mathbb{S}_1^\natural)^\alpha$ has an exponential distribution with parameter c^+/α so the proof of the theorem for $\sup_{s \leq t} X_s$ is complete. We finally use the classical argument given by Kawazu and Tanaka, p201 [7] to obtain the corresponding results for X_t and $\inf_{s \geq t} X_s$. \square

Acknowledgments. *I would like to thank Yueyun Hu for his precious advices.*

References

- [1] BERTOIN, J. (1996). *Lévy processes*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge.
- [2] BROX, TH. (1986). A one-dimensional diffusion process in a Wiener medium, *Ann. Probab.* **14**, no. 4, 1206–1218.

- [3] CARMONA, P. (1997). The mean velocity of a Brownian motion in a random Lévy potential, *Ann. Probab.* **25**, no. 4, 1774–1788.
- [4] GOLOSOV, A. O. (1986). Limit distributions for a random walk in a critical one-dimensional random environment, *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* **41**, no. 2(248), 189–190.
- [5] HU, Y., SHI, Z. (1998). The limits of Sinai’s simple random walk in random environment, *Ann. Probab.* **26**, no. 4, 1477–1521.
- [6] HU, Y., SHI, Z. and YOR, M. (1999). Rates of convergence of diffusions with drifted Brownian potentials, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **351**, no. 10, 3915–3934.
- [7] KAWAZU, K. and TANAKA, H. (1997). A diffusion process in a Brownian environment with drift, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **49**, no. 2, 189–211.
- [8] KESTEN, H. (1986). The limit distribution of Sinai’s random walk in random environment, *Phys. A* **138**, no. 1-2, 299–309.
- [9] KESTEN, H., KOZLOV, M. V. and SPITZER, F. (1975). A limit law for random walk in a random environment, *Compositio Math.* **30**, 145–168.
- [10] REVUZ, D. and YOR, M. (1999). *Continuous martingales and Brownian motion*, Third edition, Springer, Berlin.
- [11] SCHUMACHER, S. (1985). Diffusions with random coefficients, in *Particle systems, random media and large deviations (Brunswick, Maine, 1984)*, 351–356, *Contemp. Math.*, 41, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI.
- [12] SHI, Z. (2001). Sinai’s walk via stochastic calculus, in *Milieux aléatoires*, 53–74, Soc. Math. France, Paris.
- [13] SINAI, YA. G. (1982). The limiting behavior of a one-dimensional random walk in a random environment, *Teor. Veroyatnost. i Primenen.* **27**, no. 2, 247–258.
- [14] SINGH, A. (2007). Limiting behavior of a diffusion in an asymptotically stable environment, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist.* **43**, no. 1, 101–138
- [15] SINGH, A. (2007). Rates of convergence of a transient diffusion in a spectrally negative Lévy potential, to appear in *Ann. Probab.*
- [16] TANAKA, H. (1997). Limit theorems for a Brownian motion with drift in a white noise environment, *Chaos Solitons Fractals* **8**, no. 11, 1807–1816.
- [17] ZEITOUNI, O. (2004). Random walks in random environment, in *Lectures on probability theory and statistics*, 189–312, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, 1837, Springer, Berlin.