A remark on the paper: "On the existence of ground states for massless Pauli-Fierz Hamiltonians"

Christian Gérard Département de Mathématiques, , Bâtiment 425 Université de Paris-Sud Orsay 91405 Orsay Cedex France

1 Introduction

The purpose of this note is to correct an error in our paper [1] on the existence of ground states for massless Pauli-Fierz Hamiltonians. We will use the notation of [1]. The key point of [1] was the following lemma [1, Lemma IV.5]:

Lemma 1.1 Let $F \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ be a cutoff function with $0 \leq F \leq 1$, F(s) = 1 for $|s| \leq \frac{1}{2}$, F(s) = 0 for $|s| \geq 1$. Let $F_R(x) = F(\frac{|x|}{R})$. Then

(1.1)
$$\lim_{\sigma \to 0, R \to +\infty} (\psi_{\sigma}, \mathrm{d}\Gamma(1 - F_R)\psi_{\sigma}) = 0$$

This lemma is correct under the hypotheses in [1] but its proof was not. We explain the error in Sect. 2 and give the correct proof in Sect. 3.

2 The space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; B(\mathcal{H}))$

Let \mathcal{H} be a separable Hilbert space. The space $\mathcal{F} := L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; B(\mathcal{H}))$ is the Banach space of weakly measurable maps:

$$T: \mathbb{R}^d \ni k \mapsto T(k) \in B(\mathcal{H}),$$

such that:

$$||T||_{\mathcal{F}} := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} ||T(k)||^2_{B(\mathcal{H})} \mathrm{d}k\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty.$$

Note that such a T can be considered as an element of $B(\mathcal{H}, L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathcal{H}))$ by:

$$(T\psi)(k) := T(k)\psi, \quad \psi \in \mathcal{H},$$

or equivalently:

$$(u,T\psi)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d,\mathcal{H})} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (u(k),T(k)\psi)_{\mathcal{H}} \mathrm{d}k, \quad u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d,\mathcal{H}), \quad \psi \in \mathcal{H}.$$

On $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; B(\mathcal{H}))$ we have the group U(s) of isometries defined by:

$$(U(s)T)(k) := T(k-s)$$
, a.e. k, for $s \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

so that:

$$(u, U(s)T\psi)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathcal{H})} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (u(k), T(k-s)\psi)_{\mathcal{H}} \mathrm{d}k.$$

Note that the function $(k, s) \mapsto (u(k), T(k-s)\psi)_{\mathcal{H}}$ is measurable and L^1 in k, so the function

 $s \mapsto (u, U(s)T\psi)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d,\mathcal{H})}$ is measurable and bounded.

Hence for $F \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, one can define:

$$F(D_k)T := (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \widehat{F}(s)U(-s)T \mathrm{d}s,$$

as a weak integral, and

$$||F(D_k)T||_{\mathcal{F}} \le (2\pi)^{-d} ||T|| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\widehat{F}|(s) \mathrm{d}s.$$

However the group U(s) is not strongly continuous on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; B(\mathcal{H}))$ (for the same reason that the group of translations is not strongly continuous in $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$), so that if F(0) = 1, it is not true that for an arbitrary element $T \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; B(\mathcal{H}))$ one has:

(2.2)
$$||T - F(R^{-1}D_k)T||_{\mathcal{F}} \to 0 \text{ when } R \to \infty.$$

We are indebted to I. Sasaki for this remark.

In the proof of Lemma IV.5, we considered the function:

$$T: \mathbb{R}^d \ni k \mapsto T(k) = (E - H - \omega(k))^{-1} v(k) \in B(\mathcal{H})$$

which is in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; B(\mathcal{H}))$ by [1, Hyp. (I2)]. We claimed that (2.2) holds for T.

By the above discussion this does not follow from the fact that $T \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; B(\mathcal{H}))$.

3 Proof of Lemma 1.1

As explained above, property (2.2) for $T(k) = (E - H - \omega(k))^{-1}v(k)$ does not follow from the fact that $T \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; B(\mathcal{H}))$.

Going over the proof of [1, Lemma IV.5], we first see that instead of T(k) we can consider $\tilde{T}(k) = T(k)(K+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. We will check by a direct computation that $\mathbb{R} \ni s \mapsto U(s)\tilde{T} \in \mathcal{F}$ is strongly continuous. This is done in the next two lemmas. The corrected proof of [1, LemmaIV.5] is given at the end of this section.

Lemma 3.1 Let \mathcal{K} be a separable Hilbert space and $\mathcal{H} := \Gamma(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathrm{d}k)) \otimes \mathcal{K}$. Let $\mathbb{R}^d \ni k \mapsto m(k) \in B(\mathcal{K})$ be a weakly measurable map such that:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \omega(k)^{-2} \|m(k)\|_{B(\mathcal{K})}^2 \mathrm{d}k < \infty,$$

and let $T \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; B(\mathcal{H}))$ be the map:

$$\mathbb{R}^d \ni k \mapsto (E - H - \omega(k))^{-1} \mathbb{1} \otimes m(k).$$

Assume that for all $0 < C_1 < C_2$, one has:

(3.3)
$$\lim_{s \to 0} \int_{C_1 \le |k| \le C_2} \| (K+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (m(k-s) - m(k)) \|_{B(\mathcal{K})}^2 \mathrm{d}k = 0$$

Then:

i) $\mathbb{R}^d \ni s \mapsto U(s)T \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; B(\mathcal{H}))$ is norm continuous.

ii) If $F \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfies F(0) = 1 and

$$T_R = (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \widehat{F}(s) U(-R^{-1}s) T \mathrm{d}s,$$

we have:

$$||T_R - T||_{\mathcal{F}} \to 0 \text{ when } R \to \infty.$$

Proof. To simply notation, in the proof below we will simply write m(k) for the operator $\mathbb{1} \otimes m(k)$. This should not create confusion since it will be clear from the context if m(k) is considered as an operator on $\Gamma(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, dk)) \otimes \mathcal{K}$ or on \mathcal{K} .

Set for $0 < C_1 < C_2$, $\chi_{>C_1} = 1_{\{|k| < C_1\}}$, $\chi_{<C_2} = 1_{\{|k| > C_2\}}$,

$$l =: \chi_{>C_1} + \chi_{$$

and $T_{<C_1}(k) = \chi_{<C_1}(k)T(k), T_{>C_2}(k) = \chi_{>C_2}(k)T(k),$

$$T =: T_{C_2} + R_{C_1,C_2}.$$

Then:

$$\begin{aligned} \|U(s)T - T\|_{\mathcal{F}} &\leq \|U(s)T_{C_2} - T_{>C_2}\|_{\mathcal{F}} + \|U(s)R_{C_1,C_2} - R_{C_1,C_2}\|_{\mathcal{F}} \\ &\leq 2\|T_{C_2}\|_{\mathcal{F}} + \|U(s)R_{C_1,C_2} - R_{C_1,C_2}\|_{\mathcal{F}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\int ||T(k)||^2_{B(\mathcal{H})} \mathrm{d}k < \infty$, we have:

(3.4)
$$\lim_{C_1 \to 0} \|T_{< C_1}\|_{\mathcal{F}} = \lim_{C_2 \to +\infty} \|T_{> C_2}\|_{\mathcal{F}} = 0.$$

Let us now fix $0 < C_1 < C_2$. We have:

$$U(s)R_{C_1,C_2} - R_{C_1,C_2} = (U(s)\chi_{C_1,C_2} - \chi_{C_1,C_2})T + U(s)\chi_{C_1,C_2}(U(s)T - T),$$

and

$$\|(U(s)\chi_{C_1,C_2} - \chi_{C_1,C_2})T\|_{\mathcal{F}}^2$$

(3.5)
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\chi_{C_1, C_2}(k-s) - \chi_{C_1, C_2}(k)|^2 ||T(k)||^2_{B(\mathcal{H})} dk \to 0 \text{ when } s \to 0,$$

by dominated convergence. Now:

(3.6)
$$\|U(s)\chi_{C_1,C_2}(U(s)T-T)\|_{\mathcal{F}}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \chi_{C_1,C_2}^2(k-s) \|T(k-s) - T(k)\|_{B(\mathcal{H})}^2 \mathrm{d}k$$
$$\leq \int_{C_1/2 \leq |k| \leq 2C_2} \|T(k-s) - T(k)\|_{B(\mathcal{H})}^2 \mathrm{d}k,$$

if $|s| < C_1/4$. Next we have:

$$T(k-s) - T(k) = (E - H - \omega(k))^{-1}(m(k-s) - m(k)) + (E - H - \omega(k))^{-1}(E - H - \omega(k-s))^{-1}m(k-s)(\omega(k-s) - \omega(k)),$$

 $\mathbf{so:}$

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(k-s) - T(k)\|_{B(\mathcal{H})} \\ &\leq \|(E-H-\omega(k))^{-1}(K+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{B(\mathcal{H})}\|(K+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(m(k-s) - m(k))\|_{B(\mathcal{K})} \\ &+ \frac{1}{\omega(k-s)}\|(E-H-\omega(k))^{-1}(K+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{B(\mathcal{H})}\|(K+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}}m(k-s)\|_{B(\mathcal{K})}|s| \\ &\leq C_{C_1,C_2}\|(K+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(m(k-s) - m(k))\|_{B(\mathcal{K})} + C_{C_1,C_2}|s|\|(K+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}}m(k-s)\|_{B(\mathcal{K})}, \end{aligned}$$

uniformly for $C_1/2 \le |k| \le 2C_2$ and $|s| < C_1/4$.

By (3.3), we obtain:

(3.7)
$$\lim_{s \to 0} \int_{C_1/2 \le |k| \le 2C_2} \|T(k-s) - T(k)\|_{B(\mathcal{H})}^2 \mathrm{d}k = 0.$$

To prove i) we first fix $C_1 \ll 1$ and $C_2 \gg 1$ and then let $s \to 0$ using (3.5) and (3.7).

Statement ii) follows from i), using:

$$||T_R - T||_{\mathcal{F}} \le (2\pi)^{-d} \int |\widehat{F}|(s)||U(-R^{-1}s)T - T||_{\mathcal{F}} \mathrm{d}s.$$

Lemma 3.2 Let $\mathbb{R}^d \ni k \mapsto m(k) \in B(\mathcal{K})$ be a weakly measurable map such that for all $0 < C_1 < C_2$ one has:

$$\int_{C_1 \le |k| \le C_2} \|m(k)\|_{B(\mathcal{K})}^2 \mathrm{d}k < \infty.$$

Let $R \ge 0$ be a compact selfadjoint operator on \mathcal{K} . Then for all $0 < C_1 < C_2$ one has:

$$\lim_{s \to 0} \int_{C_1 \le |k| \le C_2} \|R(m(k-s) - m(k))\|_{B(\mathcal{K})}^2 \mathrm{d}k = 0.$$

Proof. Let us fix $0 < C_1 < C_2$ and let $\chi = 1_{\{C_1/2 \le |k| \le 2C_2\}}$, $\tilde{m}(k) := \chi(k)m(k)$. We have:

$$\tilde{m}(k-s) - \tilde{m}(k) = \chi(k-s)(m(k-s) - m(k)) + (\chi(k-s) - \chi(k))m(k).$$

If $C_1 \leq |k| \leq C_2$ and $|s| \leq C_1/2$, we have $C_1/2 \leq |k-s| \leq 2C_2$ and hence:

(3.8)
$$\|R(m(k-s) - m(k))\| = \|\chi(k-s)R(m(k-s) - m(k))\| \le \|R(\tilde{m}(k-s) - \tilde{m}(k))\| + |\chi(k-s) - \chi(k)| \|Rm(k)\|.$$

By dominated convergence we have:

$$\lim_{s \to 0} \int_{C_1 \le |k| \le C_2} |\chi(k-s) - \chi(k)|^2 ||Rm(k)||^2 \mathrm{d}k = 0,$$

so using (3.8) it suffices to prove:

(3.9)
$$\lim_{s \to 0} \int \|R(\tilde{m}(k-s) - \tilde{m}(k))\|_{B(\mathcal{K})}^2 \mathrm{d}k = 0.$$

Since $k \mapsto \tilde{m}(k)$ is weakly measurable, so is $k \to \tilde{m}^*(k)$. So we can consider the map $M \in B(\mathcal{K}, L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{K}))$ defined by:

$$(M\psi)(k) := \tilde{m}^*(k)\psi, \quad \psi \in \mathcal{K},$$

and

$$\|M\psi\|^{2} = \int \|\tilde{m}^{*}(k)\psi\|_{\mathcal{K}}^{2} \mathrm{d}k \le \|\psi\|^{2} \int \|\tilde{m}(k)\|_{B(\mathcal{K})}^{2} \mathrm{d}k.$$

The group U(s) of translations on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{K})$ defined by:

$$U(s)u(k) := u(k-s), \quad u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{K})$$

is strongly continuous. Hence for each $\psi \in \mathcal{K}$, we have:

(3.10)
$$\lim_{s \to 0} \|U(s)M\psi - M\psi\|^2 = \lim_{s \to 0} \int \|(\tilde{m}^*(k-s) - \tilde{m}^*(k))\psi\|_{\mathcal{K}}^2 \mathrm{d}k = 0.$$

Let us fix $\epsilon > 0$. Since R is compact, we can write:

$$R = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_i |e_i\rangle \langle e_i| + R_\epsilon,$$

where $\lambda_i \geq 0$, $\{e_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an o.n. basis of \mathcal{K} and $\|R_{\epsilon}\|_{B(\mathcal{K})} \leq \epsilon$. This yields:

$$\|R(\tilde{m}(k-s) - \tilde{m}(k))\|_{B(\mathcal{K})} \le \sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_i \|(\tilde{m}^*(k-s) - \tilde{m}^*(k))e_i\|_{\mathcal{K}} + \|R_{\epsilon}\|_{B(\mathcal{K})} (\|\tilde{m}(k-s)\|_{B(\mathcal{K})} + \|\tilde{m}(k)\|_{B(\mathcal{K})}).$$

Fixing first $\epsilon > 0$ and letting then $s \to 0$ using (3.10) we obtain (3.9). This completes the proof of the lemma. \Box

Proof of Lemma 1.1 Recall that if B is a bounded operator on \mathfrak{h} with distribution kernel b(k, k'), we have

$$(u, \mathrm{d}\Gamma(B)u) = \int \int b(k, k')(a(k)u, a(k')u) \mathrm{d}k \mathrm{d}k', \ u \in D(N^{\frac{1}{2}})$$

Using this identity, we obtain

$$(\psi_{\sigma}, \mathrm{d}\Gamma(1-F_R)\psi_{\sigma}) = (a(\cdot)\psi_{\sigma}, (1-F(\frac{|D_k|}{R}))a(\cdot)\psi_{\sigma})_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathrm{d}k;\mathcal{H})}.$$

By [1, Prop. IV.4], we have:

$$a(\cdot)\psi_{\sigma} = (E - H - \omega(\cdot))^{-1}v(\cdot)\psi_{\sigma} + o(\sigma^0) \text{ in } L^2(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathcal{H}),$$

hence:

$$(\psi_{\sigma}, \mathrm{d}\Gamma(1 - F_R)\psi_{\sigma}) = ((E - H - \omega(\cdot))^{-1}v(\cdot)\psi_{\sigma}, (1 - F(\frac{|D_k|}{R}))(E - H - \omega(\cdot))^{-1}v(\cdot)\psi_{\sigma}) + o(\sigma^0),$$

uniformly in R. This yields:

$$\begin{aligned} &(\psi_{\sigma}, \mathrm{d}\Gamma(1-F_{R})\psi_{\sigma}) \\ &\leq \|(E-H-\omega(\cdot))^{-1}v(\cdot)\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d},B(\mathcal{H}))} \times \\ &\|(1-F(\frac{|D_{k}|}{R}))(E-H-\omega(\cdot))^{-1}v(\cdot)(K+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d},B(\mathcal{H}))} \times \|(K+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}\psi_{\sigma}\|_{\mathcal{H}} + o(\sigma^{0}). \end{aligned}$$

By [1, Lemma IV.1], we have:

$$\|(K+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}\psi_{\sigma}\|_{\mathcal{H}} \le (\psi_{\sigma}, H_0\psi_{\sigma})^{\frac{1}{2}} \le C, \text{ uniformly in } \sigma > 0,$$

hence:

$$(\psi_{\sigma}, \mathrm{d}\Gamma(1-F_R)\psi_{\sigma}) \le C \| (1-F(\frac{|D_k|}{R}))(E-H-\omega(\cdot))^{-1}v(\cdot)(K+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, B(\mathcal{H}))} + o(\sigma^0),$$

uniformly in σ, R .

We apply now Lemma 3.1 to $m(k) = v(k)(K+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, checking its hypotheses: first by [1, Hyp. (I1)], the map $k \mapsto m(k) \in B(\mathcal{K})$ is weakly measurable, and by [1, Hyp. (I3)], we have

$$\int \omega(k)^{-2} \|m(k)\|_{B(\mathcal{K})}^2 \mathrm{d}k < \infty.$$

Moreover again by [1, Hyp. (I1)], we have:

$$\int_{C_1 \le |k| \le C_2} \|m(k)\|_{B(\mathcal{K})}^2 \mathrm{d}k < \infty, \quad \forall 0 < C_1 < C_2.$$

By [1, Hyp. (H0)], we can hence apply Lemma 3.2 to m(k) for $R = (K+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. It follows from Lemma 3.2 that hypothesis (3.3) of Lemma 3.1 is satisfied. Applying then Lemma 3.1, we obtain that:

$$\lim_{R \to \infty} \| (1 - F(\frac{|D_k|}{R}))(E - H - \omega(\cdot))^{-1} v(\cdot)(K+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, B(\mathcal{H}))} = 0,$$

which completes the proof of Lemma 1.1. \Box

References

[1] On the existence of ground states for massless Pauli-Fierz Hamiltonians. Ann. Henri Poincaré 1 (2000), no. 3, p 443-45.