

# Groups and geometry

Mid-term exam

## Exercise 1

The goal of this exercise is to show that the exponential map  $\exp : \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$  is surjective.

Let  $A \in \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ . For  $P = \sum_{k=0}^d a_k X^k \in \mathbb{C}[X]$ , we write  $P(A) = \sum_{k=0}^d a_k A^k \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ . Let:

$$\mathbb{C}[A] = \{P(A) \mid P \in \mathbb{C}[X]\} \subset \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$$

We also consider  $\mathbb{C}[A]^\times = \mathbb{C}[A] \cap \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ .

1. Prove that  $A^{-1} \in \mathbb{C}[A]$ , then show that  $\mathbb{C}[A]^\times$  is a subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ .

*Solution:* Consider  $P_A(X) = \det(X1_n - A)$  the characteristic polynomial of  $A$ . According to the Cayley-Hamilton Theorem, we have  $P_A(A) = 0$ . Write  $P_A = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k X^k$ . Note that  $a_0 = (-1)^n \det A \neq 0$ , so we can consider the polynomial  $Q_A = -\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{a_{k+1}}{a_0} X^k$  and write  $P_A(X) = a_0 - a_0 X Q_A(X)$ . Now  $P_A(A) = 0$  leads to  $A^{-1} = Q_A(A) \in \mathbb{C}[A]$ .

Let us now show that  $\mathbb{C}[A]^\times$  is a subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ . It contains  $1_n = 1(A)$ . Given  $B = P(A), C = Q(A) \in \mathbb{C}[A]^\times$ , we have  $BC = PQ(A) \in \mathbb{C}[A]$ , and  $BC \in \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ , so  $BC \in \mathbb{C}[A]^\times$ .

Let  $B = P(A) \in \mathbb{C}[A]^\times$ . Applying the previous result to  $B$ , there is a polynomial  $Q_B \in \mathbb{C}[X]$  such that  $B^{-1} = Q_B(B)$ , so  $B^{-1} = Q_B(B) = Q_B \circ P(A) \in \mathbb{C}[A]$ , hence  $B^{-1} \in \mathbb{C}[A]^\times$  and this concludes the proof that  $\mathbb{C}[A]^\times$  is a subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ .

2. Prove that  $\mathbb{C}[A]^\times$  is connected.

*Hint:* for  $B, C \in \mathbb{C}[A]^\times$ , consider the polynomial  $Q(X) = \det(B + X(C - B)) \in \mathbb{C}[X]$ , and use the fact that  $\mathbb{C} \setminus F$  is connected whenever  $F \subset \mathbb{C}$  is finite.

*Solution:* Let  $B, C \in \mathbb{C}[A]^\times$ , and consider the polynomial  $Q(X) = \det(B + X(C - B)) \in \mathbb{C}[X]$ . Since  $Q(0) = \det B \neq 0$ , we know that  $Q \neq 0$  so  $F = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid Q(z) = 0\}$  is finite. Since  $0 \in F$  and  $1 \in F$  (because  $Q(1) = \det C \neq 0$ ), there is a continuous path  $c : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus F$  such that  $c(0) = 0$  and  $c(1) = 1$ . Now consider  $\gamma(t) = B + c(t)(C - B)$ . This is a continuous path  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[A]^\times$  such that  $\gamma(0) = B$  and  $\gamma(1) = C$ , so  $\mathbb{C}[A]^\times$  is path-connected.

3. Let  $G$  be a connected abelian Lie group. Prove that  $\exp_G$  is surjective.

*Solution:* Since  $G$  is abelian, the exponential map  $\exp_G : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow G$  is a Lie group morphism from  $(\mathfrak{g}, +)$  to  $G$ . Its image  $\exp_G(\mathfrak{g})$  is an open subgroup of  $G$  (because  $d_0 \exp_G = \mathrm{Id}_{\mathfrak{g}}$  is invertible), and any open subgroup is closed. It follows that  $\exp_G(\mathfrak{g})$  is open and closed in  $G$ . Since  $G$  is connected, we find that  $\exp_G$  is onto.

4. Conclude.

*Solution:* Note that  $\mathbb{C}[A]^\times$  is an open subset of the vector subspace  $\mathbb{C}[A]$  of  $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ , so it is a submanifold of  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ . It follows from question 1. that  $\mathbb{C}[A]^\times$  is an embedded Lie subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$

(and its Lie algebra is  $\mathbb{C}[A]$ ). It is also abelian (because  $P(A)Q(A) = PQ(A) = QP(A) = Q(A)P(A)$ ), and connected because of question 3.). According to question 3., there is  $B \in \mathbb{C}[A] \subset \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $A = \exp_{\mathbb{C}[A]^\times}(B) = \exp_{\text{GL}(n,\mathbb{C})}(B) = \exp(B)$ .

## Exercise 2

Let  $\xi = (E, p, M)$  be a vector bundle of rank  $r$ , and let  $\nabla$  be a connection on  $\xi$ . We consider the induced connection  $\nabla^{\text{End}}$  on the endomorphism bundle  $\text{End}(\xi) = \xi^* \otimes \xi$ . Recall that for  $\varphi \in \Gamma(\text{End}(\xi))$ ,  $\sigma \in \Gamma(\xi)$  and  $X \in \mathcal{X}(M) = \Gamma(TM)$ , we get:

$$\left(\nabla_X^{\text{End}} \varphi\right)(\sigma) = \nabla_X(\varphi(\sigma)) - \varphi(\nabla_X \sigma)$$

1. For  $\varphi \in \Gamma(\text{End}(\xi))$ ,  $\sigma \in \Gamma(\xi)$  and  $X, Y \in \mathcal{X}(M) = \Gamma(TM)$ , give an expression of  $\left(\nabla_X^{\text{End}} \nabla_Y^{\text{End}} \varphi\right)(\sigma)$  that does not involve the connection  $\nabla^{\text{End}}$ .

*Solution:*

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\nabla_X^{\text{End}} \nabla_Y^{\text{End}} \varphi\right)(\sigma) &= \nabla_X \left[ \left(\nabla_Y^{\text{End}} \varphi\right)(\sigma) \right] - \left[ \nabla_Y^{\text{End}} \varphi \right] (\nabla_X \sigma) \\ &= \nabla_X \left[ \nabla_Y(\varphi(\sigma)) - \varphi(\nabla_Y \sigma) \right] - \left[ \nabla_Y(\varphi(\nabla_X \sigma)) - \varphi(\nabla_Y \nabla_X \sigma) \right] \\ &= \nabla_X \nabla_Y(\varphi(\sigma)) - \nabla_X(\varphi(\nabla_Y \sigma)) - \nabla_Y(\varphi(\nabla_X \sigma)) + \varphi(\nabla_Y \nabla_X \sigma) \end{aligned}$$

2. Let  $F \in \Omega^2(\text{End}(\xi))$  be the curvature of  $\nabla$ , and  $F^{\text{End}} \in \Omega^2(\text{End}(\text{End}(\xi)))$  the curvature of  $\nabla^{\text{End}}$ . Prove that  $F^{\text{End}} = \text{ad}(F)$ , i.e.

$$\forall x \in M \quad \forall u, v \in T_x M \quad \forall \varphi \in \text{End}(\xi_x) \quad F_x^{\text{End}}(u, v)\varphi = [F_x(u, v), \varphi]$$

*Solution:* The previous computation yields

$$\left(\nabla_X^{\text{End}} \nabla_Y^{\text{End}} \varphi - \nabla_Y^{\text{End}} \nabla_X^{\text{End}} \varphi\right)(\sigma) = \nabla_X \nabla_Y(\varphi(\sigma)) - \nabla_Y \nabla_X(\varphi(\sigma)) - \varphi(\nabla_X \nabla_Y \sigma - \nabla_Y \nabla_X \sigma)$$

Since  $\left(\nabla_{[X, Y]}^{\text{End}} \varphi\right)(\sigma) = \nabla_{[X, Y]}(\varphi(\sigma)) - \varphi(\nabla_{[X, Y]} \sigma)$ , we find

$$\begin{aligned} \left(F^{\text{End}}(X, Y)\varphi\right)(\sigma) &= F(X, Y)(\varphi(\sigma)) - \varphi(F(X, Y)\sigma) \\ &= [F(X, Y), \varphi](\sigma) \end{aligned}$$

## Exercise 3

Let  $G$  be a Lie group,  $\mathfrak{g}$  its Lie algebra, and  $H \subset G$  a closed Lie subgroup whose Lie algebra is denoted by  $\mathfrak{h}$ . Consider  $\pi_H : G \rightarrow G/H$  and  $\pi_{\mathfrak{h}} : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$  the canonical projections.

1. Let  $V$  be a finite dimensional real vector space, and  $\rho : H \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$  a Lie group morphism. Consider the right action  $G \times V \curvearrowright H$  defined by  $(g, v).h = (gh, \rho(g^{-1}).v)$ . Prove that the quotient  $G \times V/H$  is the total space of a vector bundle  $\xi_\rho$  over  $G/H$ .

**Solution:** Let  $\pi_1 : G \times V \rightarrow G$  be the projection on the first factor.

The action of  $H$  on  $G \times V$  is smooth and free (if  $(g, v).h = (g, v)$ , then  $gh = g$  so  $h = e$ ). It is also proper: if  $K \subset G \times V$  is compact, then so is  $\pi_1(K)$ , and

$$\{h \in H \mid Kh \cap K \neq \emptyset\} \subset \{h \in H \mid \pi_1(K)h \cap \pi_1(K) \neq \emptyset\}$$

is compact. Let  $E_\rho$  be the quotient manifold, and  $\pi_\rho : G \times V \rightarrow E_\rho$  the projection. The smooth map  $\pi_H \circ \pi_1 : G \times V \rightarrow G/H$  is  $H$ -invariant, so it descends to a smooth map  $p : E_\rho \rightarrow G/H$ .

Since  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_H$  are both surjective submersions, the equality  $p \circ \pi_\rho = \pi_H \circ \pi_1$  shows that  $p$  is also a surjective submersion.

Consider a local section  $\sigma : U \rightarrow G$  of  $\pi_H$ , where  $U \subset G/H$  is open and  $\pi_H(e) \in U$ . For  $x \in U$ , consider the map  $\varphi_x : V \rightarrow p^{-1}(\{x\})$  defined by  $\varphi_x(v) = \pi_\rho(\sigma(x), v)$ . It is injective: if  $\varphi_x(v) = \varphi_x(w)$  for  $v, w \in V$ , then by definition of  $\pi_\rho$  there is  $h \in H$  such that  $(\sigma(x), w) = (\sigma(x), v).h$ . The first factor yields  $h = e$ , so the second yields  $v = w$ . It is also an immersion: if  $d_v \varphi_x(w) = 0$  for  $v, w \in V$ , then  $d_{(\sigma(x), v)} \pi_\rho(0, w) = 0$ , so the vector  $(0, w) \in T_{(\sigma(x), v)} G \times V$  is tangent to the fibre of  $\pi_\rho$ , which is the  $H$ -orbit of  $(\sigma(x), v)$ . Since  $T_{(\sigma(x), v)}(\sigma(x), v).H = \{(d_e L_\sigma(x)(X), -d_e \rho(X)v) \mid X \in \mathfrak{h}\}$ , we find that  $w = 0$ .

The map  $\varphi_x$  is surjective: if  $z \in p^{-1}(\{x\})$ , consider  $(g, v) \in G \times V$  such that  $z = \pi_\rho(g, v)$ . Since  $\pi_H(g) = p(z) = x$ , there is  $h \in H$  such that  $g = \sigma(x)h$ , and  $\varphi_x(\rho(h)v) = z$ .

We have shown that  $\varphi_x$  is an immersion and a bijection, so it is a diffeomorphism. So  $(\varphi_x)_{x \in U}$  is a trivialisation of  $p|_{p^{-1}(U)}$  with respect to  $V$ .

Given  $g \in G$ , we can consider the open set  $g.U \subset G/H$  and define  $\varphi_x^g(v) = \pi_\rho(g\sigma(g^{-1}.x), v)$  for  $x \in g.U$  and  $v \in V$ . Applying the previous arguments to the local section  $x \mapsto g\sigma(g^{-1}.x)$  of  $\pi_H$ , we find that  $\varphi_x^g$  is a diffeomorphism from  $V$  to  $p^{-1}(\{x\})$  for all  $x \in g.U$ .

If  $x \in g.U \cap h.U$ , there is  $k \in H$  such that  $g\sigma(g^{-1}.x) = h\sigma(h^{-1}.x)k$  and the transition map  $(\varphi_x^h)^{-1} \circ \varphi_x^g : V \rightarrow V$  is equal to  $\rho(k)$ , so it is linear, and this shows that  $\xi_\rho = (E_\rho, p, G/H)$  is a vector bundle of rank  $\dim V$ .

- Let  $\rho : H \rightarrow GL(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})$  be defined by  $\rho(h).\pi_{\mathfrak{h}}(X) = \pi_{\mathfrak{h}}(\text{Ad}(h)X)$  for all  $h \in H$  and  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ . Prove that this defines a Lie group morphism, and that the vector bundle  $\xi_\rho$  constructed in the previous question with  $V = \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$  is isomorphic to the tangent bundle  $T(G/H)$ .

**Solution:** The fact that  $\rho(h)$  is well defined comes from  $\text{Ad}(h)\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{h}$ . Consider a vector basis  $\mathcal{B} = (X_1, \dots, X_d)$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that  $(X_1, \dots, X_k)$  is a basis of  $\mathfrak{h}$ . Then for all  $h \in H$ , the matrix of  $\text{Ad}(h)$  in the basis  $\mathcal{B}$  writes as  $\begin{pmatrix} A(h) & B(h) \\ 0 & C(h) \end{pmatrix}$ . The matrix of  $\rho(h)$  in the basis  $(\pi_{\mathfrak{h}}(X_{k+1}), \dots, \pi_{\mathfrak{h}}(X_d))$  of  $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$  is  $C(h)$  and depends smoothly on  $h$  because  $\text{Ad}(h)$  does. This shows that  $\rho$  is smooth, so it is a Lie group morphism.

Consider the map  $\Psi : G \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow T(G/H)$  defined by  $\Psi(g, X) = (\pi_H(g), d_e(\pi_H \circ L_g)(X))$ . If  $X \in \mathfrak{h}$ , then  $d_e(\pi_H \circ L_g)(X) = d_e(\pi_H \circ L_g)\left(\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \exp_H(tX)\right) = \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \pi_H(g \exp_H(tX)) = \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \pi_H(g) = 0$ . It follows that  $\Psi$  descends to a smooth map  $\psi : G \times \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h} \rightarrow T(G/H)$  which is linear in the second variable.

Let  $g \in G$ ,  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $h \in H$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi(gh, \rho(h^{-1}) \cdot \pi_{\mathfrak{h}}(X)) &= \Psi(gh, \text{Ad}(h^{-1})X) \\
&= (\pi_H(gh), d_e(\pi_H \circ L_{gh})(\underbrace{\text{Ad}(h^{-1})X}_{=d_e \iota_{h^{-1}}})) \\
&= (\pi_H(g), d_e(\underbrace{\pi_H \circ L_{gh} \circ \iota_{h^{-1}}}_{=\pi_H \circ L_g})(X)) \\
&= \psi(gh, \pi_{\mathfrak{h}}(X))
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $\psi$  descends to a smooth function  $\Phi : E_\rho \rightarrow T(G/H)$ . In the trivialisation  $\varphi_x^g : \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h} \rightarrow p^{-1}(\{x\})$  defined in the previous question, we find  $\Phi \circ \varphi_x^g(\pi_{\mathfrak{h}}(X)) = (x, d_e(\pi_H \circ L_{g\sigma(g^{-1} \cdot x)})(X))$ . Since  $\ker d_e(\pi_H \circ L_{g\sigma(g^{-1} \cdot x)}) = \mathfrak{h}$ , we find that  $\Phi$  induces a linear isomorphism from the fibre  $p^{-1}(\{x\})$  to  $T_x G/H$ , so it is a vector bundle isomorphism.

3. Use a homogeneous space to identify  $T_V \mathcal{G}_k(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\text{Hom}(V, \mathbb{R}^d/V)$  for  $V \in \mathcal{G}_k(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

**Solution:** The action of  $G = GL(\mathbb{R}^d)$  on  $\mathcal{G}_k(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is transitive, so the differential of the orbit map identifies the tangent space of the homogeneous space  $\mathcal{G}_k(\mathbb{R}^d)$  at  $V$  with  $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$  where  $\mathfrak{h}$  is the Lie algebra of the stabiliser  $H \subset G$  of  $V$ . Since  $\mathfrak{h} = \{f \in \text{End}(\mathbb{R}^d) \mid f(V) \subset V\}$ , the map  $f \mapsto \pi_V \circ f|_V$  (where  $\pi_V : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d/V$  is the projection) induces an isomorphism from  $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$  to  $\text{Hom}(V, \mathbb{R}^d/V)$ .