

Strong perversity and vanishing cycles

Recall we have a Dolbeault moduli space $M_{r,d}^{Dol} = M_d^{Dol}(GL_r)$: parametrizing stable rank r , deg d Higgs bundle, with Hitchin map.

$$M_{r,d}^{Dol} \xrightarrow{h} \bigoplus_{i=1}^n H^0(X, \Omega_X^{\otimes i}) := A$$

It induces a perverse filtration on $H^*(M_{r,d}^{Dol}, \mathbb{Q})$ by A

$$P_i H^*(M_{r,d}^{Dol}, \mathbb{Q}) = \text{Im} \left(H^{i - \dim M_{r,d}^{Dol} + \dim M_{r,d}^{Dol} - \dim M_{r,d}^{Dol}}(\mathbb{Q}, \mathcal{R}_{h^*} \mathbb{R}h_* \mathbb{Q}[i]) \right)$$

$\begin{matrix} -\alpha+r \\ \uparrow \\ \text{defect of nonisomorphism} \end{matrix}$

\downarrow
 $H^*(M_{r,d}^{Dol}, \mathbb{Q})$

which gives information on the non-remissalness of h .

Another is the Betti moduli space $M_{r,d}^B$ parametrizing reps $\rho: \pi_1(X \setminus X_0) \rightarrow GL_r(\mathbb{C})$ with monodromy $\prod X_i Y_i X_i^{-1} Y_i^{-1} = e^{\frac{2\pi i d}{r}} \text{Id}$. It's a smooth affine variety. MHS gives

$$W_0 H^*(M_{r,d}^B, \mathbb{Q}) \subset \dots \subset W_n H^*(M_{r,d}^B, \mathbb{Q}) \subset \dots$$

$\text{Log}(P=W)$ Under nonabelian Hodge theorem,

$$P_k H^m(M_{r,d}^{Dol}, \mathbb{Q}) = W_{2k} H^m(M_{r,d}^B, \mathbb{Q})$$

Variant: $\widehat{M}_{r,d}^{Dol} : \mathbb{Q} = \widetilde{M}_{r,d}^{Dol} / \Gamma$, where $\widetilde{M}_{r,d}^{Dol}$ parametrizing (E, θ) with $\text{det}(E) \cong X$ $\in \text{Pic}^d \mathcal{C}$ fixed and $t_\theta = 0$, $\Gamma = \text{Pic}^0 X[n]$: can be seen as PGL_n -Higgs with deg d . It's a **nonringular DM stack**, with a Hitchin map

$$\widehat{M}_{r,d}^{Dol} \xrightarrow{\widehat{h}} \bigoplus_{i \geq 2} H^0(X, \Omega_X^{\otimes i})$$

We can also define $\widehat{M}_{r,d}^B$, under Simpson correspondence,

$\text{Log}(P=W)$ for PGL_n , which is equiv. to GL_n

$$P_k H^m(\widehat{M}_{r,d}^{Dol}, \mathbb{Q}) = W_{2k} H^m(\widehat{M}_{r,d}^B, \mathbb{Q})$$

Thm (Markman, Shende)

$$1) \quad c_k(\gamma) := \int_X c_k(\mathcal{U}) \quad \text{for } \mathcal{U} \rightarrow X \times \widehat{M}_{r,d}^{Dol}, \quad \gamma \in H^*(X, \mathbb{Q}),$$

$\begin{matrix} \text{generates} \\ \text{see} \end{matrix}$

$\text{generates } H^*(\widehat{M}_{r,d}^{Dol}, \mathbb{Q})$

2) $\zeta_k(\gamma)$ lies in $W_{2k} H^{2k} \cap F^k H^*(\widehat{M}_{r,d}^B, \mathbb{Q})$ under Simpson correspondence

lem If $W_{2k} \subset P_k$ for all k , then $W_{2k} = P_k$.

pf Both filtrations satisfies various hard self-duality.

$$\dim \mathcal{G}_{\frac{\dim \widehat{M}}{2} - m}^P H^j(\widehat{M}, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathcal{G}_{\frac{\dim \widehat{M}}{2} + m}^P H^{j+2m}(\widehat{M}, \mathbb{Q})$$

and

$$\dim \mathcal{G}_{\dim \widehat{M} - 2m}^W H^j(\widehat{M}, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathcal{G}_{\dim \widehat{M} + 2m}^W H^{j+2m}(\widehat{M}, \mathbb{Q})$$

Hence, to show $P=W$, it suffices to show ~~for each k~~

$$\prod_{i=1}^0 \zeta_k(\gamma_i) \in P_{\sum k_i} H^*(\widehat{M}_{r,d}^B, \mathbb{Q}) \quad (*)$$

But even if we know each $\zeta_k(\gamma) \in P_k H^*$, we cannot conclude $\prod \zeta_k(\gamma) \in P_{\sum k}$, we need the right notion: strong perversity.

Def For a $\gamma \in H^l(X, \mathbb{Q})$, $f: X \rightarrow Y$ proper, we say γ has strong perversity e w.r.t to f if \bullet (under $\gamma: \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[e]$)

$$(c < l) \quad \gamma(P_{\leq c} Rf_* \mathbb{Q}) \subset P_{\leq c+(c-l)}(Rf_* \mathbb{Q}[l])$$

Properties

• If γ has ... e , then

$$\gamma \cup - : F^i H^m(X, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow P_{i+c} H^{m+l}(X, \mathbb{Q})$$

pf $\gamma \cup -$ maps $I_m H^{m-\dim X+r}(Y, P_{\leq i}(Rf_* \mathbb{Q}[\dim X-r]))$
to $I_m H^{m-\dim X+r}(Y, P_{\leq i+(c-l)}(Rf_* \mathbb{Q}[\dim X-r])[l])$

$$I_m H^{m-\dim X+r}(Y, P_{\leq i+c} Rf_* \mathbb{Q}[\dim X-r][l])$$

which is $P_{i+c} H^{m+l}(X, \mathbb{Q})$.

• If $\gamma_i \in H^{l_i}$ has ... c_i , then $\gamma_1 \cup \dots \cup \gamma_s \in H^{\sum l_i}$ has ... $\sum c_i$.

pf $\bigcup_{i=1}^s \gamma_i (P_{\leq c_i} Rf_* \mathbb{Q}) \subset P_{\leq i + (\sum c_i - \sum l_i)}(Rf_* \mathbb{Q}[\sum l_i])$

Now, we use the evaluation map at $x \in X$, get a smooth map. (need to prove)
 $ev_x: \widehat{M}_{r,d}^{Del, \mathbb{Z}(p)} \rightarrow [\mathbb{P}^1/\mathbb{S}L_2]$, hence $\mu \circ ev_x$ gives

$$ev_x^* \circ \varphi_\mu = \varphi_{ev_x} \circ ev_x^*$$

which gives (notice $ev_x^{-1}(0) = \widehat{M}_{r,d}^{Del, \mathbb{Z}(p)}$)

$$\varphi_{\mu \circ ev_x}(\mathbb{P}^1) = ev_x^* \circ i_* \mathbb{C} = i_* \mathbb{C}$$

$$\Rightarrow \varphi_{\mu \circ ev_x}(IC) = IC(\widehat{M}_{r,d}^{Del, \mathbb{Z}(p)})$$

Hence we need to discuss the relation between vanishing cycles and strong perversity.

Prop let $g: X \rightarrow A^1$, $X' \subset X_0$ s.t. $\phi_g := \phi_g(IC_X)$ is $\in IC(X')$. Assume further we have a diagram (f proper) (all thing non-singular)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{i} & X \\ \downarrow f' & & \downarrow f \\ Y' & \xrightarrow{\quad} & Y \xrightarrow{\quad} A^1 \end{array}$$

Then if $\gamma \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$ has strong perversity \leq w.r.t f , then $i^* \gamma \in H^2(X', \mathbb{Q})$ has strong perversity \leq w.r.t f' .

In our case,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Q} \widehat{M}_{r,d}^{Del, \mathbb{Z}(p)} & \xrightarrow{i} & \widehat{M}_{r,d}^{Del, \mathbb{Z}(p)} \\ \downarrow f' & & \downarrow f \\ \widehat{A}^1 & \xrightarrow{i'} & \widehat{A}^1(p) \xrightarrow{ev_x} \mathbb{P}^1/\mathbb{S}L_2 \xrightarrow{\mu} A^1 \end{array}$$

Then from strong perversity of $\mathcal{U}_{Ch(\mathbb{P}^1)}$ on $\widehat{M}_{r,d}^{Del, \mathbb{Z}(p)}$ we can deduce ... of $\mathcal{U}_{Ch(\mathbb{P}^1)}$ on $\widehat{M}_{r,d}^{Del, \mathbb{Z}(p)}$

Pf We know $\gamma: Rf_* \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow Rf_* \mathbb{Q}[l]$ satisfies $\gamma(P_{\leq i} Rf_* \mathbb{Q}) \subset P_{\leq i+c-l} (Rf_* \mathbb{Q}[l])$.

The problem is i^* doesn't preserve perverse truncation, but vanishing cycle functor preserves t -exactness! So we have

$$\phi_g(\gamma): \phi_g(Rf_* \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \phi_g(Rf_* \mathbb{Q}[l]) \xrightarrow{\text{base change } \downarrow} Rf'_* \phi_g(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow Rf'_* \phi_g(\mathbb{Q}[l])$$

$$\text{base change } \downarrow \text{ for } Rf'_* \phi_g(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow Rf'_* \phi_g(\mathbb{Q}[l]) \text{ because } \phi_g(\mathbb{Q}(-)) \in D_c^b(X')$$

which will satisfies $\phi_g(\gamma) (P_{\leq i} Rf'_* \phi_g(\mathbb{Q}[l])) \subset P_{\leq i+c-l} Rf'_* \phi_g(\mathbb{Q}[l])$ i.e.

$$\phi_g(\gamma) (P_{\leq i} Rf'_* \mathbb{Q}[l]) \subset P_{\leq i+c-l} Rf'_* \mathbb{Q}[l]$$

to prove the $P=W$ conj, we need only to show strong perversity k of $C_k(8)$,
 plotot $(k(U) \in H^{2k}(\mathbb{R} \times \widehat{M}_{r,d}^{\text{Dol}}, \mathbb{Q}))$ has strong perversity k wrt $\text{id} \times k$.

It will be better if we switch to L -twisted Higgs bundle, ~~we~~ denote its moduli space as

$\widehat{M}_{r,d}^{\text{Dol}, L}$, because now the Hitchin fibration is $h^L: \widehat{M}_{r,d}^{\text{Dol}, L} \rightarrow \widehat{A}^L$ was good
 support theorem [Chaudouard-Lumon]. As we will see, $\widehat{M}_{r,d}^{\text{Dol}, L}$ is a closed ~~sub~~ ^{immersion}

in $\widehat{M}_{r,d}^{\text{Dol}, L(\varphi)}$, and its IC sheaf can be identified with a vanishing cycle sheaf wrt.
 some function $\varphi: \widehat{M}_{r,d}^{\text{Dol}, L(\varphi)} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$. We need first the notion of vanishing cycle sheaf.

Def The vanishing cycle is defined as a functor (for $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$)

$$\Psi_f = i^* R(j_0 \circ \pi)_* (j_0 \circ \pi)^{-1}(\mathcal{F}) : \mathcal{D}_b(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_b(X_0)$$

where $X_0 \xrightarrow{i} X \xleftarrow{j} X_1 \xleftarrow{\widehat{\pi}} \widetilde{X}_1$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{C}^* & \xleftarrow{\text{exp}} & \mathbb{C} \end{array}$$

For all points $x \in X_0$, consider the Milnor fibration fiber $F_x = B_\delta^0(x) \cap X_t$
 whose homotopy type is independent of t for $0 < t \ll \varepsilon$. Then

$$\mathcal{H}^k(\Psi_f \mathcal{F})_x \cong \mathbb{H}^k(F_x, \mathcal{F}) \quad \text{because } (j_0 \circ \pi)(B_\delta^0(x)) \text{ is homoe. to } F_x.$$

$$\lim_{U \ni x} R^k(j_0 \circ \widehat{\pi})_* (j_0 \circ \widehat{\pi})^{-1}(\mathcal{F})(U) = \lim_{B_\delta^0(x) \ni x} \dots = \lim_{B_\delta^0(x) \ni x} \mathbb{H}^k((j_0 \circ \widehat{\pi})^{-1}(B_\delta^0(x)), \mathcal{F} | \dots)$$

Def The vanishing cycle is defined as the cone of natural map

$$\phi_f(\mathcal{F}) := \text{cone}(i^* \mathcal{F} \rightarrow i^* R(j_0 \circ \widehat{\pi})_* (j_0 \circ \widehat{\pi})^{-1}(\mathcal{F}))[-1]$$

Hence, we have an exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^k(B_\delta^0(x) \cap X_0, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^k(B_\delta^0(x) \cap X_t, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{k+1}(\phi_f(\mathcal{F}))_x \rightarrow \dots$$

From this, we know that at least for $\mathcal{F} = \mathbb{C}$, $\text{supp}(\mathcal{H}^k(\phi_f(\mathbb{C})))_x \subset X_0 \cap \{x \mid df=0\}$

Ex $\mu = \mathbb{B}(\cdot, \cdot) : \mathbb{H}^n / \text{SL}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ satisfies

$$\phi_\mu(\mathbb{C}[\oplus \dim \mathbb{P}^n]) = i_* \mathbb{C}, \quad i: \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1,$$

because the only critical point is 0, and locally μ is given by $c(\sum \delta_i^2)$.

The Milnor fiber is given by $\sum |\delta_i|^2 \leq \delta, \sum \delta_i^2 = t$. Hence $\dim \mathcal{H}^0(\phi_\mu(\mathbb{C}[\oplus \dim \mathbb{P}^n])) = 1$.

this $\phi_g(\gamma)$ will be $i^*\gamma : Rf'_* \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow Rf'_* \mathcal{O}_X[l]$, because

$$\phi_g(\gamma) = \phi_g(\mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{\gamma} \mathcal{O}_X[l]) = \phi_g(\mathcal{O}_X) \xrightarrow{\gamma \circ \phi_g(\mathcal{O}_X)} \phi_g(\mathcal{O}_X[l]) = i^*\phi_g(\mathcal{O}_X) \xrightarrow{i^*\gamma \circ \phi_g(\mathcal{O}_X)} i^*\phi_g(\mathcal{O}_X[l])$$

$$(\text{under some shift}) = i^*\mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{i^*\gamma} i^*\mathcal{O}_X[l].$$

Appendix:

Properties of Ψ_g & ϕ_g :

- Base change: $X \xrightarrow{g} Y \xrightarrow{f} A^1 \Rightarrow \phi_f(Rg_*\mathcal{F}) \cong Rg_*(\phi_{f \circ g}\mathcal{F})$ for g proper
- functoriality: $X \xrightarrow{g} Y \xrightarrow{f} A^1 \Rightarrow g^*\phi_f(\mathcal{F}) \cong \phi_{f \circ g}(g^*\mathcal{F})$ for g smooth
- They are t -exact (hard!)

Pf. First we can show $\phi_f, \Psi_f[-1]$ commutes with Verdier dual functor, i.e.

$$\phi_f(D\mathcal{F}) = D\phi_f(\mathcal{F}) \Rightarrow$$

$$\text{cone}(i^*D\mathcal{F} \rightarrow i^*R\hat{\sigma}_* \hat{\sigma}^*(D\mathcal{F})[-1]) = \text{cone}(D(i^*\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow D(i^*R(\hat{\sigma}^!)(\hat{\sigma}^!\mathcal{F}))[-1])$$

And then we prove the right t -exactness of $\Psi_f[-1]$ (then right t -exactness of ϕ_f follow automatically). Assume f is ~~given by an alg family~~ ^{regular outside 0}. Then local monodromy theorem says monodromy operator satisfies $(T-1)^n = 0$. By changing to a k -covering of $D-\{0\}$, we can assume $(T-1)^n = 0$. We have

$$i^*Rj_*j^* \rightarrow \Psi_f \xrightarrow{T-1} \Psi_f \rightarrow \dots \quad i \geq 0$$

$$\text{If } \mathcal{F} \in \text{Per}(X) \Rightarrow {}^rH^i(\Psi_f\mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{T-1} {}^rH^i(\Psi_f\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow {}^rH^{i+n}(i^*Rj_*j^*\mathcal{F}) = 0$$

\downarrow
surj & nilpotent

$$\Rightarrow {}^rH^i(\Psi_f\mathcal{F}) = 0 \Rightarrow \Psi_f\mathcal{F} \in D^{\leq -1}(X).$$