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## Lattice-Boltzmann basics

Moments, distributions, lattices, discretization

Mass, momentum and energy conservations,

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial \rho u_{\beta}}{\partial x_{\beta}} & =0,  \tag{1}\\
\frac{\partial \rho u_{\alpha}}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial\left[\rho u_{\alpha} u_{\beta}+p \delta_{\alpha \beta}-\mathcal{T}_{\alpha \beta}\right]}{\partial x_{\beta}} & =0 .  \tag{2}\\
\frac{\partial \rho\left(e+u_{\alpha}^{2} / 2\right)}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial\left[\left(\rho\left(e+u_{\alpha}^{2} / 2\right)+p\right) u_{\beta}+q_{\beta}-u_{\alpha} \mathcal{T}_{\alpha \beta}\right]}{\partial x_{\beta}} & =0 . \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

## Equations of state, e.g
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\end{align*}
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Equations of state, e.g.

$$
\begin{array}{r}
p=\rho R T, \\
e=C_{v} T+e_{0} . \tag{5}
\end{array}
$$

## Constitutive equations,
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\begin{array}{r}
p=\rho R T, \\
e=C_{v} T+e_{0} . \tag{5}
\end{array}
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$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Constitutive equations, } \quad q_{\alpha}=-\lambda \frac{\partial T}{\partial x_{\alpha}},  \tag{6}\\
& \mathcal{T}_{\alpha \beta}=\mu\left[\frac{\partial u_{\alpha}}{\partial x_{\beta}}+\frac{\partial u_{\beta}}{\partial x_{\alpha}}-\delta_{\alpha \beta} \frac{2}{3} \frac{\partial u_{\gamma}}{\partial x_{\gamma}}\right] . \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

## Late 1980s, birth of Lattice-Boltzmann Methods

## Use of the Boltzmann Equation to Simulate Lattice-Gas Automata

Guy R. McNamara and Gianluigi Zanetti ${ }^{(\mathrm{a})}$
The Research Institutes, The University of Chicago, 5640 South Ellis Avenue, Chicago, Illinois 60637
Figure 1: Guy R. McNamara and Gianluigi Zanetti, first Lattice-Boltzmann Model.

## LBM algorithm is basically :

- Collision, local step
- Streaming, memory-shift
$\rightarrow$ Attractive method!

McNamara, G. R., \& Zanetti, G. Use of the Boltzmann equation to simulate lattice-gas automata, Physical review letters, 1988.
O'Brien, P. M. A framework for digital watercolor, MSc thesis, Texas A\&M University, 2008.


Figure 4: D3Q15, D3Q19 and D3Q27 lattices.

Figure 3: D2Q9 lattice.

Each different lattice leads to a different Discrete Velocity Boltzmann Equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial t}+c_{i \alpha} \frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial x_{\alpha}}=-\frac{1}{\tau}\left(f_{i}-f_{i}^{e q}\right)=-\frac{1}{\tau} f_{i}^{n e q}, \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$c_{i}$ with $i=0, \ldots, q-1$ and $f_{i}(t, \boldsymbol{x})=f\left(t, x, c_{i}\right)$.

- Discrete Velocity Boltzmann Equation (DVBE) with BGK collision kernel,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial t}+c_{i \alpha} \frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial x_{\alpha}}=-\frac{1}{\tau}\left(f_{i}-f_{i}^{e q}\right)=-\frac{1}{\tau} f_{i}^{n e q} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Integration along characteristic $d \boldsymbol{x}=\boldsymbol{c}_{i} d t$ and Crank-Nicolson,
$f_{i}\left(t+\Delta t, \boldsymbol{x}+\boldsymbol{c}_{i} \Delta t\right)=f_{i}(t, \boldsymbol{x})-\frac{\Delta t}{2}\left\{\left[\frac{1}{\tau} f_{i}^{n e q}\right](t, \boldsymbol{x})+\left[\frac{1}{\tau} f_{i}^{n e q}\right]\left(t+\Delta t, \boldsymbol{x}+\boldsymbol{c}_{i} \Delta t\right)\right\}$.
- Change of variables $\bar{f}_{i}=f_{i}+\frac{\Delta t}{2 \tau} f_{i}^{\text {neq }}$ and $\bar{\tau}=\tau+\Delta t / 2$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{f}_{i}\left(t+\Delta t, \boldsymbol{x}+\boldsymbol{c}_{i} \Delta t\right) & =\left\{f_{i}-\frac{\Delta t}{2 \tau} f_{i}^{n e q}\right\}(t, \boldsymbol{x}),  \tag{10}\\
& =\left\{f_{i}^{e q}+\left[1-\frac{\Delta t}{2 \tau}\right] f_{i}^{n e q}\right\}(t, \boldsymbol{x}),  \tag{11}\\
& =\left\{f_{i}^{e q}+\left[1-\frac{\Delta t}{\tau+\Delta t / 2}\right] \bar{f}_{i}^{\text {neq }}\right\}(t, \boldsymbol{x}) . \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

By definition in Lattice-Boltzmann $f_{i}=f_{i}^{\text {eq }}+f_{i}^{\text {neq }}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=\Pi^{f,(0)}=\sum_{i} f_{i}=\sum_{i} f_{i}^{e q}, \quad \rho u_{\alpha}=\Pi_{\alpha}^{f,(1)}=\sum_{i} c_{i \alpha} f_{i}=\sum_{i} c_{i \alpha} f_{i}^{e q} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

additionally, $f_{i}^{e q}$ is also built such that,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho u_{\alpha} u_{\beta}+p \delta_{\alpha \beta}=\Pi_{\alpha \beta}^{f e q,(2)}=\sum_{i} c_{i \alpha} c_{i \beta} f_{i}^{e q} . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Discrete Velocity Boltzmann Equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial t}+c_{i \alpha} \frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial x_{\alpha}}=\Omega_{i} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Mass and momentum conservations are obtained using moments, e.g. :

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial \rho u_{\alpha}}{\partial x_{\alpha}} & =\sum_{i} \Omega_{i}  \tag{16}\\
\frac{\partial \rho u_{\alpha}}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial\left[\rho u_{\alpha} u_{\beta}+p \delta_{\alpha \beta}+\Pi_{\alpha \beta}^{f n e q},(2)\right.}{} & \partial x_{\beta} \tag{17}
\end{align*} \sum_{i} c_{i \alpha} \Omega_{i},
$$

Lattice-Boltzmann with $q$ velocities could be understood in 2 equivalent ways :

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { DVBE, } \\
\frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial t}+c_{i \alpha} \frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial x_{\alpha}}=\Omega_{i}
\end{gathered}
$$

describes $q$ equations for $f_{i}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Extended hydrodynamic system, } \\
& \frac{\partial \prod_{\alpha_{1} \cdots \alpha_{n}}^{f,(n)}}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial \Pi_{\alpha_{1} \cdots \alpha_{n} \alpha_{n+1}}^{f,(n+1)}}{\partial x_{\alpha_{n+1}}}=\Pi_{\alpha_{1} \cdots \alpha_{n}}^{\Omega,(n)} \\
& \text { describes } q \text { equations for } \Pi_{\alpha_{1} \cdots \alpha_{n}}^{f,(n)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

- What about boundary and initial conditions ?
- Which lattice closure, $f^{e q}$ and collision kernel should be used ?
- What is the range of validity in term of $\operatorname{Pr}, \mathrm{Ma}, \mathrm{Re}$, etc ?
"Higher-order hydrodynamics" is a research field by itself. Some of these models fail to reproduce physical results (e.g. Burnett with Bobylev instabilities).
$\rightarrow$ Can we avoid those uncertainties ?

Lattice-Boltzmann is something in between Boltzmann and Navier-Stokes-Fourier.
$\rightarrow$ How to model compressible flows with Lattice-Boltzmann ?

Nowadays, Lattice-Boltzmann is a fully fledged numerical method used for different applications : fluids, solids, Schrödinger equation, finance, advection-diffusion etc...
$\rightarrow$ We can use classical tools: Taylor expansion and dimensional analysis.

# Athermal Lattice-Boltzmann 

Description of classical Lattice-Boltzmann

## Athermal Lattice-Boltzmann-BGK

This model is summarized by

- Equilibrium,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i}^{e q}=\omega_{i}\left\{\mathcal{H}^{(0)} \rho+\frac{\mathcal{H}_{i \alpha}^{(1)}}{c_{s}^{2}} \rho u_{\alpha}+\frac{\mathcal{H}_{i \alpha \beta}^{(2)}}{2 c_{s}^{4}}\left[\rho u_{\alpha} u_{\beta}\right]+\frac{\mathcal{H}_{i \alpha \beta \gamma}^{(3)}}{6 c_{s}^{6}}\left[\rho u_{\alpha} u_{\beta} u_{\gamma}\right]\right\} . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Collide \& stream, BGK,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{f}_{i}(t+\Delta t, \boldsymbol{x})=\left\{f_{i}^{e q}+\left(1-\frac{\Delta t}{\tau+\Delta t / 2}\right)\left[\bar{f}_{i}-f_{i}^{e q}\right]\right\}\left(t, \boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{c}_{i} \Delta t\right) . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Macroscopic reconstruction,

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho(t+\Delta t, \boldsymbol{x}) & =\sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \bar{f}_{i}(t+\Delta t, \boldsymbol{x})  \tag{20}\\
\rho u_{\alpha}(t+\Delta t, \boldsymbol{x}) & =\sum_{i=1}^{q-1} c_{i \alpha} \bar{f}_{i}(t+\Delta t, \boldsymbol{x}) . \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \prod_{\alpha_{1} \cdots \alpha_{n}}^{f,(n)}}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial \prod_{\alpha_{1} \cdots \alpha_{n} \alpha_{n+1}}^{f,(n+1)}}{\partial x_{\alpha_{n+1}}^{\prime,}}=-\frac{1}{\tau} \Pi_{\alpha_{1} \cdots \alpha_{n}}^{f n e q,(n)}+\mathcal{O}\left(\Delta t^{2}\right) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{0}$

$$
\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial \rho u_{\beta}}{\partial x_{\beta}}=\mathcal{O}\left(\Delta t^{2}\right)
$$

$\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{1} \quad \frac{\partial \rho u_{\alpha}}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial\left[\rho u_{\alpha} u_{\beta}+\rho c_{s}^{2} \delta_{\alpha \beta}+\Pi_{\alpha \beta}^{f n e q}(2)\right]}{\partial x_{\beta}}=\mathcal{O}\left(\Delta t^{2}\right)$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{n}=2 & \frac{\partial\left[\Pi_{\alpha \beta}^{f^{e q},(2)}+\Pi_{\alpha \beta}^{f^{n e q},(2)}\right]}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial\left[\Pi_{\alpha \beta \gamma}^{f e q,(3)}+\Pi_{\alpha \beta \gamma}^{f^{n e q},(3)}\right]}{\partial x_{\gamma}}=-\frac{1}{\tau} \Pi_{\alpha \beta}^{f^{n e q},(2)}+\mathcal{O}\left(\Delta t^{2}\right) \\
\mathbf{n}=\ldots & \ldots
\end{array}
$$

## Athermal model : Constitutive equation

The stress-tensor evolution equation is

$$
\begin{array}{r}
-\Pi_{\alpha \beta}^{f n e q},(2) \\
=\tau \rho c_{s}^{2}\left[\frac{\partial u_{\alpha}}{\partial x_{\beta}}+\frac{\partial u_{\beta}}{\partial x_{\alpha}}\right]+\mathcal{O}\left(\tau \frac{\partial \rho u^{3}}{\partial x}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\Delta t^{2}\right)  \tag{23}\\
+\tau \frac{\partial \Pi_{\alpha \beta}^{f^{\text {neq }},(2)}}{\partial t}+\tau \frac{\partial \Pi_{\alpha \beta \gamma}^{f^{\text {neq }},(3)}}{\partial x_{\gamma}}-\tau\left[u_{\alpha} \frac{\partial \Pi_{\beta \gamma}^{f^{\text {neq }},(2)}}{\partial x_{\gamma}}+u_{\beta} \frac{\partial \Pi_{\alpha \gamma}^{f \text { neq }}(2)}{\partial x_{\gamma}}\right] .
\end{array}
$$

Open system because
$\Pi^{\text {fneq }}$,(3) is unknown
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Usual low-Mach stress-tensor,

$$
-\Pi_{\alpha \beta}^{f n e q,(2)} \approx \underbrace{\tau \rho c_{s}^{2}}_{\mu}\left[\frac{\partial u_{\alpha}}{\partial x_{\beta}}+\frac{\partial u_{\beta}}{\partial x_{\alpha}}\right]
$$
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$\mathcal{O}\left(u^{3}\right)$ error,
$\mathcal{O}\left(\tau \frac{\partial \rho u^{3}}{\partial x}\right) \propto u^{3}$.
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## Athermal model : Constitutive equation

The stress-tensor evolution equation is

$$
\begin{array}{r}
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$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{O}\left(u^{3}\right) \text { error, } \\
& \mathcal{O}\left(\tau \frac{\partial \rho u^{3}}{\partial x}\right) \propto u^{3} . \tag{25}
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$$

Open system because

$$
\Pi_{\alpha \beta \gamma}^{f n e q,(3)} \text { is unknown. }
$$

Time evolution.

## Athermal constitutive equation, dimensional analysis $1 / 2$

Assuming $t_{s}$ is the shortest characteristic time, nondimensional variables * are $\mathcal{O}(1)$,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}=\frac{1}{t_{s}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t^{*}}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x}=\frac{1}{L_{0}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{*}}, \\
\Pi_{\alpha \beta}^{f^{\text {neq },(2)}=\Pi_{0} \Pi_{\alpha \beta}^{*, f n e q},(2)}, \quad \quad \Pi_{\alpha \beta \gamma}^{f n e q},(3)=Q_{0} \Pi_{\alpha \beta \gamma}^{*, f^{n e q},(3)}, \\
u=U_{0} u^{*}, \quad \rho=\rho_{0} \rho^{*}, \quad T=T_{0} T^{*}, \tag{28}
\end{array}
$$

neglecting numerical errors, the nondimensional stress-tensor is expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
-\Pi_{\alpha \beta}^{*, f}{ }^{\text {neq },(2)} & =\frac{\mu U_{0}}{L_{0} \Pi_{0}}\left[\frac{\partial u_{\alpha}^{*}}{\partial x_{\beta}^{*}}+\frac{\partial u_{\beta}^{*}}{\partial x_{\alpha}^{*}}\right]+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\mu U_{0}}{L_{0} \Pi_{0}} \mathrm{Ma}^{2}\right) \\
& +\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\mu U_{0}}{L_{0} \Pi_{0}} \frac{Q_{0}}{\rho_{0} c_{s}^{2} U_{0}}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\tau}{t_{s}}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\mathrm{Ma}^{2}}{\operatorname{Re}}\right) . \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

When the classical low-Mach constitutive equation is verified, only the blue part remains, in which case $\frac{\mu U_{0}}{L_{0} \Pi_{0}}=1$.

## Athermal constitutive equation, dimensional analysis $2 / 2$

- $-\Pi_{\alpha \beta}^{*, f^{n e q},(2)}=\left[\frac{\partial u_{\alpha}^{*}}{\partial x_{\beta}^{*}}+\frac{\partial u_{\beta}^{*}}{\partial x_{\alpha}^{*}}\right]$ "hydrodynamic limit".
- $\mathrm{Ma}^{2} \ll 1$ error coming from $u^{3}$ isotropy defect can be neglected.
- $\frac{Q_{0}}{\rho_{0} c_{s}^{2} U_{0}} \ll 1$ higher-order contributions from $\Pi_{\alpha \beta \gamma}^{f^{\text {neq }},(3)}$ can be neglected.
- $\frac{\tau}{t_{s}} \ll 1$ stress-tensor time derivative can be neglected.
- $\frac{\mathrm{Ma}^{2}}{\mathrm{Re}} \ll 1$ other terms can be neglected.
$\rightarrow \mathrm{Kn} \propto \mathrm{Ma} / \mathrm{Re}$ is not the only parameter that controls the consistency.

To get more insight on the interpretation of the non-equilibrium evolution, let recall the DVBE,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial t}+c_{i \alpha} \frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial x_{\alpha}}=-\frac{1}{\tau}\left\{f_{i}-f_{i}^{e q}\right\}+\mathcal{O}\left(\Delta t^{2}\right) . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let also recall that $f_{i}=f_{i}^{\text {eq }}+f_{i}^{\text {neq }}$ such that the DVBE yields,

with $\Lambda_{i}=-\tau \frac{\partial f_{i}^{4}}{\partial t}-\tau c_{i} \frac{\partial f_{i}^{4}}{\partial x_{\alpha}} \cdot f_{i}^{\text {neq }}$ relaxes towards $\Lambda_{i}$ with a characteristic
time $\tau$

To get more insight on the interpretation of the non-equilibrium evolution, let recall the DVBE,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial t}+c_{i \alpha} \frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial x_{\alpha}}=-\frac{1}{\tau}\left\{f_{i}-f_{i}^{e q}\right\}+\mathcal{O}\left(\Delta t^{2}\right) . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let also recall that $f_{i}=f_{i}^{e q}+f_{i}^{\text {neq }}$ such that the DVBE yields,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial f_{i}^{\text {neq }}}{\partial t}+c_{i \alpha} \frac{\partial f_{i}^{\text {neq }}}{\partial x_{\alpha}}=-\frac{1}{\tau}\left\{f_{i}^{\text {neq }}-\Lambda_{i}\right\}+\mathcal{O}\left(\Delta t^{2}\right), \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\Lambda_{i}=\left[-\tau \frac{\partial f_{i}^{\text {eq }}}{\partial t}-\tau c_{i \alpha} \frac{\partial f_{i}^{\text {eq }}}{\partial x_{\alpha}}\right] . f_{i}^{\text {neq }}$ relaxes towards $\Lambda_{i}$ with a characteristic time $\tau$.
$\rightarrow f_{i}^{\text {neq }}$ has its own "equilibrium" : $\Lambda_{i}$.

Hence, stress-tensor follows the compact equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \Pi_{\alpha \beta}^{f \text { neq },(2)}}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial \Pi_{\alpha \beta \gamma}^{f^{\text {neq },(3)}}}{\partial x_{\gamma}}=-\frac{1}{\tau}\left\{\Pi_{\alpha \beta}^{f^{\text {nee },(2)}}-\Pi_{\alpha \beta}^{\wedge,(2)}\right\}+\mathcal{O}\left(\Delta t^{2}\right) . \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Small lattices $\rightarrow$ "isotropy defects" e.g. $\Pi^{(3)} \propto c_{s}^{2} \Pi^{(1)}$ (this explains the $\mathcal{O}\left(u^{3}\right)$ error in stress-tensor).
- Isotropy defect is even worse for higher order moments.

Closure : regularization, higher order moments are filtered.

The concept of regularized kernels
Collision,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i}^{\text {coll }}=f_{i}^{\text {eq }}+(1-\Delta t / \bar{\tau}) \bar{f}_{i}^{\text {neq }}, \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be projected onto moments,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi^{c o l l,(3)}=\Pi^{e q,(3)}+(1-\Delta t / \bar{\tau}) \bar{\Pi}^{n e q,(3)}, \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\Pi^{\text {neq,(3) }}$ is regularized (replaced) by $\tilde{\Pi}^{\text {neq.(3) }}$,
$\Pi^{\mathrm{coll},(3)}=\Pi^{\mathrm{eq},(3)}+(1-\Delta t / \tau) \Pi^{\text {neq.(3) }}$.
Exemple: When Latt \& Chopard regularization is applied to D3Q19, the rank
$q=19$ of the solver is reduced to $\tilde{q}=10$.
$\rightarrow$ We are not anymore solving the Discrete Velocity Boltzmann Equation $\leftarrow$

## The concept of regularized kernels

Collision,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i}^{\text {coll }}=f_{i}^{e q}+(1-\Delta t / \bar{\tau}) \bar{f}_{i}^{\text {neq }}, \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be projected onto moments,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi^{\text {coll,(3) }}=\Pi^{e q,(3)}+(1-\Delta t / \bar{\tau}) \bar{\Pi}^{\text {neq,(3) }} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\bar{\Pi}^{\text {neq,(3) }}$ is regularized (replaced) by $\tilde{\Pi}^{\text {neq,(3) }}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi^{\mathrm{coll},(3)}=\Pi^{e q,(3)}+(1-\Delta t / \bar{\tau}) \tilde{\Pi}^{\text {neq },(3)} . \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Exemple : When Latt \& Chopard regularization is applied to D3Q19, the rank $q=19$ of the solver is reduced to $\tilde{q}=10$.
$\rightarrow$ We are not anymore solving the Discrete Velocity Boltzmann Equation

Regularized Lattice-Boltzmann model is obtained using Taylor expansion,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial \rho u_{\beta}}{\partial x_{\beta}}=\mathcal{O}\left(\Delta t^{2}\right),  \tag{36}\\
& \frac{\partial \rho u_{\alpha}}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial\left[\rho u_{\alpha} u_{\beta}+\rho c_{s}^{2} \delta_{\alpha \beta}+\Pi_{\alpha \beta}^{f n e q},(2)\right.}{\partial x_{\beta}}=\mathcal{O}\left(\Delta t^{2}\right),  \tag{37}\\
& \frac{\partial \Pi_{\alpha \beta}^{f n e q},(2)}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial \tilde{\Pi}_{\alpha \beta \gamma}^{\text {feq }},(3)}{\partial x_{\gamma}}=-\frac{1}{\tau}\left\{\Pi_{\alpha \beta}^{f \text { neq },(2)}-\Pi_{\alpha \beta}^{\Lambda,(2)}\right\}+\mathcal{O}(\Delta t) . \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$

Stress-tensor evolution is $\mathcal{O}(\Delta t)$ accurate, but $\tilde{\Pi}^{n e q,(3)}$ can be freely changed to increase stability/accuracy.

## Thermal Lattice-Boltzmann

Hybrid coupling, entropy equation and traceless collision

Due to isotropy errors $\left(\Pi^{(3)} \propto c_{s}^{2} \Pi^{(1)}\right)$, energy conservation is wrong with standard lattices (e.g. D3Q19). Possible solutions,

- Multispeed, one large set of distributions. Computational efficiency is at stake. X
- Double Distributions coupling, 2 sets of distributions, one for mass/momentum and another for energy. Computational efficiency is at stake. $X$
- Hybrid coupling, 1 small set of distributions and 1 energy equation discretized by a finite difference scheme. Cheaper, allows coupling with a wide variety of models.

The entropy is a mode of the linearized Euler system, its coupling with mass/momentum is weaker than using e.g. total energy or enthaply.

Entropy equation in the frame reference of a plane discontinuity,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \frac{\partial s}{\partial x}=0 \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Contact discontinuity is compatible. Shock is not, because $u \neq 0$ such that $\partial s / \partial x=0$ is necessary.


Figure 5: Entropy jump error with entropy equation as a function of Ma. $\gamma=1.2,1.4,1.6,1.8$ (top to bottom).

Acceptable errors on plane shocks ( $\sim 5 \%$ ) up to Mach $1.4 \leftarrow$

Step-by-step Lattice-Boltzmann scheme from $t$ to $t+\Delta t$

- Initial solution, $\rho(t, \boldsymbol{x}), u_{\alpha}(t, \boldsymbol{x}), T(t, \boldsymbol{x})$ and $\Pi_{\alpha \beta}^{\text {fneq },(2)}(t, \boldsymbol{x})$ are known.
$\square$
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- Macroscopic update provides $\rho(t+\Delta t, x)$ and $u_{\alpha}(t+\Delta t, x)$.
- Compute the updated Entropy
using a one step
explicit scheme. MUSCL-Hancock
for advection and centered schemes
for heat diffusion and viscous heat.
- Temperature update $T(t+\Delta t, \boldsymbol{x})$ using $\rho(t+\Delta t, x)$ and
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$$ explicit scheme. MUSCL-Hancock for advection and centered schemes for heat diffusion and viscous heat.
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## Lattice-Boltzmann

- Compute Equilibrium $f_{i}^{e q}(t, \boldsymbol{x})$ and Non-Equilibrium $\bar{f}_{i}^{\text {neq }}(t, \boldsymbol{x})$.
- Collide \& Stream provides the updated distribution $\bar{f}_{i}(t+\Delta t, \boldsymbol{x})$.
- Macroscopic update provides
$\rho(t+\Delta t, \boldsymbol{x})$ and $u_{\alpha}(t+\Delta t, \boldsymbol{x})$.


## Finite Differences

- Compute the updated Entropy

$$
s(t+\Delta t, x) \text { using a one step }
$$ explicit scheme. MUSCL-Hancock for advection and centered schemes for heat diffusion and viscous heat.

- Temperature update $T(t+\Delta t, \boldsymbol{x})$ using $\rho(t+\Delta t, \boldsymbol{x})$ and $s(t+\Delta t, x)$.
- Stress-tensor update $\Pi_{\alpha \beta}^{\bar{f}^{n e q}}(t+\Delta t, \boldsymbol{x})$ using $\left[\Pi_{\alpha \beta}^{\bar{f}}, \rho, u_{\alpha}, T\right](t+\Delta t, \boldsymbol{x})$.
$\rightarrow$ Interface bewteen LBM/FD is the second order moment $\leftarrow$


## Thermal coupling, traceless collision

$\Pi_{\alpha \beta}^{\bar{f}^{\text {neq }}}$ uses $\Pi_{\alpha \beta}^{\bar{f}}, \rho, u_{\alpha}(\mathrm{LBM})$ and $p(\mathrm{LBM} / \mathrm{FD})$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\Pi_{\alpha \beta}^{\bar{f}^{n e q}} & =\left(\Pi_{\alpha \beta}^{\bar{f}}-\Pi_{\alpha \beta}^{f e q}\right) \\
& =\left(\Pi_{\alpha \beta}^{\bar{f}}-\left[\rho u_{\alpha} u_{\beta}+p \delta_{\alpha \beta}\right]\right) . \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

Coupling errors between LBM/FD are stacked in the trace of $\Pi_{\alpha \beta}^{\bar{f}^{\text {neq }}}$.
A compressible scheme traditionally uses Stokes Hypothesis (traceless $\Pi_{\alpha \beta}^{f n e q}(2)$ ),


The trace $\Pi_{\alpha \alpha}^{\bar{f}^{n e q}}$ is pure errors, it could be safely replaced by 0 . $\rightarrow$ New regularization $\Pi^{\bar{f}^{\text {neq }}}-0$ improves the stability

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Pi_{\alpha \beta}^{\bar{f}^{\text {neq }}} \text { uses } \Pi_{\alpha \beta}^{\bar{f}}, \rho, u_{\alpha}(\text { LBM }) \text { and } p(\text { LBM /FD), } \\
& \Pi_{\alpha \beta}^{\bar{f}^{\text {neq }}}=\left(\Pi_{\alpha \beta}^{\bar{f}}-\Pi_{\alpha \beta}^{\text {eq }}\right) \\
& =\left(\Pi_{\alpha \beta}^{\bar{f}}-\left[\rho u_{\alpha} u_{\beta}+p \delta_{\alpha \beta}\right]\right) . \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

Coupling errors between LBM/FD are stacked in the trace of $\Pi_{\alpha \beta}^{\bar{f}^{\text {neq }}}$.
A compressible scheme traditionally uses Stokes Hypothesis (traceless $\Pi_{\alpha \beta}^{f n e q,(2)}$ ),

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Pi_{\alpha \beta}^{f n e q},(2)=\mu\left[\frac{\partial u_{\alpha}}{\partial x_{\beta}}+\frac{\partial u_{\beta}}{\partial x_{\alpha}}-\frac{2 \delta_{\alpha \beta}}{3} \frac{\partial u_{\gamma}}{\partial x_{\gamma}}\right] \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

The trace $\Pi_{\alpha \alpha}^{\bar{f}^{\text {neq }}}$ is pure errors, it could be safely replaced by 0 .
$\rightarrow$ New regularization $\Pi_{\alpha \alpha}^{\bar{f}^{\text {neq }}}=0$ improves the stability.

Farag, G. \& Zhao, S. \& Coratger, T. \& Boivin, P. \& Sagaut, P. A pressure-based regularized lattice-Boltzmann method for the simulation of compressible flows, Physics of Fluids, 2020.

# Compressible models \& applications 

Pressure-based model, unified model, applications

## M2P2 Lattice-Boltzmann models 1/2

## During the past few years, M2P2 designed different compressible models,

- Density based ( $\rho$-based), 2019,

E Y. Feng, P. Boivin, J. Jacob and P. Sagaut. Hybrid recursive regularized thermal lattice Boltzmann model for high subsonic compressible flows. Journal of Computational Physics, 2019.
E F. Renard, Y. Feng, , JF. Boussuge and P. Sagaut. Improved compressible Hybrid Lattice Boltzmann Method on standard lattice for subsonic and supersonic flows. Computers \& Fluids, 2021.

- Pressure based (p-based), early 2020,

E G. Farag, S. Zhao, T. Coratger, P. Boivin, G. Chiavassa and P. Sagaut. A pressure-based regularized lattice-Boltzmann method for the simulation of compressible flows. Physics of Fluids, 2020.

- Improved-density based ( $i \rho$-based), late 2020,

E S. Guo, Y. Feng and P. Sagaut. Improved standard thermal lattice Boltzmann model with hybrid recursive regularization for compressible laminar and turbulent flows. Physics of Fluids, 2020.
$\rightarrow$ How do they differ from one another ? Which one should be used ?

Their $2^{\text {nd }}$-order distributions are :

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{i}^{\rho, e q} & =\omega_{i}\left\{\rho+\frac{\mathcal{H}_{i \alpha}^{(1)}}{c_{s}^{2}} \rho u_{\alpha}+\frac{\mathcal{H}_{i \alpha \beta}^{(2)}}{2 c_{s}^{4}}\left[\rho u_{\alpha} u_{\beta}+\delta_{\alpha \beta} \rho c_{s}^{2}(\theta-1)\right]\right\}  \tag{42}\\
f_{i}^{\boldsymbol{p}, e q} & =\omega_{i}\left\{\rho \theta+\frac{\mathcal{H}_{i \alpha}^{(1)}}{c_{s}^{2}} \rho u_{\alpha}+\frac{\mathcal{H}_{i \alpha \beta}^{(2)}}{2 c_{s}^{4}}\left[\rho u_{\alpha} u_{\beta}+\delta_{\alpha \beta} 0\right]\right\}  \tag{43}\\
f_{i}^{i \boldsymbol{i}, e q} & =\omega_{i}\left\{\rho+\frac{\mathcal{H}_{i \alpha}^{(1)}}{c_{s}^{2}} \rho u_{\alpha}+\frac{\mathcal{H}_{i \alpha \beta}^{(2)}}{2 c_{s}^{4}}\left[\rho u_{\alpha} u_{\beta}+\delta_{\alpha \beta} 0\right]+\frac{\omega_{i}-\delta_{0 i}}{\omega_{i}} \rho[\theta-1]\right\} \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

With 2 different update rules for mass :

- $\rho / i \rho$-based : $\rho(t+\Delta t, \boldsymbol{x})=\sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \bar{f}_{i}(t+\Delta t, \boldsymbol{x})$
- p-based : $\quad \rho(t+\Delta t, \boldsymbol{x})=\sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \bar{f}_{i}(t+\Delta t, \boldsymbol{x})+\rho(t, \boldsymbol{x})[1-\theta(t, x)]$
$\rightarrow$ Very close equations, let us try to find a generalized formulation.

Considering the D3Q19 lattice a function can be projected onto its basis

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\mathcal{H}_{i}^{(0)}, \mathcal{H}_{i x}^{(1)}, \mathcal{H}_{i y}^{(1)}, \mathcal{H}_{i z}^{(1)}, \mathcal{H}_{i x x}^{(2)}, \mathcal{H}_{i y y}^{(2)}, \mathcal{H}_{i z z}^{(2)}, \mathcal{H}_{i x y}^{(2)}, \mathcal{H}_{i x z}^{(2)}, \mathcal{H}_{i y z}^{(2)},\right. \\
& \left.\mathcal{H}_{i x x y}^{(3)}, \mathcal{H}_{i x x z}^{(3)}, \mathcal{H}_{i y y x}^{(3)}, \mathcal{H}_{i y y z}^{(3)}, \mathcal{H}_{i z z x}^{(3)}, \mathcal{H}_{i z z y}^{(3)}, \mathcal{A}_{i}, \mathcal{B}_{i}, \mathcal{C}_{i}\right) \tag{47}
\end{align*}
$$

The equilibrium distribution that generalizes M2P2 models is

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{i}^{e q} & =\omega_{i}\left\{\mathcal{H}^{(0)} \rho+\frac{\mathcal{H}_{i \alpha}^{(1)}}{c_{s}^{2}} \rho u_{\alpha}+\frac{\mathcal{H}_{i \alpha \beta}^{(2)}}{2 c_{s}^{4}}\left[\rho u_{\alpha} u_{\beta}+\delta_{\alpha \beta} \rho c_{s}^{2}(\theta-1)\right]+\frac{\mathcal{H}_{i \alpha \beta \gamma}^{(3)}}{6 c_{s}^{6}}\left[\rho u_{\alpha} u_{\beta} u_{\gamma}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-\kappa \rho c_{s}^{2}\left(u_{\alpha} \delta_{\beta \gamma}+u_{\beta} \delta_{\gamma \alpha}+u_{\gamma} \delta_{\alpha \beta}\right)\right]-\frac{\mathcal{A}_{i}+\mathcal{B}_{i}+\mathcal{C}_{i}}{12 c_{s}^{4}} \rho[\theta-1](1-\zeta)\right\} \tag{48}
\end{align*}
$$

- $\zeta=1$ and $\kappa=1-\theta$ is the classical $\rho$-based.
- $\zeta=0$ and $\kappa=0$ is for $p$-based and $i \rho$-based. Same core model!
$\rightarrow$ Differences between models are inside $3^{\text {rd }}$ and $4^{\text {th }}$-order moments.


## Unified model on D3Q19, ingredients

1/ Classical thermal equilibrium up to $2^{\text {nd }}$-order $\rightarrow$ Consistent mass and momentum Euler conservation.

2/ Higher-order equilibrium moments related to $\mathcal{A}_{i} \mathcal{B}_{i}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{i}$ polynomials and force correction term similar to pressure-based model $\rightarrow$ Improved stability.
3/ Athermal $3^{r d}$ order equilibrium moments $\rho u_{\alpha} u_{\beta} u_{\gamma} \rightarrow$ Improved stability and more reasonable errors $\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\mathrm{Ma}^{2} \mathrm{CFL}{ }^{2}}{\operatorname{Re}(\mathrm{Ma}+1)^{2}}\right)$ compared to $\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\mathrm{Ma}^{2}}{\operatorname{Re}}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\operatorname{RePr}}\right)$ in classical density-based thermal model.

4/ Entropy equation using MUSCL-Hancock scheme $\rightarrow$ Reasonable trade-off between small stencil (1D is 5points), both stability and accuracy are improved.

5/ Discontinuity sensor based on density $\rightarrow$ Increased viscosity in both shocks and contact discontinuities.

6/ Small artificial bulk viscosity $\rightarrow$ Necessary for very high Mach $\gtrsim 1.7$.
7/ Recursive regularization and regularization of stress-tensor trace $\rightarrow$ Improved stability.

## Unified model validation : Thermal Couette flow



Figure 6: $\square, \times$ and $\bigcirc$ are the $\mathrm{Ma}=1.3,2.3,3.3$ analytical solution. - correspond to numerical solutions with the unified model.
$100 \times 1 \times 1$ mesh, CFL ranging between 0.5 and 0.2 .
$\rightarrow$ Accurate viscosity, heat diffusion and viscous heat $\leftarrow$

Unified model validation : Isenstropic vortex advection


Figure 7: Isentropic vortex advection after 20 flow-through-time periods for different Mach numbers.


Figure 8: $y=0$ density slices after 20 periods for different Ma.
$200 \times 200 \times 1$ mesh, CFL from 0.3 to 0.1 and $\mu=0$.
$\rightarrow$ Low numerical dissipation/dispersion $\leftarrow$

Unified model validation : Entropy spot advection


Figure 9: Entropy spot advection after 20 flow-through-time periods for different Mach numbers.


Figure 10: $y=0 \stackrel{x}{d}$ ensity slices after 20 periods for different Ma.
$200 \times 200 \times 1$ mesh, CFL from 0.3
to 0.1 and $\mu=0$.
Low numerical
dissipation/dispersion $\leftarrow$

## Unified model : 2D Riemann problems








Figure 11: Lax \& Liu 2D Riemann problems : Density fields of configurations 4-6-11-12-13-16.
$400 \times 400 \times 1$ grid, $\Delta t / \Delta x=0.22$
extremely close to Lax \& Liu's article, $\mu=0$ and discontinuity sensor.
$\rightarrow$ Robust $\leftarrow$

Unified model : Compressible double shear layer


Figure 12: Vorticity (top) and Mach (bottom) at time $t_{c}$ (left) and $2 t_{c}$ (right) using the $512 \times 512$ grid.

Initial CFL $=0.28$ and $\mu=0$.
$\rightarrow$ Robust $\leftarrow$

## Unified model : Vortex / Ma 1.2 shock interaction




Figure 14:
Vortex/shock interaction: density fields at time $t=3,6,10$.



Figure 15:
Different acoustic slices compared
to reference.

$$
C F L=0.83 \text { and }
$$ discontinuity sensor. Other parameters are identical to reference.

$\rightarrow$ Robust $\leftarrow$

Unified model : Entropy spot / Ma 1.2 shock interaction







Figure 16: Transmitted entropy, vorticity and pressure fields. From left to right $\gamma=1.2,1.4$ and 1.6. Analytical and numerical solutions respectively correspond to $y<0$ and $y \geq 0$.

Initial CFL $=0.42$ and $\mu=0$.
$\rightarrow$ Robust $\leftarrow$

## Conclusion

1/ In the absence of a careful study of higher-order terms, the Lattice-Boltzmann link with kinetic theory is blurred.

2/ $\Delta t \rightarrow 0$ is the sole necessary assumption to study a LB model. Being cheaper in term of assumptions, the dimensional analysis outperforms Chapman-Enskog.

3/ M2P2 models are now unified under a single formalism.
4/ "Kinetic-theory-inspired" LB schemes is not necessarily the most efficient path towards stability/accuracy.

5/ The regularization has been extended to the trace of the stress-tensor: $\Pi_{\alpha \alpha}^{n e q}$. This drastically improves the stability.
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