Modeling compressible flows with Lattice-Boltzmann Methods

January 19, 2022

Gabriel Farag

Sous la supervision de Pierre Boivin et Guillaume Chiavassa



Faculté des Sciences Aix+Marseille Université







- 1. Lattice-Boltzmann basics
- 2. Athermal Lattice-Boltzmann
- 3. Thermal Lattice-Boltzmann
- 4. Compressible models & applications

Lattice-Boltzmann basics

Moments, distributions, lattices, discretization

Navier-Stokes-Fourier system





Equations of state, *e.g.*

$$p = \rho RT , \qquad (4)$$
$$e = C_v T + e_0 . \qquad (5)$$

Constitutive equations,
$$q_{\alpha} = -\lambda \frac{\partial T}{\partial x_{\alpha}}$$
, (6)
 $\mathcal{T}_{\alpha\beta} = \mu \left[\frac{\partial u_{\alpha}}{\partial x_{\beta}} + \frac{\partial u_{\beta}}{\partial x_{\alpha}} - \delta_{\alpha\beta} \frac{2}{3} \frac{\partial u_{\gamma}}{\partial x_{\gamma}} \right]$. (7)

Navier-Stokes-Fourier system



Mass, momentum and energy conservations,

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \rho u_{\beta}}{\partial x_{\beta}} = 0, \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{\partial \rho u_{\alpha}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \left[\rho u_{\alpha} u_{\beta} + p \delta_{\alpha\beta} - \mathcal{T}_{\alpha\beta}\right]}{\partial x_{\beta}} = 0. \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{\partial \rho (e + u_{\alpha}^{2}/2)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \left[(\rho (e + u_{\alpha}^{2}/2) + p)u_{\beta} + q_{\beta} - u_{\alpha}\mathcal{T}_{\alpha\beta}\right]}{\partial x_{\beta}} = 0. \quad (3)$$

Equations of state, e.g.

$$\rho = \rho RT , \qquad (4)$$

$$e = C_v T + e_0 . \qquad (5)$$

Constitutive equations,
$$q_{\alpha} = -\lambda \frac{\partial T}{\partial x_{\alpha}}$$
, (6)
 $\mathcal{T}_{\alpha\beta} = \mu \left[\frac{\partial u_{\alpha}}{\partial x_{\beta}} + \frac{\partial u_{\beta}}{\partial x_{\alpha}} - \delta_{\alpha\beta} \frac{2}{3} \frac{\partial u_{\gamma}}{\partial x_{\gamma}} \right]$. (7)

Navier-Stokes-Fourier system



Mass, momentum and energy conservations,

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \rho u_{\beta}}{\partial x_{\beta}} = 0, \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{\partial \rho u_{\alpha}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \left[\rho u_{\alpha} u_{\beta} + p \delta_{\alpha\beta} - \mathcal{T}_{\alpha\beta}\right]}{\partial x_{\beta}} = 0. \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{\partial \rho (e + u_{\alpha}^{2}/2)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \left[(\rho (e + u_{\alpha}^{2}/2) + p)u_{\beta} + q_{\beta} - u_{\alpha}\mathcal{T}_{\alpha\beta}\right]}{\partial x_{\beta}} = 0. \quad (3)$$

Equations of state, *e.g.* $p = \rho RT, \quad (4)$ $e = C_v T + e_0. \quad (5)$

Constitutive equations,
$$q_{\alpha} = -\lambda \frac{\partial T}{\partial x_{\alpha}}$$
, (6)
 $\mathcal{T}_{\alpha\beta} = \mu \left[\frac{\partial u_{\alpha}}{\partial x_{\beta}} + \frac{\partial u_{\beta}}{\partial x_{\alpha}} - \delta_{\alpha\beta} \frac{2}{3} \frac{\partial u_{\gamma}}{\partial x_{\gamma}} \right]$. (7)

Late 1980s, birth of Lattice-Boltzmann Methods



VOLUME 61, NUMBER 20

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

14 NOVEMBER 1988

Use of the Boltzmann Equation to Simulate Lattice-Gas Automata

Guy R. McNamara and Gianluigi Zanetti^(a)

The Research Institutes, The University of Chicago, 5640 South Ellis Avenue, Chicago, Illinois 60637

Figure 1: Guy R. McNamara and Gianluigi Zanetti, first Lattice-Boltzmann Model.

LBM algorithm is basically :

- Collision, local step
- Streaming, memory-shift
- 🗲 Attractive method !



McNamara, G. R., & Zanetti, G. Use of the Boltzmann equation to simulate lattice-gas automata, Physical review letters, 1988.
 O'Brien, P. M. A framework for digital watercolor, MSc thesis, Texas A&M University, 2008.

Velocity space discretization





Each different lattice leads to a different Discrete Velocity Boltzmann Equation,

$$\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial t} + \mathbf{c}_{i\alpha} \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_{\alpha}} = -\frac{1}{\tau} \left(f_i - f_i^{eq} \right) = -\frac{1}{\tau} f_i^{neq} \,, \tag{8}$$

 c_i with i = 0, ..., q-1 and $f_i(t, x) = f(t, x, c_i)$.

Time integration



- Discrete Velocity Boltzmann Equation ($\ensuremath{\mathsf{DVBE}}\xspace)$ with BGK collision kernel,

$$\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial t} + c_{i\alpha} \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_{\alpha}} = -\frac{1}{\tau} \left(f_i - f_i^{eq} \right) = -\frac{1}{\tau} f_i^{neq} \,. \tag{9}$$

• Integration along characteristic $dx = c_i dt$ and Crank-Nicolson,

$$f_i(t+\Delta t, \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{c}_i\Delta t) = f_i(t, \mathbf{x}) - \frac{\Delta t}{2} \left\{ \left[\frac{1}{\tau} f_i^{neq} \right](t, \mathbf{x}) + \left[\frac{1}{\tau} f_i^{neq} \right](t+\Delta t, \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{c}_i\Delta t) \right\}$$

• Change of variables $\overline{f}_i = f_i + \frac{\Delta t}{2\tau} f_i^{neq}$ and $\overline{\tau} = \tau + \Delta t/2$,

$$\overline{f}_{i}(t + \Delta t, \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{c}_{i}\Delta t) = \left\{ f_{i} - \frac{\Delta t}{2\tau} f_{i}^{neq} \right\} (t, \mathbf{x}), \qquad (10)$$

$$= \left\{ f_i^{eq} + \left[1 - \frac{\Delta t}{2\tau} \right] f_i^{neq} \right\} (t, \mathbf{x}), \qquad (11)$$
$$= \left\{ f_i^{eq} + \left[1 - \frac{\Delta t}{\tau + \Delta t/2} \right] \overline{f}_i^{neq} \right\} (t, \mathbf{x}). \qquad (12)$$

Equilibrium, non-equilibrium and moments



By definition in Lattice-Boltzmann
$$f_i = f_i^{eq} + f_i^{neq}$$
:
 $\rho = \prod^{f,(0)} = \sum_i f_i = \sum_i f_i^{eq}, \quad \rho u_{\alpha} = \prod^{f,(1)}_{\alpha} = \sum_i c_{i\alpha} f_i = \sum_i c_{i\alpha} f_i^{eq}, \quad (13)$
additionally, f_i^{eq} is also built such that,
 $\rho u_{\alpha} u_{\beta} + p \delta_{\alpha\beta} = \prod^{f^{eq},(2)}_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_i c_{i\alpha} c_{i\beta} f_i^{eq}.$ (14)
Discrete Velocity Boltzmann Equation,
 $\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial t} + c_{i\alpha} \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_{\alpha}} = \Omega_i.$ (15)
Mass and momentum conservations are obtained using moments, *e.g.*:
 $\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \rho u_{\alpha}}{\partial x_{\alpha}} = \sum_i \Omega_i,$ (16)
 $\frac{\partial \rho u_{\alpha}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \left[\rho u_{\alpha} u_{\beta} + p \delta_{\alpha\beta} + \prod^{f^{neq},(2)}_{\alpha\beta}\right]}{\partial x_{\beta}} = \sum_i c_{i\alpha} \Omega_i,$ (17)



Lattice-Boltzmann with q velocities could be understood in 2 equivalent ways :



- What about boundary and initial conditions ?
- Which lattice closure, f^{eq} and collision kernel should be used ?
- What is the range of validity in term of Pr, Ma, Re, etc ?

"Higher-order hydrodynamics" is a research field by itself. Some of these models fail to reproduce physical results (*e.g.* Burnett with Bobylev instabilities).

→ Can we avoid those uncertainties ?



Lattice-Boltzmann is something in between Boltzmann and Navier-Stokes-Fourier.

➔ How to model compressible flows with Lattice-Boltzmann ? ←

Nowadays, Lattice-Boltzmann is a fully fledged numerical method used for different applications : fluids, solids, Schrödinger equation, finance, advection-diffusion etc...

→ We can use classical tools : Taylor expansion and dimensional analysis. ←

Athermal Lattice-Boltzmann

Description of classical Lattice-Boltzmann



This model is summarized by

• Equilibrium,

$$f_i^{eq} = \omega_i \left\{ \mathcal{H}^{(0)}\rho + \frac{\mathcal{H}^{(1)}_{i\alpha}}{c_s^2}\rho u_\alpha + \frac{\mathcal{H}^{(2)}_{i\alpha\beta}}{2c_s^4}[\rho u_\alpha u_\beta] + \frac{\mathcal{H}^{(3)}_{i\alpha\beta\gamma}}{6c_s^6}[\rho u_\alpha u_\beta u_\gamma] \right\}.$$
 (18)

- Collide & stream, BGK, $\overline{f}_{i}(t + \Delta t, \mathbf{x}) = \left\{ f_{i}^{eq} + \left(1 - \frac{\Delta t}{\tau + \Delta t/2}\right) \left[\overline{f}_{i} - f_{i}^{eq}\right] \right\} (t, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{c}_{i}\Delta t).$ (19)
- Macroscopic reconstruction,

$$\rho(t + \Delta t, \mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \overline{f}_i(t + \Delta t, \mathbf{x}), \qquad (20)$$

$$\rho u_{\alpha}(t + \Delta t, \mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_{i\alpha} \overline{f}_i(t + \Delta t, \mathbf{x}).$$
(21)

Where to find the stress-tensor ?







The stress-tensor evolution equation is

$$-\Pi_{\alpha\beta}^{f^{neq},(2)} = \tau \rho c_s^2 \left[\frac{\partial u_\alpha}{\partial x_\beta} + \frac{\partial u_\beta}{\partial x_\alpha} \right] + \mathcal{O}\left(\tau \frac{\partial \rho u^3}{\partial x}\right) + \mathcal{O}(\Delta t^2) + \tau \frac{\partial \Pi_{\alpha\beta}^{f^{neq},(2)}}{\partial t} + \tau \frac{\partial \Pi_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^{f^{neq},(3)}}{\partial x_\gamma} - \tau \left[u_\alpha \frac{\partial \Pi_{\beta\gamma}^{f^{neq},(2)}}{\partial x_\gamma} + u_\beta \frac{\partial \Pi_{\alpha\gamma}^{f^{neq},(2)}}{\partial x_\gamma} \right].$$
(23)

Usual low-Mach stress-tensor,

$$-\Pi_{\alpha\beta}^{f^{neq},(2)} \approx \underbrace{\tau\rho c_s^2}_{\mu} \left[\frac{\partial u_{\alpha}}{\partial x_{\beta}} + \frac{\partial u_{\beta}}{\partial x_{\alpha}} \right]. \quad (24)$$

Open system because $\Pi^{f^{neq},(3)}_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ is unknown.

Fime evolution.













$$-\Pi_{\alpha\beta}^{f^{neq},(2)} = \tau\rho c_s^2 \left[\frac{\partial u_\alpha}{\partial x_\beta} + \frac{\partial u_\beta}{\partial x_\alpha} \right] + \mathcal{O}\left(\tau \frac{\partial \rho u^3}{\partial x}\right) + \mathcal{O}(\Delta t^2) + \tau \frac{\partial \Pi_{\alpha\beta}^{f^{neq},(2)}}{\partial t} + \tau \frac{\partial \Pi_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^{f^{neq},(3)}}{\partial x_\gamma} - \tau \left[u_\alpha \frac{\partial \Pi_{\beta\gamma}^{f^{neq},(2)}}{\partial x_\gamma} + u_\beta \frac{\partial \Pi_{\alpha\gamma}^{f^{neq},(2)}}{\partial x_\gamma} \right].$$
(23)

Usual low-Mach stress-tensor, $-\Pi_{\alpha\beta}^{f^{neq},(2)} \approx \underline{\tau\rho c_s^2} \left[\frac{\partial u_{\alpha}}{\partial x_{\beta}} + \frac{\partial u_{\beta}}{\partial x_{\alpha}} \right]. \quad (24)$

Open system because $\Pi^{f^{neq},(3)}_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ is unknown.

 $\mathcal{O}(u^3)$ error, $\mathcal{O}\left(\tau \frac{\partial
ho u^3}{\partial x}\right) \propto u^3$. (25)

Time evolution.





$$-\Pi_{\alpha\beta}^{f^{neq},(2)} = \tau \rho c_s^2 \left[\frac{\partial u_\alpha}{\partial x_\beta} + \frac{\partial u_\beta}{\partial x_\alpha} \right] + \mathcal{O}\left(\tau \frac{\partial \rho u^3}{\partial x}\right) + \mathcal{O}(\Delta t^2) + \tau \frac{\partial \Pi_{\alpha\beta}^{f^{neq},(2)}}{\partial t} + \tau \frac{\partial \Pi_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^{f^{neq},(3)}}{\partial x_\gamma} - \tau \left[u_\alpha \frac{\partial \Pi_{\beta\gamma}^{f^{neq},(2)}}{\partial x_\gamma} + u_\beta \frac{\partial \Pi_{\alpha\gamma}^{f^{neq},(2)}}{\partial x_\gamma} \right].$$
(23)

Usual low-Mach stress-tensor, $-\Pi_{\alpha\beta}^{f^{neq},(2)} \approx \underbrace{\tau\rho c_s^2}_{\mu} \left[\frac{\partial u_{\alpha}}{\partial x_{\beta}} + \frac{\partial u_{\beta}}{\partial x_{\alpha}} \right]. \quad (24)$ $\mathcal{O}\left(\tau \frac{\partial \rho u^3}{\partial x}\right) \propto u^3. \quad (25)$ Open system because $\Pi_{\alpha\beta}^{f^{neq},(3)} \text{ is unknown.}$ Time evolution. Athermal constitutive equation, dimensional analysis 1/2



Assuming t_s is the shortest characteristic time, nondimensional variables * are $\mathcal{O}(1)$, $\partial = 1 \ \partial = 0 \ \partial = 1 \ \partial$ (26)

$$\overline{\partial t} = \overline{t_s} \overline{\partial t^*}, \qquad \overline{\partial x} = \overline{L_0} \overline{\partial x^*}, \qquad (26)$$

$$\Pi_{\alpha\beta}^{f^{neq},(2)} = \Pi_0 \Pi_{\alpha\beta}^{*,f^{neq},(2)}, \qquad \Pi_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^{f^{neq},(3)} = Q_0 \Pi_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^{*,f^{neq},(3)},$$
(27)

$$u = U_0 u^*, \qquad \rho = \rho_0 \rho^*, \qquad T = T_0 T^*,$$
 (28)

neglecting numerical errors, the nondimensional stress-tensor is expressed as

$$\Pi_{\alpha\beta}^{*,f^{neq},(2)} = \frac{\mu U_0}{L_0 \Pi_0} \left[\frac{\partial u_{\alpha}^*}{\partial x_{\beta}^*} + \frac{\partial u_{\beta}^*}{\partial x_{\alpha}^*} \right] + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\mu U_0}{L_0 \Pi_0} \operatorname{Ma}^2\right) \\ + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\mu U_0}{L_0 \Pi_0} \frac{Q_0}{\rho_0 c_s^2 U_0}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\tau}{t_s}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\operatorname{Ma}^2}{\operatorname{Re}}\right).$$
(29)

When the classical low-Mach constitutive equation is verified, only the blue part remains, in which case $\frac{\mu U_0}{L_0 \Pi_0} = 1$.

Athermal constitutive equation, dimensional analysis 2/2



•
$$-\Pi_{\alpha\beta}^{*,f^{neq},(2)} = \left[\frac{\partial u_{\alpha}^{*}}{\partial x_{\beta}^{*}} + \frac{\partial u_{\beta}^{*}}{\partial x_{\alpha}^{*}}\right]$$
 "hydrodynamic limit".

- $Ma^2 \ll 1$ error coming from u^3 isotropy defect can be neglected.
- $\frac{Q_0}{\rho_0 c_s^2 U_0} \ll 1$ higher-order contributions from $\Pi_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^{f^{neq},(3)}$ can be neglected.
- $\frac{\tau}{t_s} \ll 1$ stress-tensor time derivative can be neglected.
- $\frac{Ma^2}{Re} \ll 1$ other terms can be neglected.

 \clubsuit Kn \propto Ma/Re is not the only parameter that controls the consistency. \bigstar





To get more insight on the interpretation of the non-equilibrium evolution, let recall the DVBE,

$$\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial t} + c_{i\alpha} \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_{\alpha}} = -\frac{1}{\tau} \left\{ f_i - f_i^{eq} \right\} + \mathcal{O}\left(\Delta t^2\right) \,. \tag{30}$$

Let also recall that $f_i = f_i^{eq} + f_i^{neq}$ such that the DVBE yields,

$$\frac{\partial f_i^{neq}}{\partial t} + c_{i\alpha} \frac{\partial f_i^{neq}}{\partial x_{\alpha}} = -\frac{1}{\tau} \Big\{ f_i^{neq} - \Lambda_i \Big\} + \mathcal{O}\left(\Delta t^2\right) \,, \tag{31}$$

with $\Lambda_i = \left[-\tau \frac{\partial f_i^{eq}}{\partial t} - \tau c_{i\alpha} \frac{\partial f_i^{eq}}{\partial x_{\alpha}} \right]$. f_i^{neq} relaxes towards Λ_i with a characteristic time τ .

 \Rightarrow f_i^{neq} has its own "equilibrium" : Λ_i .





To get more insight on the interpretation of the non-equilibrium evolution, let recall the DVBE,

$$\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial t} + c_{i\alpha} \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_{\alpha}} = -\frac{1}{\tau} \left\{ f_i - f_i^{eq} \right\} + \mathcal{O}\left(\Delta t^2\right) \,. \tag{30}$$

Let also recall that $f_i = f_i^{eq} + f_i^{neq}$ such that the DVBE yields,

$$\frac{\partial f_i^{neq}}{\partial t} + c_{i\alpha} \frac{\partial f_i^{neq}}{\partial x_{\alpha}} = -\frac{1}{\tau} \Big\{ f_i^{neq} - \Lambda_i \Big\} + \mathcal{O}\left(\Delta t^2\right) , \qquad (31)$$

with $\Lambda_i = \left[-\tau \frac{\partial f_i^{eq}}{\partial t} - \tau c_{i\alpha} \frac{\partial f_i^{eq}}{\partial x_{\alpha}} \right]$. f_i^{neq} relaxes towards Λ_i with a characteristic time τ .

 $\Rightarrow f_i^{neq}$ has its own "equilibrium" : Λ_i .





Hence, stress-tensor follows the compact equation,

$$\frac{\partial \Pi_{\alpha\beta}^{f^{neq},(2)}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \Pi_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^{f^{neq},(3)}}{\partial x_{\gamma}} = -\frac{1}{\tau} \left\{ \Pi_{\alpha\beta}^{f^{neq},(2)} - \Pi_{\alpha\beta}^{\Lambda,(2)} \right\} + \mathcal{O}\left(\Delta t^{2}\right) \,. \tag{32}$$

- Small lattices \rightarrow "isotropy defects" *e.g.* $\Pi^{(3)} \propto c_s^2 \Pi^{(1)}$ (this explains the $\mathcal{O}(u^3)$ error in stress-tensor).
- Isotropy defect is even worse for higher order moments.



The concept of regularized kernels



Collision,

$$f_i^{coll} = f_i^{eq} + (1 - \Delta t/\overline{\tau})\overline{f}_i^{neq}, \qquad (33)$$

can be projected onto moments,

$$\Pi^{coll,(3)} = \Pi^{eq,(3)} + (1 - \Delta t/\overline{\tau})\overline{\Pi}^{neq,(3)}, \qquad (34)$$

 $\overline{\Pi}^{neq,(3)}$ is regularized (replaced) by $\widetilde{\Pi}^{neq,(3)}$,

$$\Pi^{coll,(3)} = \Pi^{eq,(3)} + (1 - \Delta t/\overline{\tau})\tilde{\Pi}^{neq,(3)}.$$
(35)

Exemple : When Latt & Chopard regularization is applied to D3Q19, the rank q=19 of the solver is reduced to $\tilde{q}=10$.

 \Rightarrow We are not anymore solving the Discrete Velocity Boltzmann Equation \Leftarrow

🤗 Latt, J., & Chopard, B. Lattice Boltzmann method with regularized pre-collision distribution functions, Mathematics and Computers in



Collision,

$$f_i^{coll} = f_i^{eq} + (1 - \Delta t/\overline{\tau})\overline{f}_i^{neq}, \qquad (33)$$

can be projected onto moments,

$$\Pi^{coll,(3)} = \Pi^{eq,(3)} + (1 - \Delta t/\overline{\tau})\overline{\Pi}^{neq,(3)}, \qquad (34)$$

$$\overline{\Pi}^{neq,(3)} \text{ is regularized (replaced) by } \widetilde{\Pi}^{neq,(3)},$$
$$\Pi^{coll,(3)} = \Pi^{eq,(3)} + (1 - \Delta t/\overline{\tau})\widetilde{\Pi}^{neq,(3)}.$$
(35)

Exemple : When Latt & Chopard regularization is applied to D3Q19, the rank q=19 of the solver is reduced to $\tilde{q}=10$.

 \Rightarrow We are not anymore solving the Discrete Velocity Boltzmann Equation \leftarrow



Regularized Lattice-Boltzmann model is obtained using Taylor expansion,

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \rho u_{\beta}}{\partial x_{\beta}} = \mathcal{O}(\Delta t^{2}), \qquad (36)$$
$$\frac{\partial \rho u_{\alpha}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \left[\rho u_{\alpha} u_{\beta} + \rho c_{s}^{2} \delta_{\alpha\beta} + \Pi_{\alpha\beta}^{fneq,(2)}\right]}{\partial x_{\beta}} = \mathcal{O}(\Delta t^{2}), \qquad (37)$$
$$\frac{\partial \Pi_{\alpha\beta}^{fneq,(2)}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \tilde{\Pi}_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^{fneq,(3)}}{\partial x_{\gamma}} = -\frac{1}{\tau} \left\{ \Pi_{\alpha\beta}^{fneq,(2)} - \Pi_{\alpha\beta}^{\Lambda,(2)} \right\} + \mathcal{O}(\Delta t) . \qquad (38)$$

Stress-tensor evolution is $\mathcal{O}(\Delta t)$ accurate, but $\tilde{\Pi}^{neq,(3)}$ can be freely changed to increase stability/accuracy.

Thermal Lattice-Boltzmann

Hybrid coupling, entropy equation and traceless collision



Due to isotropy errors ($\Pi^{(3)} \propto c_s^2 \Pi^{(1)}$), energy conservation is wrong with standard lattices (*e.g.* D3Q19). Possible solutions,

- Multispeed, one large set of distributions. Computational efficiency is at stake. X
- Double Distributions coupling, 2 sets of distributions, one for mass/momentum and another for energy. Computational efficiency is at stake.
- Hybrid coupling, 1 small set of distributions and 1 energy equation discretized by a finite difference scheme. Cheaper, allows coupling with a wide variety of models. √



The entropy is a mode of the linearized Euler system, its coupling with mass/momentum is weaker than using *e.g.* total energy or enthaply.

Entropy equation in the frame reference of a plane discontinuity,

$$u \frac{\partial s}{\partial x} = 0.$$
 (39)

Contact discontinuity is compatible. Shock is not, because $u \neq 0$ such that $\partial s / \partial x = 0$ is necessary.



 \Rightarrow Acceptable errors on plane shocks (\sim 5%) up to Mach 1.4 \Leftarrow



• Initial solution, $\rho(t, \mathbf{x})$, $u_{\alpha}(t, \mathbf{x})$, $T(t, \mathbf{x})$ and $\prod_{\alpha\beta}^{f^{neq}, (2)}(t, \mathbf{x})$ are known.

Lattice-Boltzmann

Compute Equilibrium f_i^{eq}(t, x) and Non-Equilibrium *f*_i^{neq}(t, x).
Collide & Stream provides the updated distribution *f*_i(t + Δt, x).
Macroscopic update provides b(t + Δt, x) and u_α(t + Δt, x).

Finite Differences

 Compute the updated Entropy s(t + Δt, x) using a one step explicit scheme. MUSCL-Hancock for advection and centered schemes for heat diffusion and viscous heat.

Temperature update T(t + Δt, x) using ρ(t + Δt, x) and s(t + Δt, x).
 Stress-tensor update Π^f_{αβ}^{neq}(t + Δt, x) using [Π^f_{αβ}, ρ, u_α, T] (t + Δt, x).
 Interface bewteen LBM/FD is the second order moment



• Initial solution, $\rho(t, \mathbf{x})$, $u_{\alpha}(t, \mathbf{x})$, $T(t, \mathbf{x})$ and $\Pi_{\alpha\beta}^{f^{neq}, (2)}(t, \mathbf{x})$ are known.

Lattice-Boltzmann

- Compute Equilibrium $f_i^{eq}(t, \mathbf{x})$ and Non-Equilibrium $\overline{f}_i^{neq}(t, \mathbf{x})$.
- Collide & Stream provides the updated distribution $\overline{f}_i(t + \Delta t, \mathbf{x})$.
- Macroscopic update provides $\rho(t + \Delta t, \mathbf{x})$ and $u_{\alpha}(t + \Delta t, \mathbf{x})$.

Finite Differences

 Compute the updated Entropy s(t + Δt, x) using a one step explicit scheme. MUSCL-Hancock for advection and centered schemes for heat diffusion and viscous heat.

Temperature update T(t + Δt, x) using ρ(t + Δt, x) and s(t + Δt, x).
 Stress-tensor update Π^f_{αβ}^{neq}(t + Δt, x) using [Π^f_{αβ}, ρ, u_α, T] (t + Δt, x).
 Interface bewteen LBM/FD is the second order moment





Lattice-Boltzmann

- Compute Equilibrium $f_i^{eq}(t, \mathbf{x})$ and Non-Equilibrium $\overline{f}_i^{neq}(t, \mathbf{x})$.
- Collide & Stream provides the updated distribution $\overline{f}_i(t + \Delta t, \mathbf{x})$.

• Macroscopic update provides

 $\rho(t + \Delta t, \mathbf{x})$ and $u_{\alpha}(t + \Delta t, \mathbf{x})$.

Finite Differences

 Compute the updated Entropy s(t + Δt, x) using a one step explicit scheme. MUSCL-Hancock for advection and centered schemes for heat diffusion and viscous heat.

Temperature update T(t + Δt, x) using ρ(t + Δt, x) and s(t + Δt, x).
 Stress-tensor update Π^f_{αβ}^{neq}(t + Δt, x) using [Π^f_{αβ}, ρ, u_α, T](t + Δt, x).
 Interface bewteen LBM/FD is the second order moment





- **Temperature** update $T(t + \Delta t, \mathbf{x})$ using $\rho(t + \Delta t, \mathbf{x})$ and $s(t + \Delta t, \mathbf{x})$.
- Stress-tensor update $\Pi_{\alpha\beta}^{\overline{f}^{neq}}(t + \Delta t, \mathbf{x})$ using $\left[\Pi_{\alpha\beta}^{\overline{f}}, \rho, u_{\alpha}, T\right](t + \Delta t, \mathbf{x})$.

➔ Interface bewteen LBM/FD is the second order moment ←

Thermal coupling, traceless collision



$$\Pi_{\alpha\beta}^{\overline{f}^{neq}} \text{ uses } \Pi_{\alpha\beta}^{\overline{f}}, \rho, u_{\alpha} \text{ (LBM) and } p \text{ (LBM/FD)}, \\ \Pi_{\alpha\beta}^{\overline{f}^{neq}} = \left(\Pi_{\alpha\beta}^{\overline{f}} - \Pi_{\alpha\beta}^{feq}\right) \\ = \left(\Pi_{\alpha\beta}^{\overline{f}} - \left[\rho u_{\alpha} u_{\beta} + p\delta_{\alpha\beta}\right]\right). \quad (40)$$
Coupling errors between LBM/FD are stacked in the trace of $\Pi_{\alpha\beta}^{\overline{f}^{neq}}$.

A compressible scheme traditionally uses Stokes Hypothesis (traceless $\Pi_{\alpha\beta}^{f^{neq}}, (2)$), $-\Pi_{\alpha\beta}^{f^{neq}}, (2) = \mu \left[\frac{\partial u_{\alpha}}{\partial x_{\beta}} + \frac{\partial u_{\beta}}{\partial x_{\alpha}} - \frac{2\delta_{\alpha\beta}}{3}\frac{\partial u_{\gamma}}{\partial x_{\gamma}}\right], \quad (41)$

The trace $\Pi_{\alpha\alpha}^{\overline{f}^{neq}}$ is pure errors, it could be safely replaced by 0.

 \Rightarrow New regularization $\Pi_{\alpha\alpha}^{\overline{f}^{neq}} = 0$ improves the stability.

Farag, G. & Zhao, S. & Coratger, T. & Boivin, P. & Sagaut, P. A pressure-based regularized lattice-Boltzmann method for the simulation of compressible flows, Physics of Fluids, 2020. Thermal coupling, traceless collision



$$\Pi_{\alpha\beta}^{\overline{f}^{neq}} \text{ uses } \Pi_{\alpha\beta}^{\overline{f}}, \rho, u_{\alpha} \text{ (LBM) and } p \text{ (LBM/FD),} \\ \Pi_{\alpha\beta}^{\overline{f}^{neq}} = \left(\Pi_{\alpha\beta}^{\overline{f}} - \Pi_{\alpha\beta}^{feq}\right) \\ = \left(\Pi_{\alpha\beta}^{\overline{f}} - \left[\rho u_{\alpha} u_{\beta} + p \delta_{\alpha\beta}\right]\right). \quad (40)$$
Coupling errors between LBM/FD are stacked in the trace of $\Pi_{\alpha\beta}^{\overline{f}^{neq}}$.

A compressible scheme traditionally uses Stokes Hypothesis (traceless $\Pi_{\alpha\beta}^{f^{neq},(2)}$), $-\Pi_{\alpha\beta}^{f^{neq},(2)} = \mu \left[\frac{\partial u_{\alpha}}{\partial x_{\beta}} + \frac{\partial u_{\beta}}{\partial x_{\alpha}} - \frac{2\delta_{\alpha\beta}}{3}\frac{\partial u_{\gamma}}{\partial x_{\gamma}}\right], \quad (41)$

The trace $\Pi_{\alpha\alpha}^{\overline{f}^{neq}}$ is pure errors, it could be safely replaced by 0.

 \Rightarrow New regularization $\Pi_{\alpha\alpha}^{\overline{f}^{neq}} = 0$ improves the stability.

Farag, G. & Zhao, S. & Coratger, T. & Boivin, P. & Sagaut, P. A pressure-based regularized lattice-Boltzmann method for the simulation of compressible flows, Physics of Fluids, 2020.

Compressible models & applications

Pressure-based model, unified model, applications



During the past few years, M2P2 designed different compressible models,

• Density based (ρ -based), 2019,

- Y. Feng, P. Boivin, J. Jacob and P. Sagaut. Hybrid recursive regularized thermal lattice Boltzmann model for high subsonic compressible flows. Journal of Computational Physics, 2019.
- F. Renard, Y. Feng, , JF. Boussuge and P. Sagaut. Improved compressible Hybrid Lattice Boltzmann Method on standard lattice for subsonic and supersonic flows. Computers & Fluids, 2021.

• Pressure based (*p*-based), early 2020,

- G. Farag, S. Zhao, T. Coratger, P. Boivin, G. Chiavassa and P. Sagaut. A pressure-based regularized lattice-Boltzmann method for the simulation of compressible flows. Physics of Fluids, 2020.
- Improved-density based (*iρ*-based), late 2020,
 - S. Guo, Y. Feng and P. Sagaut. Improved standard thermal lattice Boltzmann model with hybrid recursive regularization for compressible laminar and turbulent flows. Physics of Fluids, 2020.

➔ How do they differ from one another ? Which one should be used ? ←



Their 2nd-order distributions are :

$$f_{i}^{\boldsymbol{\rho}, \boldsymbol{eq}} = \omega_{i} \left\{ \boldsymbol{\rho} + \frac{\mathcal{H}_{i\alpha}^{(1)}}{c_{s}^{2}} \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{u}_{\alpha} + \frac{\mathcal{H}_{i\alpha\beta}^{(2)}}{2c_{s}^{4}} \left[\boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{u}_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{u}_{\beta} + \delta_{\alpha\beta} \boldsymbol{\rho} c_{s}^{2} (\boldsymbol{\theta} - 1) \right] \right\}$$
(42)

$$f_{i}^{\boldsymbol{p},e\boldsymbol{q}} = \omega_{i} \left\{ \rho \theta + \frac{\mathcal{H}_{i\alpha}^{(1)}}{c_{s}^{2}} \rho u_{\alpha} + \frac{\mathcal{H}_{i\alpha\beta}^{(2)}}{2c_{s}^{4}} \left[\rho u_{\alpha} u_{\beta} + \delta_{\alpha\beta} \mathbf{0} \right] \right\}$$
(43)

$$f_{i}^{\boldsymbol{i}\boldsymbol{\rho},\boldsymbol{eq}} = \omega_{i} \left\{ \boldsymbol{\rho} + \frac{\mathcal{H}_{i\alpha}^{(1)}}{c_{s}^{2}} \rho u_{\alpha} + \frac{\mathcal{H}_{i\alpha\beta}^{(2)}}{2c_{s}^{4}} \left[\rho u_{\alpha} u_{\beta} + \delta_{\alpha\beta} \mathbf{0} \right] + \frac{\omega_{i} - \delta_{0i}}{\omega_{i}} \rho \left[\theta - 1 \right] \right\}$$
(44)

With 2 different update rules for mass :

•
$$\rho/i\rho$$
-based : $\rho(t + \Delta t, \mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \overline{f}_i(t + \Delta t, \mathbf{x})$ (45)

• p-based :
$$\rho(t + \Delta t, \mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \overline{f}_i(t + \Delta t, \mathbf{x}) + \rho(t, \mathbf{x})[1 - \theta(t, \mathbf{x})]$$
 (46)

 \rightarrow Very close equations, let us try to find a generalized formulation. \Leftarrow

A generalized equilibrium on D3Q19



Considering the D3Q19 lattice a function can be projected onto its basis $\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{H}_{i}^{(0)}, \mathcal{H}_{ix}^{(1)}, \mathcal{H}_{iy}^{(1)}, \mathcal{H}_{iz}^{(1)}, \mathcal{H}_{ixx}^{(2)}, \mathcal{H}_{iyy}^{(2)}, \mathcal{H}_{izz}^{(2)}, \mathcal{H}_{ixy}^{(2)}, \mathcal{H}_{iyz}^{(2)}, \mathcal{H}_{iyz}^$

The equilibrium distribution that generalizes M2P2 models is

$$f_{i}^{eq} = \omega_{i} \left\{ \mathcal{H}^{(0)}\rho + \frac{\mathcal{H}^{(1)}_{i\alpha}}{c_{s}^{2}}\rho u_{\alpha} + \frac{\mathcal{H}^{(2)}_{i\alpha\beta}}{2c_{s}^{4}} \left[\rho u_{\alpha}u_{\beta} + \delta_{\alpha\beta}\rho c_{s}^{2}(\theta-1) \right] + \frac{\mathcal{H}^{(3)}_{i\alpha\beta\gamma}}{6c_{s}^{6}} \left[\rho u_{\alpha}u_{\beta}u_{\gamma} - \kappa\rho c_{s}^{2} \left(u_{\alpha}\delta_{\beta\gamma} + u_{\beta}\delta_{\gamma\alpha} + u_{\gamma}\delta_{\alpha\beta} \right) \right] - \frac{\mathcal{A}_{i} + \mathcal{B}_{i} + \mathcal{C}_{i}}{12c_{s}^{4}}\rho[\theta-1](1-\zeta) \right\}.$$
(48)

• $\zeta = 1$ and $\kappa = 1 - \theta$ is the classical ho-based.

• $\zeta = 0$ and $\kappa = 0$ is for *p*-based <u>and</u> *i* ρ -based. Same core model !

 \Rightarrow Differences between models are inside 3rd and 4th-order moments. \Leftarrow



- 1/ Classical thermal equilibrium up to 2nd-order -> Consistent mass and momentum Euler conservation.
- 2/ Higher-order equilibrium moments related to $A_i B_i$ and C_i polynomials and force correction term similar to pressure-based model \Rightarrow Improved stability.
- 3/ Athermal 3rd order equilibrium moments $\rho u_{\alpha} u_{\beta} u_{\gamma} \rightarrow$ Improved stability and more reasonable errors $\mathcal{O}(\frac{Ma^2 CFL^2}{Re(Ma+1)^2})$ compared to $\mathcal{O}(\frac{Ma^2}{Re}) + \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{RePr})$ in classical density-based thermal model.
- 4/ Entropy equation using MUSCL-Hancock scheme → Reasonable trade-off between small stencil (1D is 5points), both stability and accuracy are improved.
- 5/ Discontinuity sensor based on density > Increased viscosity in both shocks and contact discontinuities.
- 6/ Small artificial bulk viscosity \Rightarrow Necessary for very high Mach \gtrsim 1.7.
- 7/ Recursive regularization and regularization of stress-tensor trace > Improved stability.

Farag, G. & Coratger, T. & Wissocq G. & Zhao S. & Boivin P. & Sagaut P. A unified hybrid lattice-Boltzmann method for compressible flows: Bridging between pressure-based and density-based methods, Physics of Fluids, 2021.

Unified model validation : Thermal Couette flow





 $100 \times 1 \times 1$ mesh, CFL ranging between 0.5 and 0.2.

➔ Accurate viscosity, heat diffusion and viscous heat

Unified model validation : Isenstropic vortex advection





Unified model validation : Entropy spot advection





Unified model : 2D Riemann problems





Figure 11: Lax & Liu 2D Riemann problems : Density fields of configurations 4-6-11-12-13-16.

 $400 \times 400 \times 1$ grid, $\Delta t / \Delta x = 0.22$ extremely close to Lax & Liu's article, $\mu = 0$ and discontinuity sensor. Robust \leftarrow

Unified model : Compressible double shear layer







Unified model : Vortex / Ma 1.2 shock interaction





Unified model : Entropy spot / Ma 1.2 shock interaction





Conclusion



- 1/~ In the absence of a careful study of higher-order terms, the Lattice-Boltzmann link with kinetic theory is blurred.
- $2/\Delta t \rightarrow 0$ is the sole necessary assumption to study a LB model. Being cheaper in term of assumptions, the dimensional analysis outperforms Chapman-Enskog.
- 3/ M2P2 models are now unified under a single formalism.
- 4/ "Kinetic-theory-inspired" LB schemes is not necessarily the most efficient path towards stability/accuracy.
- 5/ The regularization has been extended to the trace of the stress-tensor : $\Pi_{\alpha\alpha}^{neq}$. This drastically improves the stability.

Short bibliography



J. Latt & B. Chopard. Lattice Boltzmann method with regularized pre-collision distribution functions, Mathematics and Computers in Simulation, 2006.

F. Dubois. Equivalent partial differential equations of a lattice Boltzmann scheme, Computers & Mathematics with Applications, 2008.

C. Coreixas, G. Wissocq, G. Puigt, J. F. Boussuge & P. Sagaut. Recursive regularization step for high-order lattice Boltzmann methods, Physical Review E, 2017.

J. Jacob, O. Malaspinas & P. Sagaut. A new hybrid recursive regularised Bhatnagar–Gross–Krook collision model for Lattice Boltzmann method-based large eddy simulation, Journal of Turbulence, 2018.

Y. Feng, P. Boivin, J. Jacob & P. Sagaut Hybrid recursive regularized thermal lattice Boltzmann model for high subsonic compressible flows, Journal of Computational Physics, 2019.

G. Farag, S. Zhao, T. Coratger, P. Boivin & P. Sagaut. A pressure-based regularized lattice-Boltzmann method for the simulation of compressible flows, Physics of Fluids, 2020.

S. Guo, Y. Feng & P. Sagaut Improved standard thermal lattice Boltzmann model with hybrid recursive regularization for compressible laminar and turbulent flows, Physics of Fluids, 2020.

G. Farag, S. Zhao, G. Chiavassa & P. Boivin. Consistency study of Lattice-Boltzmann schemes macroscopic limit, Physics of Fluids, 2021.

F. Renard, Y. Feng, , JF. Boussuge & P. Sagaut Improved compressible Hybrid Lattice Boltzmann Method on standard lattice for subsonic and supersonic flows, Computers & Fluids, 2021.

G. Farag, T. Coratger, G. Wissocq, S. Zhao, P. Boivin & P. Sagaut. A unified hybrid lattice-Boltzmann method for compressible flows: Bridging between pressure-based and density-based methods, Physics of Fluids, 2021.

G. Wissocq & P. Sagaut. Hydrodynamic limits and numerical errors of isothermal lattice Boltzmann schemes, Journal of Computational Physics, 2022.