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## - Dynamical system.

Let $n$ be an integer greater than the unity. We study an autonomous dynamical system in the finite dimensional space $\mathbb{R}^{n}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\mathrm{d} u}{\mathrm{~d} t}=f(u(t)), \quad t>0,  \tag{1}\\
& u(0)=u_{0} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathbb{R}^{n} \ni v \longmapsto f(v) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a sufficiently regular function. We discretize the time with a time step $h>0$ and we search an approximation $u_{h}$ of $u(h)$ with a one-step method, id est using only the knowledge of the initial vector $u_{0}$ and the entire function $f(\bullet)$.

## - One step numerical schemes.

We integrate the equation (1) between 0 and $h$, we take into account the initial condition (2), divide by $h$ and make an elementary change of variables inside the associated integral. It comes :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{u(h)-u_{0}}{h}=\int_{0}^{1} f(u(\theta h)) \mathrm{d} \theta . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

A good one-step method consists in approaching at best the right hand side of the relation (3). The choice of a constant interpolation conducts to the explicit Euler scheme when $\int_{0}^{1} f(u(\theta h)) \mathrm{d} \theta \simeq f(u(0))$ and to the implicit Euler scheme if we choose $\int_{0}^{1} f(u(\theta h)) \mathrm{d} \theta \simeq f(u(h))$. We refer e.g. to the book of Crouzeix and Mignot [CM84] for an introduction to the numerical analysis of ordinary differential equations. Nevertheless, a classical approach consists in making an affine interpolation $\varphi_{1}(\bullet)$ of the function $\varphi(\bullet)$ defined by
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$$
\begin{equation*}
[0,1] \ni \theta \longmapsto \varphi(\theta) \equiv f(u(\theta h)) \in \mathbb{R}^{n} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the function $\varphi_{1}(\cdot)$ is parameterized by $f\left(u_{0}\right)$ which is known and by $f(u(h))$ which is unknown and that we write $f\left(u_{h}\right)$ after having done the approximation :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{1}(\theta) \equiv(1-\theta) f\left(u_{0}\right)+\theta f\left(u_{h}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We obtain in that manner after integrating the function $\varphi_{1}(\bullet)$ on the interval $[0,1]$ the so-called Crank-Nicolson scheme:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{u_{h}-u_{0}}{h}=\frac{1}{2}\left(f\left(u_{h}\right)+f\left(u_{0}\right)\right) . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

## - Third order Hermite interpolation.

We follow this interpolation idea with the following remark. If the state $u_{0}$ is known, then $\frac{\mathrm{d} u}{\mathrm{~d} t}(0)=f\left(u_{0}\right)$ is known, but the second derivative $\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} u}{\mathrm{~d} t^{2}}(0)=$ $\mathrm{d} f\left(u_{0}\right) \bullet f\left(u_{0}\right)$ is also known. In a similar way, if the final state $u(h)$ is known, we have the same property for the first derivative $\frac{\mathrm{d} u}{\mathrm{~d} t}(h)=f(u(h))$ and for the second derivative $\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} u}{\mathrm{~d} t^{2}}(h)=\mathrm{d} f(u(h)) \bullet f(u(h))$. In that way, we have inside our hands four numerical values that characterize $\varphi(\bullet)$ introduced at the relation (4) at the two ends of the interval $[0,1]: \varphi(0)=f\left(u_{0}\right)$, $\varphi^{\prime}(0)=h \mathrm{~d} f\left(u_{0}\right) \bullet f\left(u_{0}\right), \varphi(1)=f(u(h)), \varphi^{\prime}(1)=h \mathrm{~d} f(u(h)) \bullet f(u(h))$. We use these four values and the classical Hermite basis for polynomials of degree at least three (see e. g. Hildebrand [Hil87]) to approximate the function $\varphi(\bullet)$ introduced at relation (4) by a polynomial function $\varphi_{2}(\bullet)$ :

$$
\varphi(\theta) \simeq \varphi_{2}(\theta) \equiv\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(1+2 \theta)(\theta-1)^{2} \varphi(0)+\theta(\theta-1)^{2} \varphi^{\prime}(0)+  \tag{7}\\
+\theta^{2}(3-2 \theta) \varphi(1)+\theta^{2}(\theta-1) \varphi^{\prime}(1)
\end{array}\right.
$$

We intregrate the relation (7) over the interval $[0,1]$, we replace the exact value $u(h)$ by an approximate one $u_{h}$ and obtain in this way an approximate method for the ordinary differential equation (1). It an be written :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{u_{h}-u_{0}}{h}=\frac{1}{2}\left(f\left(u_{h}\right)+f\left(u_{0}\right)\right)-\frac{h}{12}\left[\mathrm{~d} f\left(u_{h}\right) \bullet f\left(u_{h}\right)-\mathrm{d} f\left(u_{0}\right) \bullet f\left(u_{0}\right)\right] \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

## - Proposition 1. Fourth order scheme.

Let $u(\bullet)$ be the solution of the dynamical system (1)(2) and in particular let $u(h)$ be the solution of this system at time $h$. We define the truncation error $\mathcal{T}_{h}$ associated with the scheme (8) by the relation

$$
\mathcal{T}_{h} \equiv\left\{\begin{align*}
\frac{u(h)-u_{0}}{h}- & \frac{1}{2}\left(f(u(h))+f\left(u_{0}\right)\right)  \tag{9}\\
& +\frac{h}{12}\left[\mathrm{~d} f(u(h)) \bullet f(u(h))-\mathrm{d} f\left(u_{0}\right) \bullet f\left(u_{0}\right)\right]
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathcal{T}_{h}\right| \leq \frac{1}{720} \sup _{0 \leq t \leq h}\left\|u^{(5)}(t)\right\| h^{4} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

## - A two-steps scheme based on Crank-Nicolson.

The scheme (8) is implicit and the operator $\mathbb{R}^{n} \ni v \longmapsto \mathrm{~d} f(v) \bullet f(v) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ can be complicated to manipulate algebraically. We propose to replace the nonlinear scheme (8) by a multistep procedure based on the Crank-Nicolson scheme (6). We first consider a predicted value $u_{h}^{1}$ evaluated with the CrankNicolson scheme :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{u_{h}^{1}-u_{0}}{h}=\frac{1}{2}\left(f\left(u_{h}^{1}\right)+f\left(u_{0}\right)\right) . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we substitute this value $u_{h}^{1}$ in the second term of the right hand side of relation (8). We obtain in this way the following equation to deduce $u_{h}^{2}$ from the initial value $u_{0}$ and the predicted value $u_{h}^{1}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{u_{h}^{2}-u_{0}}{h}=\frac{1}{2}\left(f\left(u_{h}^{2}\right)+f\left(u_{0}\right)\right)-\frac{h}{12}\left[\mathrm{~d} f\left(u_{h}^{1}\right) \bullet f\left(u_{h}^{1}\right)-\mathrm{d} f\left(u_{0}\right) \bullet f\left(u_{0}\right)\right] . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We remark that the resolution of both nonlinear equations (11) and (12) just needs to solve an equation of unknown $w$ the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
w-\frac{h}{2} f(w)=g \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the dynamics function $f(\bullet)$ in the operator to inverse at the left hand side of relation (13).

## - Proposition 2. Fourth order for two-step scheme.

Let $u(h)$ be the solution of the dynamical system (1)(2) at time $h$. Let $u_{h}^{2}$ be computed according to the predictor-corrector scheme (11) (12). Then $u_{h}^{2}$ and $u(h)$ have the same Taylor expansion up to the order 4.

## - Hermite interpolation of higher order.

We can generalize the previous schemes (8) and (11) (12) at an arbitrary order. We first observe that, according to the Faà di Bruno formula (see e.g. Hairer et al [HNW87]), we can express the $j^{o}$ derivative of the solution $u(\bullet)$ of the dynamical system (1) with the function $f(\bullet)$ and its successive derivatives:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}^{j} u}{\mathrm{~d} t^{j}}(t) \equiv \Phi_{j}\left(f, \mathrm{~d} f, \cdots, \mathrm{~d}^{j-1} f ; u(t)\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have for example for the two first derivatives $\Phi_{1}(f ; u) \equiv f(u)$ and $\Phi_{2}(f, \mathrm{~d} f ; u) \equiv \mathrm{d} f(u) \bullet f(u)$. Then for a given integer $k$ and $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$, we
interpolate the function $\varphi(\theta)=\frac{\mathrm{d} u}{\mathrm{~d} t}(\theta h)$ introduced at the relation (4) with the Hermite interpolation polynomial $\varphi_{k}(\bullet)$ of degree lower or equal than $(2 k-1)$ based on the degrees of freedom $u^{\prime}(0), h u^{\prime \prime}(0), \cdots, h^{k-1} u^{(k)}(0)$ and $u^{\prime}(h), h u^{\prime \prime}(h), \cdots, h^{k-1} u^{(k)}(h)$. Then we integrate the polynomial $\varphi_{k}(\cdot)$ on the interval $[0,1]$. We obtain by doing this a variant of the Euler-Mac Laurin summation formula

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\int_{0}^{1} \varphi(\theta) \mathrm{d} \theta \simeq \int_{0}^{1} \varphi_{k}(\theta) \mathrm{d} \theta=\frac{1}{2}(\varphi(0)+\varphi(1))  \tag{15}\\
\quad-\frac{1}{12}\left(\varphi^{\prime}(1)-\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right)-\cdots-\frac{B_{2 k}}{(2 k)!}\left(\varphi^{(k-1)}(1)-\varphi^{(k-1)}(0)\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $B_{2 k}$ are the Bernoulli numbers (see e.g. [Hil87]). We have due to the relation (14)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi^{(j)}(\theta)=h^{j-1} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{j} u}{\mathrm{~d} t^{j}}(\theta h)=h^{j-1} \Phi_{j}\left(f, \mathrm{~d} f, \cdots, \mathrm{~d}^{j-1} f ; u(\theta t)\right) . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

When we replace the previous expression inside the relation (15), the associated numerical scheme takes the form :

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
& \frac{u_{h}-u_{0}}{h}=\frac{1}{2}\left(f\left(u_{h}\right)+f\left(u_{0}\right)\right)-\frac{h}{12} {\left[\mathrm{~d} f\left(u_{h}\right) \bullet f\left(u_{h}\right)-\mathrm{d} f\left(u_{0}\right) \bullet f\left(u_{0}\right)\right] }  \tag{17}\\
&-\sum_{j=3}^{k} \frac{B_{2 j}}{(2 j)!} h^{j-1}\left(\Phi_{j}\left(f, \mathrm{~d} f, \cdots, \mathrm{~d}^{j-1} f ; u_{h}\right)\right. \\
&\left.-\Phi_{j}\left(f, \mathrm{~d} f, \cdots, \mathrm{~d}^{j-1} f ; u_{0}\right)\right)
\end{align*}\right.
$$

## - Proposition 3. Numerical scheme of order 2k.

Let $u(\bullet)$ be the solution of the dynamical system (1)(2) and in particular let $u(h)$ be the solution of this system at time $h$. We define the truncation error $\mathcal{T}_{h}$ associated with the scheme (17) by the relation

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{T}_{h} \equiv \frac{u(h)-u_{0}}{h}-\frac{1}{2}\left(f(u(h))+f\left(u_{0}\right)\right)  \tag{18}\\
&+\sum_{j=2}^{k} \frac{B_{2 j}}{(2 j)!} h^{j-1}\left(\Phi_{j}\left(f, \mathrm{~d} f, \cdots, \mathrm{~d}^{j-1} f ; u(h)\right)\right. \\
&\left.-\Phi_{j}\left(f, \mathrm{~d} f, \cdots, \mathrm{~d}^{j-1} f ; u_{0}\right)\right)
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathcal{T}_{h}\right| \leq C \sup _{0 \leq t \leq h}\left\|u^{(2 k+1)}(t)\right\| h^{2 k} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $C>0$.
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