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#### Abstract

The lattice Boltzmann method is briefly introduced using moments. We use this method to model diffusion problems. We have adapted a general methodology for equivalent equations to the explicit determination of discrete gradient and fluxes for this problem. We validate this new approach with a detailed comparison with finite differences. We show some results for an anisotropic test case.
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## 1 Lattice Boltzmann scheme

The lattice Boltzmann equation (LBE) is a numerical method based on kinetic theory to simulate various hydrodynamic systems. It uses a small number of velocities and was derived by Higuera and Jiménez [HJ89] from lattice

[^0]gas automata of Frisch et al. [FHP86]. The LBE is a mesoscopic method and deals with a small number of functions $\left\{f_{i}\right\}$ that can be interpreted as populations of fictitious "particles". The dynamics of these "particles" is such that time, space and momentum are discretized. The "particles" evolve in a succession of collision and propagation steps on the nodes of a regular lattice $\mathcal{L}$ parametrized by a spatial scale $\Delta x$. This lattice is composed by a set $\mathcal{L}^{0} \equiv\left\{x_{j} \in(\Delta x \mathbb{Z})^{d}\right\}$ of nodes or vertices where $d$ is the dimension of space. We define $\Delta t$ as the time step of the evolution of LBE and let the celerity $\lambda \equiv \frac{\Delta x}{\Delta t}$. We choose the velocities $v_{i}, i \in(0 \ldots q)$ such that $v_{i} \equiv c_{i} \frac{\Delta x}{\Delta t}=c_{i} \lambda$, where $c_{i}$ are vectors connecting neighbouring nodes of $\mathcal{L}$.

For the sake of simplicity we consider the particular D2Q9 [DDH92] model (i.e. $d=2$ two-dimensional LBE model with nine velocities $q=$ 8). In this model, we choose the velocities $c_{i}, i \in(0 \ldots 8)$ defined by: $c=(0,0),(1,0),(0,1),(-1,0),(0,-1),(1,1),(-1,1),(-1,-1),(1,-1)$. The populations $f_{i}$ evolve according to the LBE scheme which can be written as follows [Du07]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i}\left(x_{j}, t+\Delta t\right)=f_{i}^{*}\left(x_{j}-v_{i} \Delta t, t\right), \quad 0 \leq i \leq 8 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the superscript $*$ denotes post-collision quantities. Therefore during each time increment $\Delta t$ there are two fundamental steps: collision and advection.

In the advection step the "particles" move from a lattice node $x_{j}$ to either itself (with the velocity $\mathbf{v}_{0}=0$ ), one of the four nearest neighbors (with the velocity $\mathbf{v}_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq 4$ ), or one of the four next-nearest neighbors (with the velocity $\left.\mathbf{v}_{i}, 5 \leq i \leq 8\right)$. The collision step consists of the redistribution of the populations $\left\{f_{i}\right\}$ at each node $x_{j}$. It is modeled by the operator subscript * in (1) and is best described in the space of moments $m_{k}$ [DDH92]. They are obtained by a linear transformation of vectors $f_{j}$ :

$$
m_{k}=\sum_{j} M_{k j} f_{j}
$$

Explicit formula for $M_{k j}$ coefficient is given in [DDH92]. Note that matrix $M$ is invertible. The moments have an explicit physical significance (e.g. [LL00]): $m_{0} \equiv T$ is the temperature (density), $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ are $x$ momentum, $y$-momentum, $m_{3}$ is the energy, $m_{4}$ is related to energy square,
$m_{5}$ and $m_{6}$ are $x$-energy flux and $y$-energy flux and $m_{7}, m_{8}$ are diagonal stress and off-diagonal stress.

- To simulate diffusion problems, we conserve only the first moment $m_{0}$ in the collision step and obtain one macroscopic scalar equation. For the other quantities (non-conserved moments), we assume that they relax towards equilibrium values $m_{k}^{e q}$ that are nonlinear functions of the conserved quantities and set:

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{k}^{*}=\left(1-s_{k}\right) m_{k}+s_{k} m_{k}^{e q}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq 8 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s_{k} \equiv \frac{\Delta t}{\tau_{k}}$ is a relaxation rate $\left(0<s_{k}<2\right.$ for stability). The relaxation rates $s_{k}$ are not necessarily identical as in the so called BGK case [QHL92].

The equilibrium values $m_{k}^{e q}$ of the non-conserved moments in equation (2) determine the macroscopic behaviour of the scheme (i.e. of equation (1)). Indeed with the following choice of equilibrium values:

$$
m_{3}^{e q}=\alpha T, m_{4}^{e q}=\beta T, q_{x}^{e q}=0, q_{y}^{e q}=0, p_{x x}^{e q}=a_{x x} T \quad \text { and } \quad p_{x y}^{e q}=a_{x y} T
$$

and using Taylor expansion [Du07] or Chapman-Enskog procedure [FHH87] we find the diffusion equation up to order three in $\Delta t$ :

$$
\frac{\partial T}{\partial t}-\operatorname{div}(\mathrm{K} \nabla T)=\mathrm{O}\left(\Delta t^{3}\right)
$$

where $\mathrm{K}=\left(k_{i, j}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2}$ is the diffusion tensor with

$$
\begin{aligned}
k_{11} & =\frac{\lambda^{2} \Delta t}{6}\left(\frac{1}{s_{1}}-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(4+\alpha+3 a_{x x}\right) \\
k_{12} & =k_{21}=\frac{\lambda^{2} \Delta t}{2}\left(\frac{1}{s_{1}}+\frac{1}{s_{2}}-1\right) a_{x y} \\
k_{22} & =\frac{\lambda^{2} \Delta t}{6}\left(\frac{1}{s_{2}}-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(4+\alpha-3 a_{x x}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

These equations reduce to the standard isotropic diffusion equation for $a_{x x}=$ $a_{x y}=0$ and $s_{1}=s_{2}=s$, with the diffusion coefficient

$$
\kappa=\frac{\lambda^{2}}{6} \Delta t(4+\alpha)\left(\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{2}\right)
$$

With a given velocity field $\left(v_{x}, v_{y}\right)$, if we take $m_{1}^{e q}=\lambda v_{x} T$ and $m_{2}^{e q}=$ $\lambda v_{y} T$ the LBE scheme describes the following advection-diffusion [GdH07] equation:

$$
\frac{\partial T}{\partial t}+v . \nabla T-\mathrm{K} \Delta T=\mathrm{O}\left(\Delta t^{2}\right)
$$

## - Boundary conditions

In this section we deal with boundary conditions for the lattice Boltzmann method. We explain in detail how to reconstruct classical bounce-back or anti-bounce back boundary conditions using a general Taylor expansion proposed in [Du07]. Let $\partial \Omega$ be a boundary surface cutting the link between fluid node $x_{b}$ and an outside one $x_{e} \equiv x_{b}-\Delta x$ (see Figure 1).


Figure 1. A boundary surface cutting the link between node $x_{b}$ (a fluid node) and $x_{e} \equiv x_{b}-\Delta x$ (a fictitious outside node).

Let $f_{i}\left(x_{b}, t\right), i \in(0 \ldots 8)$ be the population at node $x_{b}$ and at time $t$. After the collision step distribution $f_{3}^{*}\left(x_{b}, t\right)$ has left the fluid and goes to the fictitious node $x_{e}$. At time $t+\Delta t$ we have to define the unknown population $f_{1}\left(x_{b}, t+\Delta t\right)$ which comes from node $x_{e}$ and is equal to $f_{1}^{*}\left(x_{e}, t\right)$. So the choice of this population will determine the boundary conditions. Here we consider the case of Dirichlet boundary conditions at $\partial \Omega$ which intersects the link between $x_{e}$ and $x_{b}$ at $x_{e}+\frac{\Delta x}{2}$.

To have $T\left(x_{e}+\frac{\Delta x}{2}\right)$ on $\partial \Omega$ in the configuration of Figure 1 up to order 1 in $\Delta t$ we perform the following scheme:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{1}\left(x_{b}, t+\Delta t\right) & =-f_{3}\left(x_{e}, t+\Delta t\right)+\frac{1}{36}\left(4-\alpha-2 \beta+9 a_{x x}\right) T\left(x_{e}+\frac{\Delta x}{2}\right), \\
f_{5}\left(x_{b}, t+\Delta t\right) & =-f_{7}\left(x_{2}, t+\Delta t\right)+\frac{1}{36}\left(4+2 \alpha+\beta+9 a_{x y}\right) T\left(x_{S}\right), \\
f_{8}\left(x_{b}, t+\Delta t\right) & =-f_{6}\left(x_{1}, t+\Delta t\right)+\frac{1}{36}\left(4+2 \alpha+\beta-9 a_{x y}\right) T\left(x_{N}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By using moments and relation (1) we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{1}\left(x_{b}, t+\Delta t\right) & =f_{1}^{*}\left(x_{e}, t\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{36}\left(4 m_{0}+\frac{6 m_{1}^{*}}{\lambda}-m_{3}^{*}-2 m_{4}^{*}-6 m_{5}^{*}+9 m_{7}^{*}\right)\left(x_{e}, t\right), \\
f_{3}\left(x_{e}, t+\Delta t\right) & =f_{3}^{*}\left(x_{b}, t\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{36}\left(4 m_{0}-\frac{6 m_{1}^{*}}{\lambda}-m_{3}^{*}-2 m_{4}^{*}+6 m_{5}^{*}+9 m_{7}^{*}\right)\left(x_{b}, t\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have the following development of non-equilibrium moments at second order on $\Delta t$ (as described in [Du09]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{k}^{*}=m_{k}^{e q}+\Delta t\left(\frac{1}{2}-\sigma_{k}\right) \theta_{k}+\mathrm{O}\left(\Delta t^{2}\right), \quad k \geq 2 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma_{k} \equiv\left(\frac{1}{s_{k}}-\frac{1}{2}\right)$ and $\theta_{k}$ is the defect of conservation defined by:

$$
\theta_{k} \equiv \partial_{t} m_{k}^{e q}+\sum_{j, \alpha} M_{k j} M_{\alpha j} \partial_{\alpha} f_{j}^{e q}
$$

The detailed expansion of these coefficients is given in [Du09] and is used below. Now we consider the quantities $f_{1}\left(x_{b}, t+\Delta t\right)+f_{3}\left(x_{e}, t+\Delta t\right)$, and we use the above identity and the different expressions of the $\theta_{k}$, we get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{1}\left(x_{b}, t+\Delta t\right)+ & f_{3}\left(x_{e}, t+\Delta t\right)= \\
& =\frac{1}{72}\left(4-\alpha-2 \beta+9 a_{x x}\right)\left(T\left(x_{e}\right)+T\left(x_{b}\right)\right)+\mathrm{O}(\Delta t) \\
& =\frac{1}{36}\left(4-\alpha-2 \beta+9 a_{x x}\right) T\left(x_{e}+\frac{\Delta x}{2}\right)+\mathrm{O}(\Delta t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

To obtain the other identities we perform similar operations on the quantities $f_{5}, f_{6}, f_{7}$ and $f_{8}$. We note here that if we have homogeneous boundary conditions (i.e. $T\left(x_{e}+\frac{\Delta x}{2}\right)=0$ ) we obtain classical boundary condition called "anti-bounce back". Note that Ginzburg [Gi05] proposes more elaborate boundary conditions of higher order by using the Chapman-Enskog method.

## - Gradient and Flux

Compared to classical numerical methods, the lattice Boltzmann method uses more parameters and variables. It turns out that in steady state situations some of these variables can be used to determine the first and second space
derivatives $\frac{\partial T}{\partial x_{\alpha}}$ and $\frac{\partial^{2} T}{\partial x_{\alpha} x_{\beta}}$ in all nodes $x \in \mathcal{L}^{0}$, and the flux along the interface of the control volume $K$.

The gradient of the solution on the node $x_{i}$ at time $t$ can be evaluated as follows. By using Taylor expansions we get a general second order expression of non-conservative moments:
(4) $m_{k}=m_{k}^{e q}-\Delta t\left(\frac{1}{2}+\sigma_{k}\right)\left[\theta_{k}-\Delta t\left(\sigma_{k} \partial_{t} \theta_{k}+\sigma_{l} \Lambda_{k \alpha}^{\ell} \partial_{\alpha} \theta_{\ell}\right)\right]+\mathrm{O}\left(\Delta t^{3}\right), k \geq 1$,
where $\Lambda_{k p}^{\ell}=\sum_{j} M_{k j} M_{p j} M_{j, \ell}^{-1}$. To determine first order space derivatives of $T$ for the present diffusion problem, we use equation (4) for moments $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m_{1}=-\lambda^{2} \Delta t\left(\frac{1}{2}+\sigma_{1}\right)\left[\frac{\left(4+\alpha+3 a_{x x}\right)}{6} \frac{\partial T}{\partial x}+a_{x y} \frac{\partial T}{\partial y}\right]+\mathrm{O}\left(\Delta t^{3}\right), \\
& m_{2}=-\lambda^{2} \Delta t\left(\frac{1}{2}+\sigma_{2}\right)\left[a_{x y} \frac{\partial T}{\partial x}+\frac{\left(4+\alpha-3 a_{x x}\right)}{6} \frac{\partial T}{\partial y}\right]+\mathrm{O}\left(\Delta t^{3}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly the determination of second order space derivatives of $T$ is obtained using equation (4) for moments $m_{3}, m_{7}$ and $m_{8}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{3}= & \alpha T+\Delta t^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}+\sigma_{3}\right) \lambda^{2}\left[\left(\sigma_{1} \frac{4+\alpha+3 a_{x x}}{6}+\sigma_{5} \frac{\alpha+\beta-3 a_{x x}}{3}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} T}{\partial x^{2}}+\right. \\
& +\left(\sigma_{2} \frac{4+\alpha+3 a_{x x}}{6}+\sigma_{6} \frac{\alpha+\beta+3 a_{x x}}{3}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} T}{\partial y^{2}} \\
& \left.+\left(\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{2}+\sigma_{5}+\sigma_{6}\right) a_{x y} \frac{\partial^{2} T}{\partial x \partial y}\right], \\
m_{7}= & a_{x x} T+\Delta t^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}+\sigma_{7}\right) \frac{\lambda^{2}}{3}\left[\left(\sigma_{1} \frac{4+\alpha+3 a_{x x}}{6}-\sigma_{5} \frac{\alpha+\beta-3 a_{x x}}{3}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} T}{\partial x^{2}}+\right. \\
& +\left(\sigma_{6} \frac{\alpha+\beta+3 a_{x x}}{3}-\sigma_{2} \frac{4+\alpha-3 a_{x x}}{6}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} T}{\partial y^{2}} \\
& \left.+\quad\left(\sigma_{1}-\sigma_{2}+\sigma_{6}-\sigma_{5}\right) a_{x y} \frac{\partial^{2} T}{\partial x \partial y}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{8}= & a_{x y} T+\Delta t^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}+\sigma_{8}\right) \frac{\lambda^{2}}{3}\left[\left(2 \sigma_{2}+\sigma_{6}\right) a_{x y} \frac{\partial^{2} T}{\partial x^{2}}+\left(2 \sigma_{1}+\sigma_{5}\right) a_{x y} \frac{\partial^{2} T}{\partial y^{2}}+\right. \\
& +\quad\left(\sigma_{1} \frac{4+\alpha+3 a_{x x}}{3}+\sigma_{2} \frac{4+\alpha-3 a_{x x}}{3}+\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\quad \sigma_{5} \frac{\alpha+\beta-3 a_{x x}}{3}+\sigma_{6} \frac{\alpha+\beta+3 a_{x x}}{3}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} T}{\partial x \partial y}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

We note that we could have used the combination $m_{5}$ and $m_{6}$ for $\nabla T$ and $m_{4}, m_{7}$ and $m_{8}$ for second order space derivatives. Note that applying the new methodology with Taylor expansion instead of the Chapman-Enskog methodology [DDH92] is original in this framework of diffusion problems.

- We now show that the lattice Boltzmann method for purely diffusive problems relates to classical Fourier law. The mass flux $j$ is generally defined as the amount of particles that cross an interface at a given time instance. The flux can be defined at the interface $\left(x_{S}, x_{N}\right) \equiv(S N)$ between two lattice nodes $x_{e}$ and $x_{b} \equiv x_{e}+\Delta x$ as (see Figure 1 ):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& j_{S N}\left(x_{e}+\frac{\Delta x}{2}, t+\Delta t\right)=\lambda\left(f_{1}\left(x_{b}, t+\Delta t\right)-f_{3}\left(x_{e}, t+\Delta t\right)\right)+ \\
& +\lambda \Psi_{1}\left(f_{5}\left(x_{b}, t+\Delta t\right)-f_{7}\left(x_{2}, t+\Delta t\right)+f_{5}\left(x_{3}, t+\Delta t\right)-f_{7}\left(x_{b}, t+\Delta t\right)\right)+ \\
& +\lambda \Psi_{2}\left(f_{8}\left(x_{b}, t+\Delta t\right)-f_{6}\left(x_{1}, t+\Delta t\right)+f_{8}\left(x_{4}, t+\Delta t\right)-f_{6}\left(x_{b}, t+\Delta t\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\Psi_{1}$ and $\Psi_{2}$ are two scalars determined by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\Delta x} \int_{S N} \operatorname{div}(\mathrm{~K} . \nabla T) \cdot n_{S N} d y & =K_{11} \frac{\partial T}{\partial x}\left(x_{I}, t\right)+K_{12} \frac{\partial T}{\partial y}\left(x_{I}, t\right)+\mathrm{O}(\Delta x)= \\
& =-j_{S N}\left(x_{I}, t+\Delta t\right)+\mathrm{O}(\Delta x)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $x_{I}=x_{e}+\frac{\Delta x}{2}$ (see Figure 1). If we suppose that $\frac{\partial T}{\partial x}$ is constant along $S N$ and with the help of Taylor expansion we obtain the first equality of the above calculus. To find $\Psi_{1}$ and $\Psi_{2}$, we develop the quantity $j_{S N}$ by using (3), then we choose $\Psi_{1}$ and $\Psi_{2}$ such that this quantity is equal to the normal flux. In the case of isotropic problems (i.e. $a_{x x}=a_{x y}=0$ ), we find $\Psi_{1}=\Psi_{2}=\frac{1}{2}$.

## 2 Numerical results

First we have tested our scheme for the following 1D problem: $-\mathrm{K} u^{\prime \prime}(x)=c$ in $] 0,1[, u(0)=u(1)=0$. We take periodic condition on $y$, anti-bounce
back condition on $x$ to obtain homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions and the following parameters:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\alpha=-2, \beta=1, a_{x x}=a_{x y}=0 \\
s_{1}=s_{2}=1.2, s_{3}=1.8, s_{4}=1.2, s_{5}=s_{6}=1.5 \text { and } s_{7}=s_{8}=1.3 .
\end{gathered}
$$

The results concerning the $\ell^{2}$ relative errors between the exact affine solution $u(x)=x(1-x) c /(2 \mathrm{~K})$ and the solution calculated with the D2Q9 LBE scheme shows second order accuracy.
Second we have tested our scheme for the following 2D isotrope diffusion problem with Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions: $-\mathrm{K} \Delta u=f$ in $\Omega=] 0,1\left[^{2}, u=\bar{u}\right.$ on $\Gamma_{D}, \partial_{n} u=g$ on $\Gamma_{N}$, where K is a scalar, $f=-2 \mathrm{~K}$, $\Gamma_{D} \equiv\{0\} \times(0,1) \cup\{1\} \times(0,1), \bar{u}=0$ on $\{0\} \times(0,1), 1-3 y$ on $\{1\} \times(0,1)$ and $g=-3 x$ on $\Gamma_{N} \equiv(0,1) \times\{0\} \cup(0,1) \times\{1\}$. The analytical solution of this problem is: $u(x, y)=x^{2}-3 x y$. We take anti-bounce back condition on $x$ to obtain Dirichlet boundary condition, bounce back condition on $y$ to obtain Neumann boundary and the following parameters:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\alpha=-2, \beta=1, a_{x x}=a_{x y}=0 \\
s_{1}=s_{2}=1.2, s_{3}=1.1, s_{4}=1.4, s_{5}=s_{6}=1.5, s_{7}=s_{8}=1.5
\end{gathered}
$$

Figure 2 shows $\ell^{2}$ relative errors between the exact solution and the solution calculated with the D2Q9 LBE, which is second order accuracy.


Figure 2. The $\ell^{2}$ error between analytical solution and approximate one vs mesh size.

We have also used our algorithm to solve the following anisotropic diffusion problem so called "oblique flow":

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{rll}
-\operatorname{div}(\mathrm{K} \nabla u) & =0 & \text { in } \Omega=] 0,1\left[^{2},\right. \\
u & =\bar{u} & \text { on } \partial \Omega .
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\mathrm{K}=R_{\theta} \operatorname{diag}\left(1,10^{-3}\right) R_{\theta}^{-1}, R_{\theta}$ is the rotation of angle $\theta=40$ degrees, and $\bar{u}=1$ on $(0,0.2) \times\{0\} \cup\{0\} \times(0,0.2), 0$ on $(0.8,1) \times\{1\} \cup\{1\} \times(0.8,1), \frac{1}{2}$ on $(0.3,1) \times\{0\} \cup\{0\} \times(0.3,1), \frac{1}{2}$ on $(0,0.7) \times\{1\} \cup\{1\} \times(0,0.7)$. Figure 3 shows the approximate solution on regular mesh $(151 \times 151)$, calculated by D2Q9 scheme after convergence (i.e. $5.10^{5}$ iterations) with $s_{1}=1.3$, $s_{2}=1.8$ and $\beta=1$ (other parameters are fixed to have K as diffusion tensor). The value of the maximum of the approximate solution in the same mesh is $T_{\max }=0.9984$ and the minimum one $T_{\min }=0.0015$. In Figure $4 a$ and $b$ we compare $\nabla T$ calculated by centred finite difference method and by using moments $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ (Figure $4 a$ ) or by using $m_{5}$ and $m_{6}$ (Figure 4b). In Figure 5 we compare $\frac{\partial^{2} T}{\partial x_{\alpha} x_{\beta}}$ calculated by finite differences and by using non-equilibrium moments $\left(m_{3}, m_{7}\right.$ and $\left.m_{8}\right)$. Note that there are $9 \times 151^{2}$ unknowns in this problem but no matrix inversion is necessary with this entirely explicit scheme.


Figure 3. Approximate solution on regular rectangular mesh $(151 \times 151$ nodes). The gray scale of the figure corresponds to a linear variation from 0 (black) to 1 (white).


Figure 4. (a) Left figure: $\frac{\partial T}{\partial x}$ vs $x$, right figure (b) $\frac{\partial T}{\partial y}$ vs $x$ at $y=1 / 2$.


Figure 5. Second order spatial derivatives of temperature vs $x$ at $y=1 / 2$.

## 3 Conclusion

The lattice Boltzmann scheme is a very simple second order accurate method for fluid mechanics, thermal and acoustic problems. We have obtained interesting results for a non trivial test case. However, as it is a really unstationary methodology, it is not extremely efficient to simulate elliptic diffusion problems as it takes many time steps to reach a steady state. We have performed similar work in three space dimensions based on lattice Boltzmann models to simulate anisotropic diffusion equation. Similar work has been done by I. Ginzburg [Gi07].
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