

Arithmetic Geometry - Takeshi 60

Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences

The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan

September 10, 2021

Revisiting Deligne-Illusie

Luc Illusie

Université Paris-Saclay

Plan

1. The old result
2. Another strategy
3. A prismatic generalization (after Bhatt et al.)
4. The lci case: partial degeneration and vanishing theorems (after Bhatt)
5. A new perspective: the stacky approach (after Bhatt–Lurie, Drinfeld)

1. The old result

Theorem 1 (DI, 1987). k perfect field, char. $p > 0$, X/k smooth. Let $X' = X \otimes_k (k, F_k)$, and $F : X \rightarrow X' =$ relative Frobenius.

Smooth liftings of X to $W_2(k)$ correspond to decompositions

$$(1.1) \quad \mathcal{O}_{X'} \oplus \Omega_{X'/k}^1[-1] \xrightarrow{\sim} \tau^{\leq 1} F_* \Omega_{X/k}^\bullet$$

in $D(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'})$, inducing C^{-1} (Cartier isomorphism) on H^i .

Gives an affine bijection on isomorphism classes of objects, inducing identity on translation group $H^1(X', T_{X'})$.

Moreover, any decomposition (1.1) uniquely extends multiplicatively to a decomposition

$$(1.2) \quad \bigoplus_{i \leq p-1} \Omega_{X'/k}^i[-i] \xrightarrow{\sim} \tau^{\leq p-1} F_* \Omega_{X/k}^\bullet$$

inducing C^{-1} on H^i .

Idea of proof

- **local liftings** of X' to $W_2(k)$: a gerbe on X'

$$\text{Lift}(X'/W_2),$$

banded by $T_{X'}$ (sheaf of automorphisms of any object).

- **local splittings** of $\tau_{\leq 1} F_* \Omega_{X/k}^\bullet$ (= **local sections** of $F_* Z \Omega_{X/k}^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{X'/k}^1$): a gerbe on X'

$$\text{Split}(\tau_{\leq 1} F_* \Omega_{X/k}^\bullet),$$

banded again by $T_{X'/k}$.

Using local liftings of X' **plus** local liftings \tilde{F} of F (and associated $p^{-1}\tilde{F}^*$ on Ω^1), can construct an **equivalence of gerbes**

$$(1.3) \quad \text{Lift}(X'/W_2) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Split}(\tau_{\leq 1} F_* \Omega_{X/k}^\bullet)$$

inducing identity on $T_{X'/k}$. (NB. more general (1.3) holds over bases $/\mathbf{F}_p$ flatly lifted mod p^2 .)

2. Another strategy

Local liftings of X' to W_2 controlled by $\tau^{\geq -1}L_{X'/W_2}$
(NB. X/k smooth $\Rightarrow L_{X/W} \xrightarrow{\sim} \tau^{\geq -1}L_{X/W_2}$).

Goal: directly construct isomorphism in $D(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'})$

$$(2.1) \quad L_{X'/W}[-1] \xrightarrow{\sim} \tau^{\leq 1}F_*\Omega_{X/k}^\bullet$$

inducing C^{-1} on $H^1 = \Omega_{X'/k}^1$ and $H^0 = \mathcal{O}_{X'}$.

Basics on cotangent complex and deformations show that (2.1) implies the isomorphism

$$(1.3) \quad \text{Lift}(X'/W_2) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Split}(\tau^{\leq 1}F_*\Omega_{X/k}^\bullet)$$

(Proof:

$\text{Lift}(X'/W_2) = \text{fiber at } 1 \in \mathcal{O}_{X'}$ of map

(Picard stack associated to) $R\mathcal{H}om(L_{X'/W}, \mathcal{O}_{X'})[1] \rightarrow H^0$

• $\text{Split}(\tau^{\leq 1} F_* \Omega_{X/k}^\bullet) = \text{fiber at } 1 \in \mathcal{O}_{X'}$ of map

(Picard stack associated to) $R\mathcal{H}om(\tau^{\leq 1} F_* \Omega_{X/k}^\bullet, \mathcal{O}_{X'}) \rightarrow H^0$,

both stacks having $H^0 = \mathcal{O}_{X'}$ and $H^{-1} = T_{X'}$, $H^i = 0$ otherwise.)

Will deduce

$$(2.1) \quad L_{X'/W}[-1] \xrightarrow{\sim} \tau^{\leq 1} F_* \Omega_{X/k}^\bullet$$

from:

Theorem 2 (I., 2019). There exists a **filtered isomorphism** (i.e., in $DF(X, W)$), with \mathcal{O} -linear associated graded:

$$(2.2) \quad L\Omega_{X/W}^\bullet / \text{Fil}^p \xrightarrow{\sim} W\Omega_X^\bullet / \mathcal{N}^p,$$

where

$L\Omega^\bullet$: **derived de Rham complex**

(if $X = \text{Spec}(R)$, $L\Omega_{R/W}^\bullet := \text{Tot}(\Omega_{P_\bullet/W}^\bullet)$,

$P_\bullet \rightarrow R$ a simplicial resolution by polynomial algebras over W .)

Fil^i : **Hodge filtration**,

$W\Omega_X^\bullet$: **de Rham-Witt complex**

\mathcal{N}^i : **Nygaard filtration**:

$$\mathrm{Fil}^i L\Omega_{R/W}^\bullet := \mathrm{Tot}(\Omega_{P_\bullet/W}^{\geq i})$$

$$(2.3) \quad \mathrm{gr}_{\mathrm{Fil}}^i = L\Omega_{X/W}^i[-i] \quad (:= (L\Lambda^i L_{X/W})[-i])$$

(in particular $\mathrm{gr}^1 = L\Omega_{X/W}^1[-1] = L_{X/W}[-1]$).

$$\mathcal{N}^i W\Omega_X^n = p^{i-n-1} VW\Omega_X^n$$

(for $n < i$, and $\mathcal{N}^i W\Omega_X^n = W\Omega_X^n$ for $n \geq i$) with

$$(2.4) \quad \mathrm{gr}_{\mathcal{N}}^i W\Omega_{X'}^\bullet = \tau^{\leq i} F_* \Omega_{X/k}^\bullet.$$

(in particular $\mathrm{gr}^1 = \tau_{\leq 1} F_* \Omega_{X/k}^\bullet$).

- graded piece of degree 1 of

$$(2.2) \quad L\Omega_{X/W}^\bullet / \text{Fil}^p \xrightarrow{\sim} W\Omega_X^\bullet / \mathcal{N}^p,$$

plus formulas for gr^1 imply (2.1), i.e.,

$$L_{X'/W}[-1] \xrightarrow{\sim} \tau^{\leq 1} F_* \Omega_{X/k}^\bullet.$$

- Any smooth lifting \widetilde{X}' of X' to W_2 gives a decomposition

$$L_{X'/W}[-1] = \tau^{\geq -1} L_{X'/\widetilde{X}'}[-1] \oplus \Omega_{X'/k}^1[-1] = \mathcal{O}_{X'} \oplus \Omega_{X'/k}^1[-1],$$

hence, by applying $L\Lambda^{p-1}$ and formulas for gr^{p-1} , a decomposition (1.2)

$$\bigoplus_{i \leq p-1} \Omega_{X'/k}^i[-i] \xrightarrow{\sim} \tau^{\leq p-1} F_* \Omega_{X/k}^\bullet.$$

Remark. Not possible to remove $/Fil^p$ and $/\mathcal{N}^p$ from (2.2), because of

Example (Bhatt):

$$\widehat{L\Omega_{k/W}^\bullet} = \widehat{W\langle x \rangle} / (x - p) = \widehat{W\langle y \rangle} / (y),$$

where $\widehat{(-)}$ means p -adic completion, $W\langle x \rangle =$ divided power envelope of $W[x]$.

Proof of Th. 2

Use (local) embeddings $X \hookrightarrow Z$, ideal J , Z/W smooth.

Gives

$$(*) \quad L_{X/W}[-1] = (J/J^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \otimes \Omega_{Z/W}^1),$$

from which one deduces a filtered isomorphism

$$(**) \quad L\Omega_{X/W}^\bullet / \text{Fil}^p = \Omega_{Z/W}^\bullet / J^p \Omega_{Z/W}^\bullet,$$

$$J^r \Omega_{Z/W}^\bullet := (J^r \rightarrow J^{r-1} \Omega_{Z/W}^1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \Omega_{Z/W}^r \rightarrow \cdots).$$

Key points:

$$\text{gr}_J^1 \Omega_{Z/W}^\bullet = L\Omega_{X/W}^1[-1] (= L_{X/W}[-1])$$

by (*), and

$$L\Gamma^r(M[-1]) = L\Lambda^r[M][-r],$$

$\Gamma^r = S^r$ for $r < p$.

Additional **Frobenius lift** F on Z gives $(F, \text{Frobenius})$ -compatible

$$\mathcal{O}_Z \rightarrow W\mathcal{O}_X,$$

sending J to $VW\mathcal{O}_X$, hence filtered (J, \mathcal{N}) -map

$$\Omega_{Z/W}^\bullet \rightarrow W\Omega_X^\bullet,$$

inducing a **filtered quasi-isomorphism**

$$\Omega_{Z/W}^\bullet / J^p \Omega_{Z/W}^\bullet \xrightarrow{\sim} W\Omega_X^\bullet / \mathcal{N}^p,$$

as checked locally by taking for Z a **lifting** of X , and applying Nygaard's formula for gr^r .

Conclude by applying

$$(**) \quad L\Omega_{X/W}^\bullet / \text{Fil}^p = \Omega_{Z/W}^\bullet / J^p \Omega_{Z/W}^\bullet.$$

The singular case

By left Kan extension from finite polynomial rings over k , (2.2) extends to **any scheme** X/k , provided that $W\Omega_X^\bullet$ is replaced by its derived variant $LW\Omega_X^\bullet$:

$$(2.5) \quad L\Omega_{X/W}^\bullet / \text{Fil}^p \xrightarrow{\sim} LW\Omega_X^\bullet / \mathcal{N}^p$$

Again,

$$\text{gr}_{\text{Fil}}^i L\Omega_{X/W}^\bullet = L\Omega_{X/W}^i[-i],$$

but

$$(2.6) \quad \text{gr}_{\mathcal{N}}^i LW\Omega_{X'}^\bullet = \text{Fil}_i^{\text{conj}} F_* L\Omega_{X/k}^\bullet,$$

where $\text{Fil}_\bullet^{\text{conj}}$ is the (increasing) **conjugate filtration**, with

$$(2.7) \quad L\Omega_{X'/k}^i[-i] \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{gr}_i^{\text{conj}} F_* L\Omega_{X/k}^\bullet.$$

By left Kan extension from finite polynomial rings over W_2 , any (flat) lifting \widetilde{X}' of X' over W_2 gives a decomposition

$$(2.8) \quad \bigoplus_{i \leq p-1} L\Omega_{X'/k}^i[-i] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Fil}_{p-1}^{\mathrm{conj}} F_* L\Omega_{X/k}^\bullet$$

generalizing (1.2). Indeed:

Key observation (A. Mathew): though, for X/k not lci, $L_{X/W} \rightarrow \tau^{\geq -1} L_{X/W_2}$ no longer an isomorphism, still the datum of \widetilde{X}' gives functorial map $L_{X'/W} \rightarrow L_{X'/W_2} \rightarrow L_{X'/\widetilde{X}'} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X'}[1]$ splitting the triangle

$$\mathcal{O}_{X'}[1] \rightarrow L_{X'/W} \rightarrow L_{X'/k} \rightarrow .$$

For X/k lci, (2.8) yields partial degeneration and vanishing theorems, both in char. p and in char. 0, see section 4.

3. A prismatic generalization (after Bhatt et al.)

The RHS of the isomorphism

$$(3.0) = (2.2) \quad L\Omega_{X/W}^\bullet / \text{Fil}^p \xrightarrow{\sim} W\Omega_X^\bullet / \mathcal{N}^p,$$

can be re-written in terms of **prismatic cohomology** relative to the prism $(W = W(k), (p))$: one has a canonical isomorphism (special case of the **prismatic-crystalline comparison theorem**) (Bhatt-Scholze, Li-Liu)

$$(3.1) \quad W\Omega_X^\bullet \xrightarrow{\sim} \varphi_W^* \Delta_{X/W},$$

where $\varphi_W =$ Frobenius of W ,

$$\Delta_{X/W} := R\nu_* \mathcal{O}_{(X/W)_\Delta},$$

$$\nu : (X/W)_\Delta \rightarrow X_{\text{et}}$$

the canonical map from the prismatic site to the étale one.

Isomorphism (3.1) is **compatible with Nygaard filtrations** on both sides.

More generally:

Let (A, I) be a **prism** (examples: $(W, (p))$, $(W[[u]], (E(u)))$ (with $W[[u]]/(E(u)) = \mathcal{O}_K$), (A_{inf}, ξ) (with $A_{\text{inf}}/(\xi) = \mathcal{O}_C$),
 $\varphi_A : A \rightarrow A$ given by

$$\varphi_A(x) = x^p + p\delta_A(x)$$

Assume (A, I) **bounded** (i.e. $(A/I)[p^\infty] = (A/I)[p^n]$ for some n).

Let $X/(A/I)$ a **smooth formal scheme**.

Define

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_{X/A} &:= R\nu_* \mathcal{O}_{(X/A)_\Delta}, \\ \nu &: (X/A)_\Delta \rightarrow X_{\text{et}}\end{aligned}$$

the canonical map from the prismatic site to the étale one.

Then:

Theorem 3 (Li-Liu [LL, Th. 4.24]). There exists a (canonical) **filtered isomorphism** in the derived ∞ -category $\mathcal{D}F(X, A)$:

$$(3.2) \quad \varphi_A^* \Delta_{X/A} \otimes_A^L L\Omega_{(A/I)/A}^\bullet \xrightarrow{\sim} L\Omega_{X/A}^\bullet$$

(derived tensor product and derived de Rham complexes are p -completed).

The filtrations are the I -adic filtration on A , the **Nygaard filtration** \mathcal{N} on $\varphi_A^* \Delta_{X/A}$, and the **Hodge filtration** Fil on derived de Rham complexes. The associated graded of (3.2) is an isomorphism in $\mathcal{D}(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$.

Examples

- For (A, I) transversal (i.e., A/I p torsion free),

$$L\Omega_{(A/I)/A}^\bullet = \widehat{D_A(I)}$$

(p -completed PD-envelope of I in A), and (3.2) is rewritten

$$(3.2.1) \quad \varphi_A^* \Delta_{X/A} \otimes_A \widehat{D_A(I)} \xrightarrow{\sim} L\Omega_{X/A}^\bullet,$$

which, in this case, due to a classical result on $L\Omega_{X/A}^\bullet$, is a form of the [prismatic-crystalline comparison theorem](#).

- Take $(A, I) = (W(k), (p))$, k perfect. It is **not** transversal. Then, by (3.1), (3.2) reads

$$(3.2.2) \quad W\Omega_X^\bullet \otimes_W^L L\Omega_{k/W(k)}^\bullet \xrightarrow{\sim} L\Omega_{X/W(k)}^\bullet.$$

For $X = \text{Spec}(k)$, $W\Omega_X^\bullet = W(k)$, and (3.2.2) is tautologically the identity. Recall (Bhatt)

$$\widehat{L\Omega_{k/W}^\bullet} = \widehat{W\langle y \rangle / (y)},$$

and Hodge filtration = filtration on $\widehat{W\langle y \rangle / (y)}$ by the $(y)^{[n]}$.

Application

- Dividing (3.2) by p -th steps of the filtrations gives

$$(3.3) \quad \varphi_A^* \Delta_{X/A} / \mathcal{N}^p \xrightarrow{\sim} L\Omega_{X/A}^\bullet / \text{Fil}^p,$$

which, for $(A, I) = (W, (p))$ is the **inverse** of the isomorphism (3.0).

- Applying gr^1 to (3.3) gives Bhatt-Scholze [BS, 15.6]:

$$(3.4) \quad L\Omega_{X/A}^1[-1]\{-1\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \tau^{\leq 1}(\Delta_{X'/A} \otimes_A^L A/I)$$

($\{-1\} := \otimes(I/I^2)^{-1}$, $X' := X \otimes_{A, \varphi_A} A$).

- (3.4) generalized by Anschütz-Le Bras [AL, 3.2.1) to **any formal** $X/(A/I)$, with Δ denoting **derived prismatic** cohomology, and $\tau^{\leq 1}$ replaced by first step of **conjugate filtration**.
- (3.3) proposed by Bhatt (email to I., 21 Feb. 2019) with sketch of proof.

Techniques of proof

Same as in the Bhatt-Scholze [prismatic-crystalline comparison theorem](#) and [construction of the Nygaard filtration](#).

- Use (corrected) [Čech-Alexander complex](#) calculating prismatic cohomology to define the map (3.2) (in the other direction of (3.0)).
- To analyze compatibility of (3.5) with filtrations, use [quasisyntomic descent](#) and [large quasisyntomic](#) (A/I) -algebras. Work first in the [transversal](#) case.

R [large quasisyntomic](#) (A/I) -algebra: $A/I \rightarrow R$ quasisyntomic (i.e. p -completely flat and $\text{tor.amp}(L_{R/(A/I)}) \subset [-1, 0]$), and we have a surjection of a Tate algebra $(A/I)\langle X_s^{1/p^\infty} \rangle_{s \in \Sigma} \twoheadrightarrow R$, Σ a set.

4. The lci case: partial degeneration and vanishing theorems (after Bhatt)

Back to $k =$ perfect field of char. p , $W = W(k)$, $W_n := W_n(k)$.

Recall that, for **any** X/k , a **flat** lifting \widetilde{X}'/W_2 of X' gives a decomposition in $D(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'})$

$$(2.8) \quad \bigoplus_{i \leq p-1} L\Omega_{X'/k}^i[-i] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Fil}_{p-1}^{\mathrm{conj}} F_* L\Omega_{X/k}^\bullet$$

This decomposition is compatible with the obvious filtrations on both sides, and induces the (generalized) **Cartier isomorphism**

$$C^{-1} : L\Omega_{X'/k}^i[-i] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{gr}_i^{\mathrm{conj}} F_* L\Omega_{X/k}^\bullet$$

on gr^i .

From (2.8) Bhatt deduced the following theorem:

Theorem 4.1 (Bhatt). X/k proper, lci, of pure dimension $d < p$, liftable to W_2 .

$s :=$ dimension of singular locus of X .

$$L\widehat{\Omega}_{X/k}^\bullet := R\varprojlim_r L\Omega_{X/k}^\bullet / \text{Fil}^r$$

(Hodge completed derived de Rham complex).

Then, for $n < d - s - 1$,

$$\dim_k H^n(X, L\widehat{\Omega}_{X/k}^\bullet) = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq d} \dim_k H^n(X, L\Omega_{X/k}^i[-i]),$$

and

$$H^n(X, L\Omega_{X/k}^i[-i]) = 0$$

for $i > d$.

Remarks. 1. If X/k **smooth**, then $\text{Sing}(X) = \emptyset$, $s = -\infty$, $d - s - 1 = +\infty$, $L\hat{\Omega}_{X/k}^\bullet = \Omega_{X/k}^\bullet$, so for **all** n ,

$$\dim_k H_{\text{dR}}^n(X/k) = \sum_i \dim_k H^{n-i}(X, \Omega_{X/k}^i),$$

i.e., one recovers [DI]'s result that **Hodge to de Rham spectral sequence degenerates at E_1** .

2. In [DI], degeneration (even, decomposition of the de Rham complex with no properness assumption) holds for $d = p$. Unknown if conclusion of Th. 4.1 holds assuming only $d \leq p$.

By standard spreading out arguments Th. 4.1 implies:

Theorem 4.2 (Bhatt). K : field of char. 0, X/K proper, lci, of (arbitrary) pure dimension d .

s : dimension of singular locus of X

Then, for $n < d - s - 1$,

$$\dim_K H^n(X, \widehat{L}\Omega_{X/K}^\bullet) = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq d} \dim_k H^n(X, L\Omega_{X/K}^i[-i]),$$

and

$$H^n(X, L\Omega_{X/K}^i[-i]) = 0$$

for $i > d$.

Remarks. 1. As above, for X/K **smooth**, Th. 4.2 recovers the classical E_1 -degeneration of Hodge to de Rham spectral sequence in char. 0.

2. For $K = \mathbf{C}$, and **any** (separated and of finite type) X/K , one has (Bhatt, 2012):

$$R\Gamma(X, L\hat{\Omega}_{X/\mathbf{C}}^\bullet) \xrightarrow{\sim} R\Gamma(X(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{C})$$

(Betti cohomology).

Proof of Th. 4.1

Main ingredient: **cohomological amplitude estimates** on $L\Omega_{X/k}^i$, $LZ\Omega_{X/k}^i$, $LB\Omega_{X/k}^i$ (derived cycles, boundaries), using $\text{perf.amp}(L_{X/k}) \subset [-1, 0]$ and Cartier isomorphism.

Key Lemma (Bhatt). X/k lci, purely of dimension d , $s := \dim(\text{Sing}(X))$. Then all complexes

$$L\Omega_{X/k}^i[-i], F_*L\Omega_{X/k}^i[-i], LZ\Omega_{X/k}^i[-i], LB\Omega_{X/k}^i[-i]$$

live in $D^{\geq}(X')$, and, **for $i > d$, live in $D^{\geq d-s}(X')$.**

Proof of key lemma relies on (easy points) of a theory of **Cohen-Macaulayness for complexes** developed by Bhatt and used by him in his proof of Cohen-Macaulayness (modulo powers of a prime p) of absolute integral closures of excellent noetherian domains.

Combining decomposition (2.8) with Bhatt's estimates and Raynaud's trick [DI, 2.9] one gets Kodaira type vanishing theorems:

Theorem 4.3. X/k as in Th. 4.1: proper, lci, liftable to W_2 , dim. $d < p$, singular locus of dim. s . Let L be an ample invertible sheaf on X . Then:

For $n < \min(d, d - s - 1)$ and all i ,

$$H^n(X, L\Omega_{X/k}^i[-i] \otimes L^{-1}) = 0.$$

Remarks. 1. For X/k smooth (i.e., $s = -\infty$), one gets

$$H^n(X, \Omega_{X/k}^i[-i] \otimes L^{-1}) = 0$$

for $n < d$ and all i , i.e., [DI, (2.8.2)].

2. For X/k **smooth**, the vanishing

$$H^n(X, \Omega_{X/k}^i[-i] \otimes L^{-1}) = 0$$

for $n < d$ and all i is, by Serre duality, equivalent to

$$(*) \quad H^n(X, \Omega_{X/k}^i[-i] \otimes L) = 0$$

for $n > d$ and all i .

However, for X/k **singular**, the analogue of (*) fails ($H^0(X, L\Omega_{X/k}^{d+1} \otimes L) \neq 0$ if X has a **single isolated singularity**) (observed by Bhatt-Blickle-Lyubeznik-Singh-Zhang [BBLSZ, 3.4]).

3. For X/k **not lci**, conclusion of 4.3 fails (by Avramov's solution of Quillen's conjecture).

Again, by standard spreading out arguments, Th. 4.3 implies a (slightly weaker form of) [BBLSZ, Th. 3.2] (with $d - s$ replaced by $d - s - 1$):

Theorem 4.4. K : field of char. 0, X/K proper, lci, of pure dimension d .

s : dimension of singular locus of X

L : an ample invertible sheaf on X .

Then, for $n < \min(d, d - s - 1)$ and all i ,

$$H^n(X, L\Omega_{X/k}^i[-i] \otimes L^{-1}) = 0.$$

Remark. I don't know how to get $d - s$ instead of $d - s - 1$ by mod p^2 techniques.

5. A new perspective: the stacky approach (after Bhatt - Lurie, Drinfeld)

For X/k smooth, liftable to W_2 , of dimension $d = p$, the whole complex $F_*\Omega_{X/k}^\bullet$ is decomposable, not just $\tau^{<p}F_*\Omega_{X/k}^\bullet$ ([DI], 2.3).
Raises the question:

Question ([DI, 2.6 (iii)], still open): X/k smooth, liftable to W_2 , of dimension $d > p$, is $F_*\Omega_{X/k}^\bullet$ decomposable (in $D(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'})$) (i.e., $\xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus \mathcal{H}^i[-i]$)? For X/k assumed moreover **proper**, does Hodge to dR degenerate at E_1 ?

Partial results:

- (Suh, 2006, unpublished). For X/k smooth, liftable to W_2 , **all truncations** $\tau^{[a, a+1]}F_*\Omega_{X/k}^\bullet$ are decomposable.
- (Achinger, 2020). For X/k smooth, liftable to W_2 , **all truncations** $\tau^{[a, a+p-2]}F_*\Omega_{X/k}^\bullet$ ($a > 0$) are decomposable.

Recent improvement by Drinfeld (and, independently, Bhatt-Lurie):

Theorem 5.1. (Drinfeld, Bhatt-Lurie, 2020) Let X/k be smooth, liftable to $W_2 = W_2(k)$.

Then a lifting of X to W_2 defines a μ_p -action on $F_*\Omega_{X/k}^\bullet$ in $D(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'})$,

i.e., a \mathbf{Z}/p -grading

$$F_*\Omega_{X/k}^\bullet = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}/p} (F_*\Omega_{X/k}^\bullet)_\alpha,$$

with nonzero $H^i F_*\Omega_{X/k}^\bullet$ of **weight** the class of $-i$ in \mathbf{Z}/p .

Corollary 5.2. Under the assumption of Th. 5.1, **all truncations** $\tau^{[a, a+p-1]} F_*\Omega_{X/k}^\bullet$ are decomposable.

Glimpses on the proof.

Details haven't yet appeared. Work in progress.

Main idea (Bhatt-Lurie, 2019): cohomology of prismatic sites underlies richer structure: cohomology of **prismatic stacks**, giving rise to objects in $D(BG)$, for certain **group schemes** G/S .

The stacks X^Δ .

To any (formal scheme) $X/W(k)$ is associated a ringed, (formal) stack

$$X^\Delta/W(k),$$

called the **prismatic stack** or **prismatization** of X , functorial in X :
 $f : X \rightarrow Y$ gives map of ringed stacks

$$f^\Delta : X^\Delta \rightarrow Y^\Delta.$$

Fundamental Example. (Drinfeld's Σ [Dr])

$$\mathrm{Spf}(\mathbf{Z}_p)^\Delta := [W_{\mathrm{prim}}/W^\times](= \Sigma)$$

where:

$W :=$ (p -typical) Witt scheme over \mathbf{Z}_p

$W_{\mathrm{prim}} :=$ formal completion of W along locally closed subscheme defined by $p = x_0 = 0$, $x_1 \neq 0$, the formal scheme of primitive Witt vectors.

$W^\times \subset W :=$ \mathbf{Z}_p -group scheme of units in W , acting on W_{prim} by multiplication.

Definition 5.3. (Bhatt-Lurie)

For $X/W(k)$ formal, R a p -nilpotent $W(k)$ -algebra,

$$X^{\Delta}(R)$$

is the groupoid of pairs

$$((I, a), f : \mathrm{Spf}([W(R)/I]) \rightarrow X)$$

where

- I : an **invertible** $W(R)$ -module, $a : I \rightarrow W(R)$: $W(R)$ -linear map landing into $W_{\mathrm{prim}}(R)$ (“**Cartier-Witt divisor**”)
- $\mathrm{Spf}([W(R)/I])$: **formal derived scheme** such that for $X = \mathrm{Spf}(A)$

$$\mathrm{Mor}(\mathrm{Spf}([W(R)/I]), \mathrm{Spf}(A)) := \mathrm{Mor}_{\mathrm{Ani}}(A, [I \rightarrow W(R)])$$

Ani = category of animated $W(k)$ -algebras (= derived category of simplicial $W(k)$ -algebras) ($[I \rightarrow W(R)]$ is a 1-truncated animated algebra)

In particular,

$$\mathrm{Spf}(\mathbf{Z}_p)^{\Delta} = \Sigma, \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbf{F}_p)^{\Delta} = \mathbf{Z}_p.$$

Another key example (Bhatt-Lurie)

$\mathrm{Spec}(W_2(k))^{\Delta} :=$ stack associated to prestack with values

$$[\mathcal{F}_{W(R)}(p^2)/W(R)^{\times}],$$

on p -nilpotent $W(k)$ -algebras R , where

$\mathcal{F}_{W(R)}(p^2) :=$ set of factorizations $p^2 = db$ in $W(R)$, with $d \in W_{\mathrm{prim}}(R)$, $b \in W(R)$,

and $u \in W(R)^{\times}$ acts by $(d, b) \mapsto (du, u^{-1}b)$.

5.4. Hodge-Tate point

$$V(1) = (0, 1, 0, 0, \dots) : \mathrm{Spf}(\mathbf{Z}_p) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spf}(\mathbf{Z}_p)^\Delta$$

induces (unique) “physical” point:

$$i : \mathrm{Spec}(k) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(W_2(k))^\Delta,$$

corresponding to the factorization $p^2 = pp$, with **stabilizer**

$$\mathrm{Stab}(i) = G := W_k^\times[F]$$

(kernel of F on the k -group scheme W_k^\times) (also denoted \mathbf{G}_m^\sharp)

Basic formula (Drinfeld, Li-Mondal):

$$W_k^\times[F] = (\mu_p)_k \times W_k[F],$$

where $\mathbf{W}_k[F] = \text{kernel of } F \text{ on } W_k = \text{PD-envelope of } 0 \text{ in } \mathbf{A}_k^1 (= \mathbf{G}_a^\sharp)$

Back to Drinfeld's theorem 5.1

Data of $Y/W_2(k)$ lifting X/k gives maps

$$Y^{\Delta} \xrightarrow{\nu} X_{\text{Zar}} \times \text{Spec}(W_2(k))^{\Delta} \xleftarrow{i} X_{\text{Zar}} \times BG \leftarrow X_{\text{Zar}} \times B\mu_p \leftarrow X_{\text{Zar}}.$$

Prismatic Hodge-Tate comparison theorem implies:

$$(\varphi^* R\nu_*(\mathcal{O}/p))|_{X'} \xrightarrow{\sim} F_*\Omega_{X'/k}^{\bullet} \in D(X', \mathcal{O})$$

(for $\varphi = \text{Frobenius}$ on the base $W_2(k)$).

Therefore, $F_*\Omega_{X'/k}^{\bullet}$ underlies an object of $D(X' \times B\mu_p, \mathcal{O})$, and one checks that H^i is of weight $-i$.

In fact, $F_*\Omega_{X/k}^\bullet$ underlies an object of $D(X' \times BG, \mathcal{O})$.

As quasi-coherent sheaves on $BW_k[F]$ correspond to comodules over the Hopf algebra $k\langle x \rangle$ (PD-envelope of (x) in $k[x]$), i.e. pairs (E, N) , where E is a k -vector space, and N a nilpotent endomorphism of E ,

the basic formula $G = (\mu_p)_k \times W_k[F]$ gives that:

Each summand $(F_*\Omega_{X/k}^\bullet)_\alpha$ ($\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}/p$) is endowed with an $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$ -linear, nilpotent endomorphism N_α .

Remark (Bhatt-Lurie). Datum

$$(F_*\Omega_{X/k}^\bullet = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}/p} (F_*\Omega_{X/k}^\bullet)_\alpha), (N_\alpha : (F_*\Omega_{X/k}^\bullet)_\alpha \rightarrow (F_*\Omega_{X/k}^\bullet)_\alpha)$$

equivalent to datum of

$$\theta \in \text{End}_{D(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'})}(F_*\Omega_{X/k}^\bullet)$$

with “generalized eigenvalues” in \mathbf{Z}/p . Analogous to a [Sen operator](#). For X proper smooth over $\text{Spec}(W(k))$ (instead of $\text{Spec}(k)$), analogy is upgraded into a comparison theorem, involving a new theory of [diffraction](#) (ongoing work by Bhatt and Lurie).

Remarks. 1. Alexander Petrov recently gave examples where $N_0 \neq 0$.

2. New approach to action of $W_k^\times[F]$ by Shizhang Li and Shubhodip Mondal [LM], based on study of **endomorphisms of the de Rham cohomology functor**. In particular:

Theorem ([LM, Th. 4.23])

$$\text{Aut}(\tilde{R} \text{ smooth}/W_2(k)) \mapsto \Omega_{\tilde{R} \otimes k/k}^\bullet \in \text{CAlg}(D(k)) = \mathbf{G}_{m,k}^\sharp$$

Corollary (LM, Mathew). There is **no functorial splitting** for \tilde{X} smooth over $W_2(k)$ of $\Omega_{\tilde{X} \otimes k/k}^\bullet$.

References

- [BBSZ] Bhatt, Bhargav; Blickle, Manuel; Lyubeznik, Gennady; Singh, Anurag K.; Zhang, Wenliang. *Stabilization of the cohomology of thickenings*. Amer. J. Math. 141 (2019), no. 2, 531–561.
- [BS] Bhatt, Bhargav, Scholze, Peter. *Prisms and Prismatic Cohomology*, preprint, 2019, arXiv:1905.08229v2.
- [DI] Deligne, Pierre; Illusie, Luc. *Relèvements modulo p^2 et décomposition du complexe de de Rham*. Invent. Math. 89 (1987), no. 2, 247–270.
- [Dr] Drinfeld, Vladimir. *Prismatization*. arXiv:2005.04746v3, 1 Aug. 2021.
- [LL] Li, Shizhang; Liu, Tong. *Comparison of prismatic cohomology and derived de Rham cohomology*. arXiv:2012.14064v1 (2020).
- [LM] Li, Shizhang; Mondal, Shubhodip. *On endomorphisms of the de Rham cohomology functor*. In preparation.