

**Elementary abelian  $\ell$ -groups  
and mod  $\ell$  equivariant étale cohomology algebras**

**Luc Illusie**

This is a report on joint work with W. Zheng [8]. It grew out of questions that Serre asked me about traces for finite group actions. These questions were the subject of the previous joint papers ([6], [7]). They led us to consider more generally actions of algebraic groups and revisit, in the context of mod  $\ell$  étale cohomology, a theory of equivariant cohomology developed in the early 70's by Quillen for actions of compact Lie groups on topological spaces ([14], [15]).

**1. Finite  $\ell$ -group actions, fixed point sets and localizations**

Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p$  and  $\ell$  a prime number  $\neq p$ . Let  $X$  be a separated  $k$ -scheme of finite type, acted on by a finite  $\ell$ -group  $G$ . Serre ([18], 7.2) observed that we have the following identity

$$(1.1) \quad \chi(X) \equiv \chi(X^G) \pmod{\ell}.$$

Here  $X^G$  is the fixed point set of  $G$ , and  $\chi = \chi(-, \mathbf{Q}_\ell) = \sum (-1)^i \dim H^i(-, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)$  denotes an Euler-Poincaré  $\ell$ -adic characteristic. It has been known since the early sixties that this integer does not depend on  $\ell$ , as follows from Grothendieck's cohomological formula for the zeta function of a variety over a finite field. Recall also that, by a theorem of Laumon [11],  $\chi = \chi_c := \sum (-1)^i \dim H_c^i(-, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)$ .

The proof of (1.1) is immediate : by dévissage one reduces to the case where  $G = \mathbf{Z}/\ell\mathbf{Z}$  ; in this case, as  $|G| = \ell \neq p$  and  $G$  acts freely on  $X - X^G$ , by a theorem of Deligne (cf. ([6], 4.3)) we have  $\chi_c(X - X^G) = \ell \chi_c((X - X^G)/G)$ , hence  $\chi_c(X) = \chi_c(X^G) + \chi_c(X - X^G)$ , and (1.1) follows from Laumon's result. When  $G = \mathbf{Z}/\ell\mathbf{Z}$ , for  $g \in G$  we have a more precise result :

$$(1.2) \quad \mathrm{Tr}(g, H_c^*(X, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)) = \chi(X^G) + \chi(X - X^G)/G \mathrm{Reg}_G(g),$$

where  $\mathrm{Tr}(g, H_c^*) := \sum (-1)^i \mathrm{Tr}(g, H_c^i)$  and  $\mathrm{Reg}_G$  denotes the character of the regular representation of  $G$ . In fact (([6], (2.3))  $\mathrm{Tr}(g, H_c^*) = \mathrm{Tr}(g, H^*)$  (an equivariant form of Laumon's theorem).

In particular, if  $\ell$  does not divide  $\chi(X)$ , then  $X^G$  is not empty. This is the case, for example, if  $X$  is the standard affine space  $\mathbf{A}_k^n$  of dimension  $n$  over  $k$ , as (1.1) implies  $\chi(X^G) \equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$ . Serre ([18], 1.2) remarks that in this case one can show  $X^G \neq \emptyset$  in a much more elementary way : reduce to the case where  $k$  is the algebraic closure of a finite field  $k_0 = \mathbf{F}_q$  and the action of  $G$  on  $X = \mathbf{A}_k^n$  comes from an action of  $G$  on  $X_0 = \mathbf{A}_{k_0}^n$ . Then we

have the stronger property  $X_0(k_0)^G \neq \emptyset$ , as  $|X_0(k_0)| = q^n$  and  $\ell$  divides the cardinality of any non trivial orbit. Given a field  $K$  and an action of a finite  $\ell$ -group  $G$  on  $\mathbf{A}_K^n$ , Serre ([18], *loc. cit.*) asks whether  $\mathbf{A}_K^n(K)^G$  is not empty. This is the case for  $n \leq 2$  (elementary for  $n = 1$ , by Esnault-Nicaise ([5], 5.12) for  $n = 2$ ). The answer is unknown for  $n = 3$ ,  $K = \mathbf{Q}$ ,  $|G| = 2$ . In the positive direction, in addition to the case where  $K$  is finite, Esnault-Nicaise ([5], 5.17) prove that the answer is yes if  $K$  is a henselian discrete valuation field of characteristic zero whose residue field is of characteristic  $\neq \ell$ , and which is either algebraically closed or finite of cardinality  $q$  with  $\ell|q - 1$ . In the case  $K = k$ , Smith's theory gives more than the existence of a fixed point. Indeed we have :

**Theorem 1.3** ([18], 7.9) ([6], 7.3, 7.8). *Let  $X$  be an algebraic space separated and of finite type over  $k$  endowed with an action of a finite  $\ell$ -group  $G$ . Then, if  $X$  is mod  $\ell$  acyclic, so is  $X^G$ .*

Here, we say that  $Y/k$  is *mod  $\ell$  acyclic* if  $H^*(Y, \mathbf{F}_\ell) = H^0(Y, \mathbf{F}_\ell) = \mathbf{F}_\ell$ . It is shown in *loc. cit.* that the conclusion of 1.3 still holds if the assumption  $\ell \neq p$  made at the beginning of this section is dropped.

*Sketch of proof of 1.3.* As in the proof of (1.1) we may assume by dévissage that  $G = \mathbf{Z}/\ell\mathbf{Z}$ . In this case, Serre's proof exploits the action of the algebra  $\mathbf{F}_\ell[G]$  on  $\pi_*(\mathbf{Z}/\ell\mathbf{Z})$ , where  $\pi : X \rightarrow X/G$  is the projection. The proof given in [6], which uses equivariant cohomology, is close in spirit to that of Borel [2] in the topological case. Let us first give a general definition.

For an algebraic space  $Y$  separated and of finite type over  $k$  endowed with an action of a finite group  $G$ ,  $R\Gamma(Y, \mathbf{F}_\ell)$  is an object of  $D^+(\mathbf{F}_\ell[G])$ . The equivariant cohomology complex of  $Y$  is defined as

$$(1.3.1) \quad R\Gamma_G(Y, \mathbf{F}_\ell) := R\Gamma(G, R\Gamma(Y, \mathbf{F}_\ell)),$$

which we will abbreviate here to  $R\Gamma_G(Y)$ . It has a natural multiplicative structure, and  $H_G^*(Y) = H^*R\Gamma_G(Y)$  is a graded algebra over the graded  $\mathbf{F}_\ell$ -algebra  $H_G^* = H^*(G, \mathbf{F}_\ell)$ . For  $G = \mathbf{Z}/\ell\mathbf{Z}$ , we have

$$(1.3.2) \quad H_{\mathbf{Z}/\ell\mathbf{Z}}^* = \begin{cases} \mathbf{F}_\ell[x] & \text{if } \ell = 2 \\ \mathbf{F}_\ell[x]/(x^2) \otimes \mathbf{F}_\ell[y] & \text{if } \ell > 2, \end{cases}$$

where  $x$  is the tautological generator of  $H_{\mathbf{Z}/\ell\mathbf{Z}}^1$ , and, for  $\ell > 2$ ,  $y = \beta x$ , where  $\beta : H_{\mathbf{Z}/\ell\mathbf{Z}}^1 \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\mathbf{Z}/\ell\mathbf{Z}}^2$  is the Bockstein operator (associated with the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_\ell \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/\ell^2\mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_\ell \rightarrow 0$ ).

Coming back to the proof of 1.3, the key point is that (for  $G = \mathbf{Z}/\ell\mathbf{Z}$ ) the restriction map

$$(1.3.3) \quad H_G^*(X) \rightarrow H_G^*(X^G) = H_G^* \otimes H^*(X^G),$$

which is a map of graded  $H_G^*$ -modules, becomes an isomorphism after inverting  $\beta x \in H_{\mathbf{Z}/\ell\mathbf{Z}}^2$ . Indeed, the assumption that  $X$  is mod  $\ell$  acyclic implies that  $H_G^*(X) = H_G^*$ , hence  $H^*(X^G)$  has to be of rank one over  $\mathbf{F}_\ell$ . The assertion about (1.3.3) follows from the fact that  $H_G^*(X, j_*\mathbf{F}_\ell)$  is of bounded degree, where  $j : X - X^G \hookrightarrow X$  is the inclusion, as  $X/G$  is of finite  $\ell$ -cohomological dimension.

The above key point is similar to various *localization formulas* considered by Quillen, Atiyah-Segal, Goresky-Kottwitz-MacPherson. For actions of elementary abelian  $\ell$ -groups<sup>1</sup> we have the following result, which is an analogue of Quillen's theorem ([14], 4.2) :

**Theorem 1.4** ([6], 8.3). *Let  $X$  be an algebraic space separated and of finite type over  $k$  endowed with an action of an elementary abelian  $\ell$ -group  $G$  of rank  $r$ , and let*

$$e := \prod_{\xi \in H_G^1 - \{0\}} \beta\xi \in H_G^{2(\ell^r - 1)},$$

where  $\beta : H_G^1 \rightarrow H_G^2$  is the Bockstein operator. Then the restriction map

$$H_G^*(X)[e^{-1}] \rightarrow H_G^*(X^G)[e^{-1}]$$

is an isomorphism.

The proof in ([6], 8.3) is by dévissage on  $G$ . In ([14], 4.2) it is deduced from general structure theorems for  $H_G^*(X, \mathbf{F}_\ell)$  for actions of compact Lie groups  $G$  on certain topological spaces  $X$ . This led us to investigate algebraic analogues of these results.

## 2. Quotient stacks and equivariant cohomology algebras : finiteness theorems

2.1. If  $G$  is a compact Lie group, we have a classifying space  $BG$ , which is the base of a universal  $G$ -torsor  $PG$ , whose total space is contractible. If  $X$  is a  $G$ -space<sup>2</sup>, i. e. a topological space endowed with a continuous action of  $G$ , the projection  $w : PG \times X \rightarrow BG$  induced by  $PG \rightarrow BG$  factors through the quotient

$$PG \wedge^G X := (PG \times X)/G,$$

---

<sup>1</sup>An elementary abelian  $\ell$ -group is a group  $G$  isomorphic to the direct product of a finite number  $r$  of cyclic groups of order  $\ell$ . The integer  $r$  is called the rank of  $G$ .

<sup>2</sup>We let groups act on spaces on the right.

where  $G$  acts by  $(p, x)g = (pg, xg)$ , giving a commutative diagram

$$(2.1.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} PG \times X & \xrightarrow{u} & PG \wedge^G X \\ \downarrow w & \swarrow v & \\ BG & & \end{array}$$

Here  $u$  makes  $PG \times X$  into a  $G$ -torsor over  $PG \wedge^G X$ , and  $v$  is a locally trivial fibration of fiber  $X$ . The torsor  $u$  is universal in the sense that, up to homotopy, maps from a compact space  $T$  to  $PG \wedge^G X$  correspond to pairs of a  $G$ -torsor  $P$  on  $T$  and an equivariant map from  $P$  to  $PG \times X$ .

Let  $\ell$  be a prime number. The equivariant mod  $\ell$  cohomology complex of  $X$ ,  $R\Gamma_G(X, \mathbf{F}_\ell)$ , is defined, à la Borel, by

$$(2.1.2) \quad R\Gamma_G(X, \mathbf{F}_\ell) := R\Gamma(PG \wedge^G X, \mathbf{F}_\ell).$$

Its cohomology,

$$H_G^*(X, \mathbf{F}_\ell) := H^*R\Gamma_G(X, \mathbf{F}_\ell),$$

is a graded  $\mathbf{F}_\ell$ -algebra over  $H^*(BG, \mathbf{F}_\ell) = H_G^*(pt, \mathbf{F}_\ell)$ . Using  $v$  one can rewrite (2.1.2) as

$$(2.1.3) \quad R\Gamma_G(X, \mathbf{F}_\ell) = R\Gamma(BG, R\Gamma(X, \mathbf{F}_\ell)),$$

where by abuse  $R\Gamma(X, \mathbf{F}_\ell) \in D^+(BG, \mathbf{F}_\ell)$  denotes  $Rv_*\mathbf{F}_\ell$  (a locally constant complex of value  $R\Gamma(X, \mathbf{F}_\ell)$ ). This equivariant cohomology is studied in Quillen's papers [14], [15]. One of the main results is that  $H^*(BG, \mathbf{F}_\ell)$  is a finitely generated  $\mathbf{F}_\ell$ -algebra, and, if  $H^*(X, \mathbf{F}_\ell)$  is finite dimensional, then  $H_G^*(X, \mathbf{F}_\ell)$  is finite over  $H^*(BG, \mathbf{F}_\ell)$  ([14], 2.1, 2.2, 2.3).

2.2. Similar results are available in the setting of mod  $\ell$  étale cohomology and actions of algebraic groups. From now on we denote by  $k$  an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p \geq 0$  and  $\ell$  a prime number  $\neq p$ . Let  $G$  be an algebraic group over  $k$ , and let  $X$  be an algebraic space of finite type over  $k$ <sup>3</sup>, endowed with an action of  $G$ . Consider the quotient stack  $[X/G]$  ([12], 3.4.2). This is an Artin stack<sup>4</sup> of finite type over  $k$ , which comes equipped with a surjection  $u : X \rightarrow [X/G]$  making  $X$  into a universal  $G$ -torsor over

<sup>3</sup>By an algebraic group over  $k$  we mean a  $k$ -group scheme of finite type. By an algebraic space  $X$  over  $k$  we mean the quotient of a  $k$ -scheme by an étale equivalence relation ; we do not assume  $X$  to be quasi-separated.

<sup>4</sup>By an Artin stack over  $k$  we mean a stack in groupoids  $\mathcal{X}$  over the big fppf site of  $\text{Spec } k$  such that the diagonal  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \times_k \mathcal{X}$  is representable (by algebraic spaces) and there exists a smooth surjective  $k$ -morphism  $X \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  with  $X$  a  $k$ -algebraic space.

$[X/G]$ , in the sense that the groupoid of points of  $[X/G]$  with values in a  $k$ -algebraic space  $T$  consists of pairs of a  $G_T$ -torsor  $P$  on  $T$  and an equivariant map  $P \rightarrow X$ . The quotient stack  $[\mathrm{Spec} k/G]$  is called the *classifying stack* of  $G$  and is denoted  $BG$ . We have a 2-commutative diagram similar to (2.1.1) :

$$(2.2.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{u} & [X/G] , \\ \downarrow w & \swarrow v & \\ BG & & \end{array}$$

where  $v : [X/G] \rightarrow [\mathrm{Spec} k/G] = BG$  is an fppf locally trivial fibration of fiber  $X$ . The equivariant mod  $\ell$  cohomology complex of  $X$ ,  $R\Gamma_G(X, \mathbf{F}_\ell)$ , is defined, similarly to (2.1.2), by

$$(2.2.2) \quad R\Gamma_G(X, \mathbf{F}_\ell) := R\Gamma([X/G], \mathbf{F}_\ell).$$

The cohomology on the right hand side is that of the smooth-étale site of  $[X/G]$ . As above, using  $v$ , one can rewrite it as

$$(2.2.3) \quad R\Gamma_G(X, \mathbf{F}_\ell) = R\Gamma(BG, R\Gamma(X, \mathbf{F}_\ell)),$$

where by abuse  $R\Gamma(X, \mathbf{F}_\ell) \in D^+(BG, \mathbf{F}_\ell)$  denotes  $Rv_*\mathbf{F}_\ell$ . Thus, if  $G$  is a finite (discrete) group, the definition given in (2.2.2) agrees with that given in (1.3.1). The cohomology

$$H_G^*(X, \mathbf{F}_\ell) := H^*R\Gamma_G(X, \mathbf{F}_\ell)$$

is a graded  $\mathbf{F}_\ell$ -algebra over the graded algebra  $H^*(BG, \mathbf{F}_\ell)$ .

By definition,  $R\Gamma_G(X, \mathbf{F}_\ell)$  depends only on the stack  $[X/G]$ , which can have various descriptions as a quotient stack. For example, if  $G$  is a subgroup of an algebraic group  $G'$  over  $k$ , we have a natural equivalence

$$(2.2.4) \quad [X/G] \xrightarrow{\sim} [X \wedge^G G'/G'],$$

called *induction formula*, and hence an isomorphism

$$(2.2.5) \quad H_G^*(X, \mathbf{F}_\ell) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{G'}^*(X \wedge^G G', \mathbf{F}_\ell).$$

Here  $X \wedge^G G'$  is the quotient of  $X \times G'$  by the diagonal action of  $G$ , an fppf locally trivial fibration of fiber  $X$  over the homogeneous space  $G'/G = \mathrm{Spec} k \wedge^G G'$ .

The following theorem is similar to the results of Quillen mentioned at the end of 2.1 :

**Theorem 2.3** ([8], 4.6). *With the notations of 2.2, assume  $X$  of finite presentation over  $k$ . Then  $H^*(BG, \mathbf{F}_\ell)$  is a finitely generated  $\mathbf{F}_\ell$ -algebra, and  $H_G^*(X, \mathbf{F}_\ell)$  is a finite  $H^*(BG, \mathbf{F}_\ell)$ -module.*

In an earlier version of [8] this result was first proved by Illusie-Zheng in the case that  $G$  is an affine group, or a semi-abelian variety. A few more cases were suggested to us by Brion. The day after my talk at the conference, Deligne kindly provided me with a proof of the general case [3].

*Remark 2.4.* The result established in ([8], 4.6) is slightly more general. One can replace  $\mathbf{F}_\ell$  by a noetherian  $\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$ -algebra  $\Lambda$ , with  $n$  invertible in  $k$ :  $H^*(BG, \Lambda)$  is a finitely generated  $\Lambda$ -algebra. One can also replace  $\mathbf{F}_\ell$  by an object  $K$  of  $D_c^b([X/G], \Lambda)$ , i. e. the full subcategory of  $D^b([X/G], \Lambda)$  consisting of complexes (over the lisse-étale site) with bounded, cartesian, constructible cohomology (the datum of a cartesian, constructible sheaf of  $\Lambda$ -modules on  $[X/G]$  is equivalent to the datum of a constructible sheaf of  $\Lambda$ -modules  $F$  on  $X$  together with an action of  $G$  on  $F$  compatible with the action of  $G$  on  $X$ ; if  $G$  acts trivially on  $X$ , this action factors through the finite discrete group  $\pi_0(G)$ ). Then  $H_G^*(X, K) := H^*([X/G], K)$  is a finite  $H^*(BG, \Lambda)$ -module.

*Examples 2.5.* Let  $\Lambda$  be as in 2.4.

(a) Let  $r$  be an integer  $\geq 1$ . For  $1 \leq i \leq r$ , let  $c_i \in H^{2i}(BGL_{r,k}, \Lambda(i))$  be the  $i$ -th Chern class of the tautological bundle  $\mathcal{O}^r$  over  $BGL_{r,k}$ . Let  $\Lambda[x_1, \dots, x_r]$  be the polynomial algebra over  $\Lambda$  on generators  $x_i$  of degree  $2i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r$ . Then  $H^q(BGL_{r,k}, \Lambda) = 0$  for  $q$  odd, and the homomorphism of  $\Lambda$ -algebras

$$\Lambda[x_1, \dots, x_r] \rightarrow H^{2*}(BGL_{r,k}, \Lambda(*)) := \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} H^{2i}(BGL_{r,k}, \Lambda(i))$$

sending  $x_i$  to  $c_i$  is an isomorphism.

This result has been known since the 60's. A proof of the analogous result for de Rham cohomology, consisting in approximating  $BGL_{r,k}$  by grassmannians, was communicated to me by Deligne in 1967. The argument is sketched by Behrend in ([1], 2.3.2).

(b) Let  $G$  be a semi-abelian variety over  $k$ , extension of an abelian variety  $A$  of dimension  $g$  by a torus  $T$  of dimension  $r$ . Then we have a short exact sequence of free  $\Lambda$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(A, \Lambda) \rightarrow H^1(G, \Lambda) \rightarrow H^1(T, \Lambda) \rightarrow 0,$$

of successive ranks  $2g$ ,  $2g + r$ ,  $r$ , and isomorphisms of algebras

$$H^*(G, \Lambda) \simeq \Lambda_\Lambda^*(H^1(G, \Lambda)),$$

$$H^{2*}(BG, \Lambda) \simeq S_{\Lambda}^*(H^1(G, \Lambda)),$$

where  $H^1(G, \Lambda)$  is placed in degree 2, and  $H^q(BG, \Lambda) = 0$  for  $q$  odd.

2.6. *Sketch of proof of 2.3.* When  $G$  is affine, one can embed  $G$  into  $GL_{r,k}$  for some  $r$ , and by the induction formula (2.2.5) one is reduced to the case  $G = GL_{r,k}$ . One can then imitate Quillen's proof, using 2.5 (a). The general case is reduced to this one, using the general structure of algebraic groups over  $k$ . We may assume  $G$  reduced. Then  $G$  has a filtration  $1 \subset G_2 \subset G_1 \subset G_0 = G$ , with  $G_{i+1}$  normal in  $G_i$ , and successive quotients :  $G_0/G_1$  a finite discrete group,  $G_1/G_2$  an abelian variety,  $G_2$  a connected affine group. Very roughly, the idea is that the abelian variety layer  $A$  in this dévissage can be replaced by the inductive system of its division points

$$A[m\ell^\infty] = \varinjlim_{n \geq 1} A[m\ell^n]$$

for a suitable integer  $m \geq 1$  (e. g. the order of  $G_0/G_1$ ), where  $A[d]$  denotes the kernel of the multiplication by  $d$ , using the fibration  $BA[m\ell^n] \rightarrow BA$  with fiber  $A/A[m\ell^n] \xrightarrow{\sim} A$ , and the fact that the transition map

$$H^*(A/A[m\ell^{n+1}], \mathbf{F}_\ell) \rightarrow H^*(A/A[m\ell^n], \mathbf{F}_\ell)$$

vanishes in positive degree.

### 3. The amalgamation and stratification theorems

3.1. If  $G$  is a compact Lie group and  $X$  a  $G$ -space which is either compact or paracompact and of finite  $\ell$ -cohomological dimension, and such that  $H^*(X, \mathbf{F}_\ell)$  is finite dimensional, Quillen relates the size of the (finitely generated) graded algebra  $H_G^*(X) := H_G^*(X, \mathbf{F}_\ell)$ , i.e. the dimension of the spectrum of the (commutative) reduced algebra  $H_G^*(X)_{\text{red}}$ , to elementary abelian  $\ell$ -subgroups of  $G$ . He shows that this dimension is equal to the maximum rank of an elementary abelian  $\ell$ -subgroup of  $G$  fixing a point in  $X$ . He deduces this from a more precise theorem describing the spectrum, up to a homeomorphism, as an amalgamated sum of spectra of reduced algebras  $H^*(BA, \mathbf{F}_\ell)_{\text{red}}$ , for  $A$  varying among elementary abelian  $\ell$ -subgroup of  $G$  with non empty fixed point sets ([15], 8.10 ). Again, analogous results are available in the algebraic setting, which we will now discuss.

3.2. First, recall the structure of  $H_A^* := H^*(BA, \mathbf{F}_\ell)$  for an elementary abelian  $\ell$ -group  $A$  of rank  $r$  ([14], §4) ([6], §8). Let  $\check{A} := \text{Hom}(A, \mathbf{F}_\ell)$ . Then

$$H_A^1 = \check{A},$$

the Bockstein map

$$\beta : H_A^1 \rightarrow H_A^2$$

defined by the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_\ell \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/\ell^2\mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_\ell \rightarrow 0$  is injective, and we have an isomorphism of graded  $\mathbf{F}_\ell$ -algebras

$$H_A^* = \begin{cases} S(\check{A}) & \text{if } \ell = 2 \\ \Lambda\check{A} \otimes S(\beta\check{A}) & \text{if } \ell > 2. \end{cases}$$

If  $\{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$  is a basis of  $\check{A}$  over  $\mathbf{F}_\ell$ , then

$$H_A^* = \begin{cases} \mathbf{F}_\ell[x_1, \dots, x_r] & \text{if } \ell = 2 \\ \Lambda(x_1, \dots, x_r) \otimes \mathbf{F}_\ell[y_1, \dots, y_r] & \text{if } \ell > 2. \end{cases}$$

In particular,  $\text{Spec}(H_A^*)_{\text{red}}$  is the affine space  $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{F}_\ell}^r$ .

3.3. Let  $k, \ell, G$  and  $X$  be as in 2.2. By analogy with ([15], 8.1) we define the following category

$$(3.3.1) \quad \mathcal{A}_{(G, X, \ell)}.$$

Objects of  $\mathcal{A}_{(G, X, \ell)}$  are pairs  $(A, C)$ , where  $A$  is an elementary abelian  $\ell$ -subgroup of  $G$ , and  $C$  is a connected component of the fixed point space  $X^A$  (in particular, is not empty). For objects  $(A, C)$  and  $(A', C')$  of  $\mathcal{A}_{(G, X, \ell)}$ , maps from  $(A, C)$  to  $(A', C')$  are defined by

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}_{(G, X, \ell)}}((A, C), (A', C')) = \text{Trans}_G((A, C), (A', C'))(k),$$

where  $\text{Trans}_G((A, C), (A', C'))$ , the *transporter* of  $(A, C)$  into  $(A', C')$ , is the closed subscheme of  $G$  representing the functor on  $k$ -schemes

$$S \mapsto \{g \in G(S) \mid g^{-1}A_S g \subset A'_S, C_S g \supset C'_S\}$$

(see ([8], 6.4)). Composition is defined by composition of transporters. When no confusion can arise, we will abbreviate  $\mathcal{A}_{(G, X, \ell)}$  into  $\mathcal{A}_{(G, X)}$  and write  $\mathcal{A}_G$  for  $\mathcal{A}_{(G, \text{Spec } k)}$ .

3.4. In the rest of this section we will consider projective systems indexed by a smaller category  $\mathcal{A}_{(G, X)}^b$ . As in ([14], (8.2)), the map

$$\text{Trans}_G((A, C), (A', C'))(k) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A, A')$$

sending  $g$  to the homomorphism  $a \mapsto g^{-1}ag$  induces an injection

$$(3.4.1) \quad \text{Cent}_G(A, C)(k) \setminus \text{Trans}_G((A, C), (A', C'))(k) \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}(A, A'),$$

where

$$\text{Cent}_G(A, C)(k) = \{g \in G(k) \mid Cg = C \text{ and } g^{-1}ag = a \text{ for all } a \in A\}.$$



The injection (3.4.1) is compatible with composition. The category

$$(3.4.2) \quad \mathcal{A}_{(G,X,\ell)}^b$$

having the same objects as  $\mathcal{A}_{(G,X,\ell)}$ , but with maps defined by the left hand side of (3.4.1) is the analogue of the category defined by Quillen in [14]. As in *loc. cit.*,

$$(3.4.3) \quad W(A, C) := \text{Cent}_G(A, C)(k) \setminus \text{Trans}_G((A, C), (A, C))(k) \subset \text{End}(A)$$

is a (finite) group, called the *Weyl group* of  $(A, C)$ . For  $X = \text{Spec } k$  we write  $W(A, \text{Spec } k) = W(A)$ . For  $G$  connected, reductive, with Weyl group  $W = N_T(G)/T$ , where  $T$  is a maximal torus of  $G$ , if  $T[\ell] := \text{Ker } \ell : T \rightarrow T$ ,  $W(T[\ell])$  is a quotient of  $W$  and  $W(T[\ell]) = W$  if  $\ell > 2$ ; for  $G = \text{GL}_n$ ,  $W(T[\ell]) = W$ . See ([8], 6.7).

**Lemma 3.5.** *The category  $\mathcal{A}_{(G,X,\ell)}^b$  is equivalent to a finite category, more precisely :*

(a) *For any objects  $(A, C)$  and  $(A', C')$  of  $\mathcal{A}_{(G,X)}$ , the set of homomorphisms in  $\mathcal{A}_{(G,X)}^b$  from  $(A, C)$  to  $(A', C')$  is finite.*

(b) *The set of isomorphism classes of objects of  $\mathcal{A}_{(G,X)}^b$  is finite.*

Assertion (a) is trivial. For (b), the main point is the following fact, which was communicated to us by Serre : the set of conjugacy classes of elementary abelian  $\ell$ -subgroups of  $G$  is finite. This follows from the boundedness of the ranks of such subgroups, and the fact that if  $H$  is a finite group of order prime to  $p$ , the orbits of  $G$  acting on  $\text{Hom}_{\text{gp}}(H, G)$  by conjugation are open.

3.6. For  $(A, C) \in \mathcal{A} := \mathcal{A}_{(G,X)}$ , we have a restriction homomorphism

$$(3.6.1) \quad H_G^*(X) \rightarrow H_A^*(C) = H_A^* \otimes H^*(C) \rightarrow H_A^* \otimes H^0(C) = H_A^*,$$

where  $H_G^*(X) := H_G^*(X, \mathbf{F}_\ell)$ , etc. For  $g \in G(k)$ , the map

$$\theta_g : H_G^*(X) \rightarrow H_G^*(X)$$

induced by  $(h, x) \mapsto (g^{-1}hg, xg)$  is the identity.

For  $g \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}(G,X)}((A, C), (A', C'))$ , we thus get a commutative triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_G^*(X) & & \\ \downarrow & \searrow & \\ H_{A'}^* & \xrightarrow{\theta_g} & H_A^* \end{array} ,$$

and hence a canonical map

$$(3.6.2) \quad a_{(G,X)} : H_G^*(X) \rightarrow \varprojlim_{(A,C) \in \mathcal{A}_{(G,X)}} H_A^*.$$

Note that  $\theta_g : H_{A'}^* \rightarrow H_A^*$  depends only on the image of  $g$  in  $\mathcal{A}_{(G,X)}^b$ , so that in the above projective limit, we can replace the index category by  $\mathcal{A}_{(G,X)}^b$  (which is equivalent to finite category).

The following result (*amalgamation theorem*) is an analogue of ([14], 6.2), ([15], 8.5).

**Theorem 3.7** ([8], 6.11). *Assume  $X$  separated. Then the homomorphism  $a_{(G,X)}$  is a uniform  $F$ -isomorphism.*

That  $a_{(G,X)}$  is a uniform  $F$ -isomorphism means that its kernel and cokernel are annihilated by a power of  $F : a \mapsto a^\ell$ , i. e., there exists an integer  $N \geq 1$  such that for any  $a$  in the kernel (resp. target) of  $a_{(G,X)}$ ,  $F^N a = 0$  (resp.  $F^N a \in \text{Im } a_{(G,X)}$ ).

*Remark.* When  $G$  is an elementary abelian  $\ell$ -group, the localization theorem 1.4 for  $X^G = \emptyset$ , namely that  $H_G^*(X)[e^{-1}] = 0$ , is an easy corollary of 3.7. However, it is not clear how to transpose to the algebraic setting the arguments of Quillen in ([14], 4.2) to reduce to this case. The proof in ([6], 8.3) uses an independent method.

Theorem 3.7 has the following geometric consequence, which justifies the terminology ‘‘amalgamation theorem’’. Define

$$(3.7.1) \quad \underline{(G, X)} := \text{Spec } H_G^{\varepsilon*}(X)_{\text{red}},$$

where  $\varepsilon = 1$  if  $\ell = 2$ , and  $\varepsilon = 2$  otherwise. In particular, for an elementary abelian  $\ell$ -group  $A$ ,

$$\underline{A} := \underline{(A, \text{Spec } k)} = \text{Spec } (H_A^{\varepsilon*})_{\text{red}},$$

a standard affine space of dimension equal to the rank of  $A$  (3.2). The map (3.6.1) induces a morphism of schemes

$$(3.7.2) \quad (A, C)_* : \underline{A} \rightarrow \underline{(G, X)},$$

hence  $a_{(G,X)}$  (3.6.2) induces a morphism of schemes

$$(3.7.3) \quad \varprojlim_{(A,C) \in \mathcal{A}_{(G,X)}^b} \underline{A} \rightarrow \underline{(G, X)}.$$

It follows from 3.7 that (3.7.3) is a universal homeomorphism. If  $(A_i, C_i)_{i \in I}$  is a finite set of representatives of isomorphism classes of objects of  $\mathcal{A}_{(G, X)}^b$ , by a corollary to the finiteness theorem 2.3 (see ([8], 4.8))  $\coprod_{i \in I} \underline{A}_i$  is finite over  $(G, X)$  and the limit on the left hand side is the quotient of  $\coprod_{i \in I} \underline{A}_i$  by a (finite) equivalence relation over  $(G, X)$ , see ([8], 11.1). Therefore we get the following corollary, similar to ([14], 7.7) :

**Corollary 3.8.** *The dimension of  $(G, X)$  is the maximal rank of an elementary abelian  $\ell$ -subgroup of  $G$  fixing a point in  $X$ .*

*Example :* The dimension of  $\text{Spec } H^*(BGL_{n,k})$  is  $n$  (2.5 (a)), which is also the rank of  $\text{Ker } \ell : T \rightarrow T$ , where  $T$  is a maximal torus of  $GL_{n,k}$ .

The structure of  $(G, X)$  in relation with (3.7.2) can be described more precisely. We have the following *stratification theorem*, similar to ([15], 10.2, 12.1) :

**Theorem 3.9** ([8], 11.2). *Denote by  $V_{(A,C)}$  the reduced subscheme which is the image of the (finite) map  $\underline{A} \rightarrow (G, X)$  (3.7.2). Let*

$$\underline{A}^+ := \underline{A} - \cup_{A' < A} \underline{A}',$$

$$V_{(A,C)}^+ := V_{(A,C)} - \cup_{A' < A} V_{(A', C|A')}$$

(where  $A' < A$  means  $A' \subset A$  and  $A' \neq A$  and  $C|A'$  the component of  $X^{A'}$  containing  $C$ ). Then :

(a) *The Weyl group  $W(A, C)$  (3.5.3) acts freely on  $\underline{A}^+$  and the map  $\underline{A}^+ \rightarrow V_{(A,C)}^+$  given by (3.7.2) induces a homeomorphism*

$$\underline{A}^+ / W(A, C) \rightarrow V_{(A,C)}^+.$$

(b) *The subschemes  $V_{(A,C)}$  of  $(G, X)$  are the integral closed sub-cones of  $(G, X)$  that are stable under the Steenrod operations on  $H_G^{\varepsilon*}(X)$  (see 3.12).*

(c) *Let  $(A_i, C_i)_{i \in I}$  be a finite set of representatives of isomorphism classes of objects of  $\mathcal{A}_{(G, X)}^b$ . Then the  $V_{(A_i, C_i)}$ 's form a finite stratification of  $(G, X)$ , namely  $(G, X)$  is the disjoint union of the  $V_{(A_i, C_i)}^+$ , and  $V_{(A_i, C_i)}$  is the closure of  $V_{(A_i, C_i)}^+$ .*

The proof is based on 2.3 and 3.7, and is entirely analogous to that of ([15], 10.2, 12.1).

*Examples 3.10.* In the following, we set

$$R^{\varepsilon*}(G, X) := \varprojlim_{(A,C) \in \mathcal{A}_{(G, X)}^b} H_A^{\varepsilon*},$$

and

$$(G, X)_{\text{proj}} := \text{Proj } H_G^{\varepsilon^*}(X)_{\text{red}},$$

so that  $(G, X) - \{0\}$  is a  $\mathbf{G}_m$ -bundle over  $(G, X)_{\text{proj}}$ . We also omit  $\mathbf{F}_\ell$  from the notations of cohomology groups.

(a) For  $X = \mathbf{P}_k^1$ , with the natural action of  $G = \mathbf{G}_{m,k}$ , the category  $\mathcal{A}_{(G,X)}^b$  has three objects :  $(\{0\}, X)$ ,  $(\mu_\ell, 0)$ ,  $(\mu_\ell, \infty)$ , and  $(G, X)$  is a cone on  $\{0\} \cup \{\infty\}$ , a union of two lines,  $V_{(\mu_\ell, 0)}$  and  $V_{(\mu_\ell, \infty)}$ , their intersection being the point  $V_{(\{0\}, X)}$ . In other words,  $\text{Proj } R^{\varepsilon^*}(G, X)_{\text{red}} = \{0, \infty\}$ .

(b) More generally, let  $X$  be the projective space  $\mathbf{P}_k^n$ , with its natural action of the torus  $T = \mathbf{G}_m^{n+1}/\mathbf{G}_m$  of rank  $n$  by  $(x_0 : \cdots : x_n)g = (x_0g : \cdots : x_n g)$ . Then  $\text{Proj } R^{\varepsilon^*}(T, X)_{\text{red}} = X - T$  (hence  $(T, X)_{\text{proj}}$  is homeomorphic to  $X - T$ ). The stratification of 3.9 (c) is deduced from the standard stratification of  $X - T$ . Similar results hold for toric varieties ([8], 6.15). For example, if  $X$  is the toric variety  $\text{Spec } k[P]$  for a fine and saturated monoid  $P$  such that  $P^* = 0$ , with the action of the torus  $T = \text{Spec } k[P^{\text{gp}}]$ , then  $(T, X)$ , with its stratification (3.9 (c)), is homeomorphic to  $\mathbf{V}(P^{\text{gp}} \otimes \mathbf{F}_\ell)$ , with the stratification given by the faces of  $P$ .

(c) Let  $n$  be an integer  $\geq 1$  and let  $T$  be the standard maximal torus in  $G = \text{GL}_{n,k}$ . Let  $W (= N_T(G)/T = S_n)$  be the Weyl group. A complement to 2.5 (a) is that the restriction map  $H^*(BG) \rightarrow H^*(BT)$  induces an isomorphism

$$H^*(BG) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^*(BT)^W.$$

The map  $a_{(G,X)}$  (3.6.2) (for  $X = \text{Spec } k$ ) is the composition with the restriction  $H^*(BT)^W \rightarrow H^*(BT[\ell])^W$ , where  $T[\ell] = \text{Ker } \ell : T \rightarrow T$  (recall that  $W(T[\ell]) = W$  (3.4.3)). It is injective and its cokernel is annihilated by  $F$ . The space  $(G, X)$  is the affine space  $\mathbf{A}^n$  over  $\mathbf{F}_\ell$ .

*Question 3.11.* In the situation of 3.7, let  $d_\ell(G, X)$  be the dimension of  $\text{Spec } H_G^{\varepsilon^*}(X, \mathbf{F}_\ell)$ , and let us write  $d_\ell(G)$  for  $d_\ell(G, \text{Spec } k)$ . We have  $d_\ell(G, X) = d_\ell(G_{\text{red}}, X_{\text{red}})$ . Is there an integer  $N$  such that, for all  $\ell > N$ ,  $d_\ell(G, X)$  is independent of  $\ell$ ? For  $d_\ell(G)$ , does it suffice to take for  $N$  the supremum of the orders of  $G_1/G_0$  and the Weyl group  $W$  of  $G_2/R_u$  in the dévissage mentioned in 2.6 (with  $G$  reduced), where  $R_u$  denotes the unipotent radical of  $G_2$ ?

If  $[X/G]$  is a Deligne-Mumford stack, it follows from 3.7 that  $d_\ell(G, X) = 0$  as soon as  $\ell$  does not divide the orders of the (finite) inertia groups. On the other hand, it is easy to see that  $d_\ell(G, X)$  is bounded by an integer independent of  $\ell$ . Indeed, we have  $d_\ell(G, X) \leq d_\ell(G)$  (by 2.3 or 3.8),  $d_\ell(G) \leq d_\ell(G_0/G_1) + d_\ell(G_1/G_2) + d_\ell(G_2)$ , and, for  $\ell > N$ ,  $d_\ell(G_0/G_1) = 0$  (as  $\ell > |G_0/G_1|$ ),  $d_\ell(G_1/G_2) = 2\dim(G_1/G_2)$  (2.5 (b)),  $d_\ell(G_2) = d_\ell(G_2/R_u)$ , and as

$\ell > |W|$  all elementary abelian  $\ell$ -subgroups of  $G_2/R_u$  are toral ([17], 1.2.2), whence  $d_\ell(G_2) = \text{rk}(G_2/R_u)$ .

3.12. *Steenrod operations.* The graded algebra  $H_G^*(X) := H_G^*(X, \mathbf{F}_\ell)$  admits Steenrod operations, preserving  $H_G^{\varepsilon*}(X)$ . These are homomorphisms

$$(3.12.1) \quad P^i : H_G^*(X) \rightarrow \begin{cases} H_G^{*+i}(X) & \text{if } \ell = 2 \\ H_G^{*+2(\ell-1)i}(X) & \text{if } \ell > 2. \end{cases}$$

For  $\ell = 2$ ,  $P^i$  is sometimes denoted  $\text{Sq}^i$ . Their construction is a particular case of Steenrod operations on  $H^*(T, \mathbf{F}_\ell)$  for a topos  $T$ , see [4], [16], ([8], 11.6). They satisfy the following properties, where we write  $H^*$  for  $H_G^*(X)$ :  $P^i = 0$  for  $i < 0$ ,  $P^0 = \text{Id}$ ; for  $x \in H^q$ ,  $P^i x = 0$  for  $q < i$  if  $\ell = 2$ ,  $P^i x = 0$  for  $q < 2i$  if  $\ell > 2$ ; for  $x \in H^i$  (resp.  $x \in H^{2i}$ ),  $P^i x = x^\ell$  if  $\ell = 2$  (resp.  $\ell > 2$ ); if one defines

$$P_t : H^* \rightarrow H^*[t]$$

by  $P_t(x) = \sum_{i \geq 0} P^i(x)t^i$ , so that  $P_t(x) = x + x^\ell t$  for  $x \in H^1$ ,  $\ell = 2$  (resp.  $x \in H^2$ ,  $\ell > 2$ ), then  $P_t$  is a ring homomorphism (Cartan's formula).

#### 4. A stack-theoretic reformulation of the amalgamation theorem, and a generalization.

The source of the homomorphism  $a_{(G,X)}$  (3.6.2) depends only on the stack  $\mathcal{X} = [X/G]$ , but the target involves fixed points of the action of subgroups of  $G$  on  $X$ . However, one can rewrite this target as a limit over a certain category  $\mathcal{C}$  of points of  $\mathcal{X}$ . This reformulation makes sense on any Artin stack, and can also be extended to include constructible coefficients. Such a reformulation is in fact needed to prove 3.7. Indeed a crucial continuity property ([14], 5.6) used by Quillen in his proof of the analogous topological result has to be replaced by an analysis of specialization of points in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

4.1. Let  $k$  and  $\ell$  be as in 2.2, and let  $\mathcal{X}$  be an Artin stack over  $k$  (see footnote 3).

(a) We define a *geometric point* of  $\mathcal{X}$  to be a representable morphism  $x : S \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ , where  $S$  is a strictly local scheme. A morphism from  $x : S \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  to  $y : T \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  is a morphism  $f : S \rightarrow T$  together with a 2-morphism  $u : x \rightarrow yf$ . By inverting morphisms  $(f, u)$  such that  $f$  sends the closed point of  $S$  to the closed point of  $T$ , we get a category

$$(4.1.1) \quad \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{X}$$

called the category of geometric points of  $\mathcal{X}$ . In the case  $\mathcal{X}$  is a scheme, this category is equivalent to the usual category of geometric points of  $\mathcal{X}$ . If  $\Lambda$

is a noetherian ring, and  $F$  is a constructible sheaf of  $\Lambda$ -modules on  $\mathcal{X}$ , the natural map

$$(4.1.2) \quad \Gamma(\mathcal{X}, F) \rightarrow \varprojlim_{x \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{X}}} F_x$$

is an isomorphism ([8], 7.12). Here, for  $(x : S \rightarrow \mathcal{X}) \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{X}}$ ,  $F_x = \Gamma(S, F) = F_s$  is the stalk of  $F$  at the closed point  $s$  of  $S$ .

(b) We will need a bigger category of geometric points, depending on  $\ell$ . We define an  $\ell$ -*elementary point* of  $\mathcal{X}$  to be a representable morphism  $x : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ , where  $\mathcal{S}$  is isomorphic to a quotient stack  $[S/A]$ , where  $S$  is a strictly local scheme endowed with an action of an elementary abelian  $\ell$ -group  $A$  acting trivially on the closed point of  $S$ . Note that the representability condition imposes that  $x : [S/A] \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  induces an injection  $A \hookrightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{X}}(s \rightarrow \mathcal{X})$ . A morphism from  $x : [S/A] \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  to  $y : [T/B] \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  is an isomorphism class of pairs  $(\varphi, \alpha)$ , where  $\varphi : [S/A] \rightarrow [T/B]$  is an  $\mathcal{X}$ -morphism, and  $\alpha : x \rightarrow y\varphi$  is a 2-morphism; an isomorphism between pairs  $(\varphi, \alpha)$  and  $(\psi, \beta)$  is a 2-morphism  $c : \varphi \rightarrow \psi$  such that  $\beta = c\alpha$ . Such a pair  $(\varphi, \alpha)$  is represented by a morphism of  $\mathcal{X}$ -schemes  $f : S \rightarrow T$  and a group homomorphism  $u : A \rightarrow B$  (and if  $(f_1, u_1), (f_2, u_2)$  are two such pairs, then  $u_1 = u_2$  and there exists a unique  $r \in B$  such that  $f_1 r = f_2$ ). By inverting morphisms  $(\varphi, \alpha)$  such that  $f$  sends  $s$  to  $t$  and the (unique) homomorphism  $u : A \rightarrow B$  is an isomorphism, we get a category

$$(4.1.3) \quad \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X}, \ell},$$

called the category of  $\ell$ -*elementary points* of  $\mathcal{X}$ , abbreviated to  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X}}$  if no confusion can arise. It follows readily from the definitions that the obvious functor

$$(4.1.4) \quad \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X}}$$

is fully faithful, and one can show that if  $\mathcal{X}$  is of finite type over  $k$  and  $F$  a constructible sheaf of  $\Lambda$ -modules as in (a), the natural map

$$(4.1.5) \quad \Gamma(\mathcal{X}, F) \rightarrow \varprojlim_{x \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X}, \ell}} F_s^A$$

is again an isomorphism (compatible with (4.1.2)), where, for  $(x : [S/A] \rightarrow \mathcal{X}) \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X}}$ ,  $F_s^A := \Gamma([S/A], x^*F) = \Gamma(BA, F_s)$  ( $s$  the closed point of  $S$ ).

4.2. Replacing  $F_x$  by  $H^q([S/A], F)$  in (4.1.5) leads to the announced reformulation and generalization of 3.7. Let  $D_c^+(\mathcal{X}, \mathbf{F}_{\ell})$  denote the full subcategory of  $D^+(\mathcal{X}, \mathbf{F}_{\ell})$  consisting of complexes of sheaves of  $\mathbf{F}_{\ell}$ -modules over

the lisse-étale site of  $\mathcal{X}$  with bounded below, cartesian, constructible cohomology. For  $K \in D_c^+(\mathcal{X}, \mathbf{F}_\ell)$ , and  $q \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,

$$(x : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{C}_\mathcal{X}) \mapsto H^q(\mathcal{S}, K|\mathcal{S})$$

is a projective system (of  $\mathbf{F}_\ell$ -vector spaces) indexed by  $\mathcal{C}_\mathcal{X}$ , and the restriction maps  $H^q(\mathcal{X}, K) \rightarrow H^q(\mathcal{S}, K|\mathcal{S})$  are compatible with the transition morphisms, hence yield a homomorphism

$$(4.2.1) \quad a_{\mathcal{X}, K} : H^q(\mathcal{X}, K) \rightarrow \varprojlim_{(\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}) \in \mathcal{C}_\mathcal{X}} H^q(\mathcal{S}, K).$$

For  $\mathcal{X}$  a quotient stack of the form  $[X/G]$  as in 2.2, with  $X$  separated, and  $K$  the constant sheaf  $\mathbf{F}_\ell$ , the right hand side of (4.2.1) is naturally identified with the right hand side of (3.5.2) and  $a_{\mathcal{X}, K}$  with  $a_{(G, X)}$  ([8], 8.6).

More generally, the right hand side of (4.2.1) can be described in terms of a certain inverse limit involving fixed point sets  $X^A$  for elementary abelian  $\ell$ -subgroups  $A$  of  $G$ .

For a pair  $(A, C)$  in  $\mathcal{A}_{(G, X)}$ , we have a restriction map  $H_G^q(X, K) \rightarrow H_A^q(C, K|C)$ , and an edge homomorphism  $H_A^q(C, K|C) = H^q(BA, K|C) \rightarrow H^0(C, \mathcal{H}_A^q(K))$ , where  $\mathcal{H}_A^q(K)$  denotes the cohomology sheaf  $\mathcal{H}^q$  of the complex  $R\Gamma(BA, K|C)$  on  $C$ , hence a composition

$$(4.2.2) \quad H_G^q(X, K) \rightarrow H^0(C, \mathcal{H}_A^q(K|C)).$$

For a map  $(\theta_g : A \hookrightarrow A', Cg \supset C')$  in  $\mathcal{A}_{(G, X)}(k)$ , we don't have a map  $H^0(C', \mathcal{H}_{A'}^q(K|C')) \rightarrow H^0(C, \mathcal{H}_A^q(K|C))$ , but instead a commutative square

$$(4.2.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} H_G^q(X, K) & \longrightarrow & H^0(C, \mathcal{H}_A^q(K)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^0(C', \mathcal{H}_{A'}^q(K)) & \longrightarrow & H^0(C'g^{-1}, \mathcal{H}_A^q(K)) \end{array} ,$$

where the right vertical map is the restriction and the lower horizontal map is given by the isomorphism  $H^0(C', \mathcal{H}_{A'}^q(K)) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^0(C'g^{-1}, \mathcal{H}_{gA'g^{-1}}^q(K))$  followed by the restriction to  $A$ . Let  $R_G^q(X, K)$  be the set of families

$$(x_{(A, C)} \in H^0(C, \mathcal{H}_A^q(K)))_{(A, C) \in \mathcal{A}_{(G, X)}}$$

such that for any map  $g : (A, C) \rightarrow (A', C')$  in  $\mathcal{A}_{(G, X)}$  the images of  $x_{(A, C)}$  and  $x_{(A', C')}$  in  $H^0(C'g^{-1}, \mathcal{H}_A^q(K))$  coincide. We therefore get a map

$$(4.2.4) \quad a_{(G, X; K)} : H_G^q(X, K) \rightarrow R_G^q(X, K).$$

For  $K = \mathbf{F}_\ell$ , the right hand side of (4.2.4) coincides with that of (3.6.2).

Here is an alternate description of  $R_G^q(X, K)$  ([8], 6.18). Let  $\mathcal{A}_G^{\natural}$  denote the following category. Objects of  $\mathcal{A}_G^{\natural}$  are triples  $(A, A', g)$ , where  $A, A'$  are elementary abelian  $\ell$ -subgroups of  $G$  and  $g$  is an element of  $G(k)$  such that the conjugation  $c_g : s \mapsto g^{-1}sg$  maps  $A$  into  $A'$ . Morphisms in  $\mathcal{A}_G^{\natural}$  from  $(A, A', g)$  to  $(Z, Z', h)$  are pairs  $(a, b) \in G(k) \times G(k)$  such that  $g = ahb$ ,  $c_a : A \rightarrow Z$ ,  $c_b : Z' \rightarrow A'$ . For  $(A, A', g) \in \mathcal{A}_G^{\natural}$ , we have an equivariant map  $(1, c_g) : (X^{A'}, A) \rightarrow (X, G)$ , where  $A$  acts trivially on  $X^{A'}$  via  $c_g : A \rightarrow A'$ , hence a morphism

$$[1/c_g] : [X^{A'}/A] \rightarrow [X/G].$$

On the other hand, we have the second projection

$$\pi : [X^{A'}/A] = BA \times X^{A'} \rightarrow X^{A'}.$$

Consider the sheaf  $R^q\pi_*[1/c_g]^*K (= \mathcal{H}_A^q([1/c_g]^*K))$  on  $X^{A'}$ . A map  $(a, b) : (A, A', g) \rightarrow (Z, Z', h)$  in  $\mathcal{A}_G^{\natural}$  induces a morphism

$$(b, a)^* : H^0(X^{Z'}, R^q\pi_*[1/c_h]^*K) \rightarrow H^0(X^{A'}, R^q\pi_*[1/c_g]^*K),$$

and

$$(4.2.5) \quad R_G^q(X, K) = \varprojlim_{\mathcal{A}_G^{\natural}} H^0(X^{A'}, R^q\pi_*[1/c_g]^*K).$$

Now, we have the key compatibility, whose proof is not formal :

**Lemma 4.3** ([8], 8.6). *For  $\mathcal{X} = [X/G]$  as in 2.2, with  $X$  separated,  $K \in D_c^+(\mathcal{X}, \mathbf{F}_\ell)$ , and each integer  $q$ , there is a natural isomorphism*

$$\varepsilon : \varprojlim_{(\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}) \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X}}} H^q(\mathcal{S}, K) \xrightarrow{\sim} R_G^q(X, K)$$

making the following diagram commute :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^q([X/G], K) & \longrightarrow & \varprojlim_{(\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}) \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X}}} H^q(\mathcal{S}, K), \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \varepsilon \\ & & R_G^q(X, K) \end{array}$$

where the horizontal and oblique arrows are given respectively by (4.2.1) and (4.2.4), with the identification (4.2.5).

Thanks to 4.3, the following result generalizes 3.7 :



**Theorem 4.4** ([8], 8.3). *Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be an Artin stack of finite presentation over  $k$  which is either a Deligne-Mumford stack with finite inertia or a quotient stack of the form  $[X/G]$  for a separated algebraic space of finite type  $X$  over  $k$  and  $G$  an algebraic group over  $k$ , and let  $K$  be an object of  $D_c^+(\mathcal{X}, \mathbf{F}_\ell)$  having a multiplicative structure. Let*

$$R^*(\mathcal{X}, K) := \bigoplus_q \varprojlim_{(\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}) \in \mathcal{C}_\mathcal{X}} H^q(\mathcal{S}, K).$$

Then

$$a_{\mathcal{X}, K} : H^*(\mathcal{X}, K) \rightarrow R^*(\mathcal{X}, K)$$

is a uniform  $F$ -isomorphism.

By a *multiplicative structure on  $K$*  we mean a multiplication map  $m : K \otimes K \rightarrow K$  and a unit map  $e : \mathbf{F}_\ell \rightarrow K$  satisfying the usual associativity and commutativity conditions with respect to the constraints of the symmetric monoidal category  $D_c^+(\mathcal{X}, \mathbf{F}_\ell)$  ([8], §3). Such a structure makes  $H^*(\mathcal{X}, K)$  into a graded  $\mathbf{F}_\ell$ -algebra.

A common generalization of the two cases of 4.4 would be the case where  $\mathcal{X}$  has a *stratification by global quotients*, i. e.  $\mathcal{X}_{\text{red}}$  has a stratification by locally closed substacks such that each stratum is isomorphic to a quotient stack  $[X/G]$  as in 4.4. Indeed, by a theorem of Kresch [10], if for any geometric point  $x \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  the fiber at  $x$  of the inertia  $I_\mathcal{X}$  is affine, in particular if  $\mathcal{X}$  is a Deligne-Mumford stack with finite inertia, then  $\mathcal{X}$  has a stratification by global quotients of the form  $[X/G]$  with  $G$  affine. When  $X$  has a stratification by global quotients, one can still show that the kernel of  $a_{\mathcal{X}, K}$  is annihilated by a power of  $F : a \mapsto a^\ell$  ([8], *loc. cit.*).

On the other hand, recall that in the case  $\mathcal{X} = [X/G]$  and  $K$  is in  $D_c^b(\mathcal{X}, K)$ , the source,  $H^*(\mathcal{X}, K)$ , of  $a_{\mathcal{X}, K}$  is a finitely generated  $\mathbf{F}_\ell$ -algebra (2.4). The target,  $R^*(\mathcal{X}, K)$ , is finitely generated, too ([8], 6.17). In fact ([8], 8.3 (a)),  $R^*(\mathcal{X}, K)$  is finitely generated when  $\mathcal{X}$  is an Artin stack of finite presentation over  $k$  admitting a stratification by global quotients. One can therefore ask :

*Questions 4.5.* Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be an Artin stack of finite presentation over  $k$  admitting a stratification by global quotients, and let  $K$  be an object of  $D_c^b(\mathcal{X}, \mathbf{F}_\ell)$  endowed with a multiplicative structure.

- (a) Is  $H^*(\mathcal{X}, \mathbf{F}_\ell)$  a finitely generated  $\mathbf{F}_\ell$ -algebra ?
- (b) Is  $a_{\mathcal{X}, K}$  a uniform  $F$ -isomorphism ?

## 5. Outline of proof of 4.4.

We roughly follow the pattern of Quillen's proof for the analogous results ([14], 6.2), ([15], 8.5). In *loc. cit.* the starting point is to analyze  $H_G^*(X, \mathbf{F}_\ell)$

via the Leray spectral sequence of the quotient map

$$\pi : PG \wedge^G X \rightarrow X/G,$$

which is a proper map whose fiber at a point  $y$  of  $X/G$  is  $PG \wedge^G Gx$  for a point  $x$  in  $X$  above  $y$ , so that  $(R^q\pi_*\mathbf{F}_\ell)_y = H_G^q(Gx, \mathbf{F}_\ell) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^q(BG_x, \mathbf{F}_\ell)$ , where  $G_x \subset G$  is the stabilizer of  $x$ . In the situation of 4.4, with  $\mathcal{X} = [X/G]$ , the quotient  $X/G$  doesn't make sense in general, but with some additional hypotheses on  $\mathcal{X}$ , a coarse moduli space will be a satisfactory substitute.

5.1. In particular, suppose that  $\mathcal{X}$  in 4.4 is a Deligne-Mumford stack with finite inertia. Then, by the Keel-Mori theorem [9] there exists a coarse moduli space morphism

$$(5.1.1) \quad f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow Y.$$

Recall that this means that  $Y$  is an algebraic space over  $k$ ,  $f$  is initial among maps from  $\mathcal{X}$  to a  $k$ -algebraic space, and for any algebraically closed field  $K$  over  $k$ ,  $f$  induces an isomorphism from the set of isomorphism classes of objects of  $\mathcal{X}(K)$  to  $Y(K)$ . In addition,  $f$  is proper, and is a universal homeomorphism. For an algebraically closed geometric point  $y$  of  $Y$ , the reduced fiber<sup>5</sup>  $f^{-1}(y)_{\text{red}}$  consists of a single isomorphism class of objects of  $\mathcal{X}$  over  $y$ , namely that of the quotient stack  $[Gx/G] (\xrightarrow{\sim} [\text{Spec } k(y) \wedge^{G_x} G/G] \xrightarrow{\sim} BG_x$  (2.2.4)) for a geometric point  $x$  of  $X$  above  $y$ .

A key fact, similar to ([14], 3.2), is that the edge homomorphism

$$(5.1.2) \quad e : H^*(\mathcal{X}, K) \rightarrow H^0(Y, R^*f_*K)$$

of the Leray spectral sequence of  $f$  is a uniform  $F$ -isomorphism. As in *loc. cit.* it is a simple consequence of the multiplicative structure of the spectral sequence.

As  $f$  is proper, by (an easy case of) ([13], 9.14) the sheaves  $R^qf_*K$  are constructible and for any geometric point  $y$  of  $Y$ , we have

$$(5.1.3) \quad (R^qf_*K)_y \xrightarrow{\sim} H^q(BG_x, K|BG_x)$$

(for a geometric point  $x$  of  $\mathcal{X}$  above  $y$ ). Therefore, by (4.1.2) applied to  $Y$  and  $R^qf_*K$ , we have

$$(5.1.4) \quad H^0(Y, R^qf_*K) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_{y \in \mathcal{P}_Y} H^q(BG_x, K|BG_x).$$

---

<sup>5</sup>Fibers of  $f$  are not necessarily reduced, as the example of a Kummer cover of the affine line already shows.

Assume now :

(\*) *All inertia groups  $G_x$  of  $\mathcal{X}$  are elementary abelian  $\ell$ -groups.*

Then, by (4.1.5), (5.1.4) induces an isomorphism

$$(5.1.5) \quad H^0(Y, R^q f_* K) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_{[S/A] \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X}}} H^q([S/A], K).$$

The composite of (5.1.2) and (5.1.5) is the canonical map

$$a_{\mathcal{X}, K} : H^*(\mathcal{X}, K) \rightarrow R^*(\mathcal{X}, K)$$

of 4.4, and it is a uniform  $F$ -isomorphism.

5.2. Suppose now that  $\mathcal{X}$  in 4.4 is a quotient stack  $[X/G]$ . Imitating Quillen's method in [14], one reduces to the situation of 5.1 with the additional assumption (\*). By the dévissage used in the proof of 2.3 (cf. 2.6), one first reduces to the case where  $G$  is affine. One then embeds  $G$  into some general linear group  $L := \mathrm{GL}_{n,k}$  and let  $G$  act diagonally on  $X_0 := X \times (T[\ell] \backslash L)$ , where  $T \subset L$  is a maximal torus. The map  $G \times X_0 \rightarrow X_0 \times X_0$ ,  $(g, x) \times (x, xg)$  is then finite and unramified, and all the inertia groups  $G_x$  are elementary abelian  $\ell$ -subgroups of  $G$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{X}_0 := [X_0/G]$  satisfies the assumptions of 5.1, plus (\*). So  $a_{\mathcal{X}_0, K}$  is a uniform  $F$ -isomorphism. One repeats the operation with  $\mathcal{X}_1 := [X_1/G]$ , where  $X_1 = X \times (T[\ell] \backslash L) \times (T[\ell] \backslash L)$ , and gets that  $a_{\mathcal{X}_1, K}$  is a uniform  $F$ -isomorphism. A descent argument yields the conclusion for  $\mathcal{X}$ .

5.3. When  $\mathcal{X}$  in 4.4 is a Deligne-Mumford stack with finite inertia, but does not necessarily satisfy (\*), one applies 4.4 to the stacks  $BG_x$  (and  $K|BG_x$ ) appearing in the right hand side of (5.1.4). A closer analysis shows that one can find a bound  $N$  for the power of  $F$  annihilating the kernel and the cokernel of  $a_{BG_x, K}$  which is independent of the order of  $G_x$ . One then concludes using (5.1.4) and (4.1.5).

*Acknowledgement.* This report was written during a visit to the Korea Institute for Advanced Study (Seoul) in January, 2013. I wish to warmly thank this institute for its support and hospitality. I am grateful to the referee for helpful corrections and comments.

## References

- [1] K. Behrend, *The Lefschetz trace formula for algebraic stacks*, Invent. Math. **112** (1993), 127-149.

- [2] A. Borel, *Nouvelle démonstration d'un théorème de P. A. Smith*, Comment. Math. Helv. **29** (1955), 27-39 (= Oe., vol. I, no. 34).
- [3] P. Deligne, Letter to L. Illusie, June 26, 2012.
- [4] D. Epstein, *Steenrod Operations in Homological Algebra*, Invent. Math. **1** (1966), 152-208.
- [5] H. Esnault and J. Nicaise, *Finite group actions, rational fixed points and weak Néron models*, Pure Appl. Math. Q. **7** (2011), 1209-1240.
- [6] L. Illusie and W. Zheng, *Odds and ends on finite group actions and traces*, Int. Math. Res. Not., 2013(1), 1-62, doi:10.1093/imrn/rnt009.
- [7] L. Illusie and W. Zheng, *Errata and Addenda to "Odds and ends on finite group actions and traces"*, IMRN, doi:10.1093/imrn/rnt009.
- [8] L. Illusie and W. Zheng, *Quotient stacks and mod  $\ell$  equivariant cohomology algebras : Quillen's theory revisited*, preprint, 2013, <http://www.math.ac.cn/zheng/index.html>.
- [9] S. Keel and S. Mori, *Quotients by groupoids*, Ann. of Math. **145** (1997), 193-213.
- [10] A. Kresch, *Cycle groups for Artin stacks*, Invent. Math. **138** (1997), 495-536.
- [11] G. Laumon, *Comparaison de caractéristiques d'Euler-Poincaré en cohomologie  $\ell$ -adique*, C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris, t. **292** Série I (1981), 209-212.
- [12] G. Laumon and L. Moret-Bailly, *Champs algébriques*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete **39**, Springer-Verlag, 2000.
- [13] M. Olsson, *Sheaves on Artin stacks*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **603** (2007), 55-112.
- [14] D. Quillen, *The spectrum of an equivariant cohomology ring. I.*, Ann. of Math. **94** (1971), 549-572.
- [15] D. Quillen, *The spectrum of an equivariant cohomology ring. II.*, Ann. of Math. **94** (1971), 573-602.
- [16] M. Raynaud, *Modules projectifs universels*, Invent. Math. **6** (1968), 1-26.

- [17] J-P. Serre, *Sous-groupes finis des groupes de Lie*, Sémin. Bourbaki, Vol. 1998/99, Exp. No. 864, Astérisque **266** (2000), 415-430.
- [18] J-P. Serre, *How to use finite fields for problems concerning infinite fields*, Arithmetic, Geometry, Cryptography and coding theory, Eds. G. Lachaud, C. Ritzenthaler, and M. Tsfasman, Contemporary Math. **487** (2009), 183-194.