

1. SHEAVES ON THE FLAG VARIETY

Let k be a field of characteristic zero complete for a rank 1 valuation extending the p -adic valuation. Let \mathcal{O}_k be its ring of integers. All our spaces will be adic spaces over $\mathrm{Spa}(k, \mathcal{O}_k)$.

Let $G = GL_2$, B be the upper Borel, with unipotent radical U and maximal torus T . We let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathrm{Lie}(G)$, $\mathfrak{u} = \mathrm{Lie}(U)$, $\mathfrak{b} = \mathrm{Lie}(B)$ and $\mathfrak{h} = \mathrm{Lie}(T)$.

The characters of the torus are denoted by $X^*(T)$. We identify $X^*(T)$ with $(k; w) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ with $k = w \pmod{2}$ via $(k, w) \cdot \mathrm{diag}(tz, t^{-1}z) = t^k z^w$.

Let $FL = B \backslash G$ be the corresponding partial flag variety. It carries an action of G by right translation. We let $\{\infty\} = B \backslash B \in FL$ and $\mathbb{A}^1 = FL \setminus \{\infty\}$ be the Bruhat strata. We let T be the maximal diagonal torus.

1.1. G -equivariant sheaves. Let $m, p : FL \times G \rightarrow FL$ be the action map and the projection map respectively. We let $\mathrm{Coh}_G(FL)$ be the category of G -equivariant coherent sheaves over FL . Its objects consist of a coherent sheaves \mathcal{F} together with an isomorphism $m^* \mathcal{F} \rightarrow p^* \mathcal{F}$ satisfying certain obvious condition.

We let $\mathrm{Rep}^f(B)$ be the category of finite dimensional algebraic representations of B on k -vector spaces. We define a functor :

$$\begin{aligned} F : \mathrm{Rep}^f(B) &\rightarrow \mathrm{Coh}_G(FL) \\ V &\mapsto \mathcal{V} = F(V) \end{aligned}$$

as follows. The group G acts on itself by left and right translation. It follows that \mathcal{O}_G carries two G -actions, denoted \star_l and \star_r , given by the rule $g \star_l f(-) = f(g^{-1} -)$ and $g \star_r f(-) = f(-g)$. We consider the projection $\pi : G \mapsto B \backslash G$, $g \mapsto \infty \cdot g$. Let (V, ρ) be an object of $\mathrm{Rep}(B)$. We let $F(V) = (\pi_* \mathcal{O}_G \otimes_k V)^{\star_l \otimes \rho}$. The action \star_r provides a G -equivariant structure on $F(V)$.

Let $i_\infty : \{\infty\} \rightarrow FL$ be the inclusion. We conversely define a functor :

$$\begin{aligned} i_\infty^* : \mathrm{Coh}_G(FL) &\rightarrow \mathrm{Rep}^f(B) \\ \mathcal{V} &\mapsto i_\infty^* \mathcal{V} \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 1.1.1. *The functors F and i_∞^* are quasi-inverse equivalences of categories.*

Example 1.1.2. (1) If $\kappa = (k; w) \in X^*(T)$, we let $\mathcal{O}_{FL}^\kappa = F(w_0 \kappa)$. This twist in the notation is justified by the fact that \mathcal{O}_{FL}^κ has degree k , and that $H^0(FL, \mathcal{O}_{FL}^\kappa)$ is the representation of highest weight κ when $\kappa \in X^*(T)^+$.

(2) If $V \in \mathrm{Rep}(G)$, one checks that $F(V) = \mathcal{O}_{FL} \otimes_k V$.

(3) Let St be the standard representation of G . It sits in the exact sequence of B -representations $0 \rightarrow k((1; 1)) \rightarrow St \rightarrow k((-1; 1)) \rightarrow 0$. By applying F , we get the "tautological" exact sequence : $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{FL}^{(-1; 1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{FL} \otimes St \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{FL}^{(1; 1)} \rightarrow 0$.

(4) We have $\mathfrak{u} \subseteq \mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$. We let $\mathfrak{u}^0 := F(\mathfrak{u}) \subseteq \mathfrak{b}^0 := F(\mathfrak{b}) \subseteq F(\mathfrak{g}) := \mathfrak{g}^0 = \mathcal{O}_{FL} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$.

(5) We have $T_{FL} = F(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b}) = \mathfrak{g}^0/\mathfrak{b}^0$. This is the tangent sheaf of FL .

(6) We have $\mathfrak{b}^0/\mathfrak{u}^0 \simeq \mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{FL}$. This is the horizontal Cartan, with global section $\mathfrak{h}_{hor} \simeq \mathfrak{h}$.

(7) We have $\mathfrak{u}^0 = \Omega_{FL}^1$.

1.2. \mathfrak{g} -equivariant sheaves. The Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} acts by derivations on \mathcal{O}_{FL} . We let $\mathrm{Coh}_{\mathfrak{g}}(FL)$ be the category of \mathfrak{g} -equivariant coherent sheaves over FL . Its objects consist of a coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} and a k -linear map $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathrm{End}_k(\mathcal{F})$ such that :

(1) $\forall (g, a, f) \in \mathfrak{g} \times \mathcal{O}_{FL} \times \mathcal{F}$, $g(af) = g(a)f + ag(f)$,

(2) $\forall (g, g') \in \mathfrak{g}^2$, $gg' - g'g = [g, g']$ in $\mathrm{End}_k(\mathcal{F})$.

Any such map extends to a map $\mathfrak{g}^0 \rightarrow \mathrm{End}_k(\mathcal{F})$ and we observe that the induced map $\mathfrak{b}^0 \rightarrow \mathrm{End}_k(\mathcal{F})$ factors through $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{O}_{FL}}(\mathcal{F})$. We observe that if \mathfrak{u}^0 acts trivially, \mathcal{F} gets an action of the horizontal cartan \mathfrak{h}_{hor} .

Remark 1.2.1. When \mathfrak{b}^0 acts trivially, \mathcal{F} is a D -module. When only \mathfrak{u}^0 acts trivially and \mathfrak{h}_{hor} acts via a character λ , \mathcal{F} is a twisted D -module.

We let $\text{Coh}_{(\mathfrak{g},G)}(FL)$ be the category of (\mathfrak{g}, G) -equivariant coherent sheaves over FL . Its objects consist of a G -equivariant and \mathfrak{g} -equivariant coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} such that the map $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is G -equivariant.

We have a natural functor $\text{Coh}_G(FL) \rightarrow \text{Coh}_{(\mathfrak{g},G)}(FL)$, obtained by deriving the action of G .

Exercise 1.2.2. Prove that \mathfrak{u}^0 acts trivially on \mathcal{O}_{FL}^κ and that \mathfrak{h}_{hor} acts via $w_0\kappa$.

Exercise 1.2.3. Prove that $\text{Coh}_{(\mathfrak{g},G)}(FL)$ is equivalent to the category $\text{Rep}^f((\mathfrak{g}, B))$ consisting of a finite dimensional k vector space V equipped with actions of \mathfrak{g} and B , such that $(bgb^{-1}).v = b.g.b^{-1}.v$ for all $(g, b, v) \in \mathfrak{g} \times B \times V$.

Exercise 1.2.4. Prove that all the rank one objects of $\text{Coh}_{(\mathfrak{g},G)}(FL)$ are of the form $\mathcal{O}_{FL}^\kappa(\nu)$ where $\nu : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow k$ is a twist by a character of \mathfrak{g} .

We will also need to consider infinite dimensional sheaves.

Remark 1.2.5. There is no abelian category of quasi-coherent sheaves because localizations are not exact in this context (they involve a completion which is rarely exact). There is a derived category of quasi-coherent sheaves defined using the solid formalism. We will below introduce certain exact categories of topological sheaves.

We let $\text{Ban}_{\mathfrak{g}}(FL)$ be the category whose objects are topological sheaves \mathcal{F} of \mathcal{O}_{FL} endowed with an action of \mathfrak{g} by derivations as before and such that the following holds : there is a covering $\{U_i\}$ such that $\mathcal{F}|_{U_i} = \mathcal{O}_{U_i} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V_i$ for an orthonormalisable Banach space V_i , and there are integers n_i such that the action of \mathfrak{g} integrates to an action of $G_{n_i} = \{g \in G, g \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{n_i}}\}$ of $\mathcal{F}|_{U_i}$.

Remark 1.2.6. Without loss of generality, we can assume that U_i is affinoid, stable under G_{n_i} . The action is given by a co-module map $\mathcal{F}(U_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U_i) \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_{U_i}} \mathcal{O}_{G_{n_i}}$. This last condition hides a smallness condition. Let $\mathcal{F}(U_i)^+$ be an \mathcal{O}_k -lattice. Then, after possibly increasing n_i , we can assume that $\mathcal{F}(U_i)^+$ is stable by G_{n_i} , and the action on $\mathcal{F}(U_i)^+/p$ is trivial.

Let $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Ban}_{\mathfrak{g}}(FL)$. We can consider the \mathfrak{u}^0 -cohomology of \mathcal{F} , defined as the cohomology of the complex of amplitude $[0, 1]$:

$$\text{R}\Gamma(\mathfrak{u}^0, \mathcal{F}) = [\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \otimes (\mathfrak{u}^0)^\vee].$$

We let $\mathcal{F}^{\mathfrak{u}^0}$ be the H^0 of this complex. We let $\text{Coh}_{\mathfrak{g}}(FL)^{\mathfrak{u}^0}$, $\text{Ban}_{\mathfrak{g}}(FL)^{\mathfrak{u}^0}$ be the subcategories of objects killed by \mathfrak{u}_0 .

Example 1.2.7. We consider the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{FL} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{O}_{G_n}$. It is the sheaf of functions on $G_n \times FL$. A section of this sheaf is thus $f(g, x)$ with $g \in G_n$, and $x \in FL$. We have three actions :

- (1) $g' \star_1 f(g, x) = f((g')^{-1}g, x)$ for $g' \in G_n$,
- (2) $g' \star_2 f(g, x) = f(gg', x)$ for $g' \in G_n$,
- (3) $g' \star_3 f(g, x) = f(g, xg')$ for $g' \in G$.

Using the composite $\star_1 \star_3$, this becomes a \mathfrak{g} -equivariant sheaf over FL . We let $\mathcal{C}^{n-an} = (\mathcal{O}_{FL} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{O}_{G_n})^{\mathfrak{u}^0}$. Concretely, a section of \mathcal{C}^{n-an} is a function $f(g, x)$ which satisfies $f(n_x g, x) = f(g, x)$ for $n_x \in x^{-1}Ux \cap G_n$. There is also an action \star_2 of \mathfrak{g} commuting with the $\star_1 \star_3$ -action. We let $\mathcal{C}^{la} = \text{colim}_n \mathcal{C}^{n-an}$. This sheaf carries an action $\star_1 \star_2 \star_3$ of G and is thus an object of $\text{LBan}_{(\mathfrak{g},G)}(FL)$. It also has a \star_2 action of \mathfrak{g} .

Remark 1.2.8. The sheaf \mathcal{C}^{la} can be seen as an infinite jet space over FL .

1.3. (\mathfrak{g}, B) -equivariant sheaves on Bruhat strata. We now consider (\mathfrak{g}, B) -equivariant sheaves on Bruhat strata.

Proposition 1.3.1. (1) For all $\kappa \in X^*(T)_k$, we have a rank one (\mathfrak{g}, B) -equivariant sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^1}^\kappa$ over \mathbb{A}^1 . The horizontal Cartan acts via $w_0\kappa$.
 (2) For all $\kappa \in X^*(T)_k$, we have a rank one (\mathfrak{g}, B) -equivariant sheaf $\mathcal{O}_\infty^\kappa$ over $\{\infty\}^\dagger$ ¹. The horizontal Cartan acts via $w_0\kappa$.
 (3) They are the only rank one objects of $\text{Coh}_{(\mathfrak{g}, B)}(\mathbb{A}^1)$ and $\text{Coh}_{(\mathfrak{g}, B)}(\{\infty\}^\dagger)$, up to twist by a character of T .
 (4) If $\kappa \in X^*(T)$, then $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^1}^\kappa(\kappa)$ and $\mathcal{O}_\infty^\kappa(w_0\kappa)$ glue to \mathcal{O}_{FL}^κ .

Proof. We sketch the construction of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^1}^\kappa$. We have a map $\pi : \hat{U} \backslash \hat{B}w_0U \rightarrow \hat{B} \backslash \hat{B}w_0U$. This map is a left \hat{T} -torsor. We have a right action of \hat{G} and also an adjoint action of B . We let $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^1}^\kappa = \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\hat{U} \backslash \hat{B}w_0U}[-w_0\kappa]$ (the bracket means sections $f(x)$ such that $f(\hat{t}x) = -w_0\kappa(\hat{t})f(x)$). This belongs to $\text{Coh}_{(\mathfrak{g}, B)}(\mathbb{A}^1)$. \square

2. GEOMETRIC SEN THEORY

2.1. Modular curves and the Hodge-Tate period map. Let $K = K_p K^p \subseteq G(\mathbb{A}_f)$ be a compact open subgroup. Let $X_K \rightarrow \text{Spa}(\mathbb{C}_p, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p})$ be the compactified modular curve of level K . We let E be the universal semi-abelian scheme.

Let $X_{K^p} = \lim X_{K_p K^p}$ be the perfectoid modular curve of level K^p . It carries an action of $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. The (complete) structural sheaf is $\mathcal{O}_{X_{K^p}}$.

We have a diagram ([Sch15]) :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & X_{K^p} & \\ \swarrow \pi_{K_p} & & \searrow \pi_{HT} \\ X_{K_p K^p} & & FL \end{array}$$

Here π_{HT} is the $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -equivariant map is defined by the property that

$$\pi_{HT}^*(0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{FL}^{(-1;1)} \rightarrow St \otimes \mathcal{O}_{FL} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{FL}^{(1;1)} \rightarrow 0) = 0 \rightarrow \omega_E^{-1} \rightarrow T_p E \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_{K^p}} \rightarrow \omega_{E^t} \rightarrow 0$$

The structural sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{X_{K^p}}$ contains the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{X_{K^p}}^{sm}$ of smooth vectors for the action of $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. It has the description $\mathcal{O}_{X_{K^p}}^{sm} = \text{colim} \pi_{K_p}^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{X_{K_p K^p}}$.

2.2. The functor VB . We now consider a functor

$$\begin{aligned} VB : \text{Ban}_{\mathfrak{g}}(FL) &\rightarrow \text{Mod}(\mathcal{O}_{X_{K^p}}^{sm}) \\ \mathcal{F} &\mapsto (\pi_{HT}^* \mathcal{F})^{sm} \end{aligned}$$

This functor is thus constructed in two steps. First we consider $\pi_{HT}^* \mathcal{F} = \pi_{HT}^{-1} \mathcal{F} \hat{\otimes}_{\pi_{HT}^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{FL}} \mathcal{O}_{X_{K^p}}$ which is a Banach sheaf over $\mathcal{O}_{X_{K^p}}$. We have $(\pi_{HT}^* \mathcal{F})^{sm} = \text{colim}_{K_p} H^0(K_p, \pi_{HT}^* \mathcal{F})$ where the action of any small enough K_p is well defined on the sections of $\pi_{HT}^* \mathcal{F}$ over any given affinoid subspace.

Remark 2.2.1. This functor can be enriched to a functor : $VB : \text{Ban}_{(\mathfrak{g}, G)}(FL) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{G(\mathbb{Q}_p)}(\mathcal{O}_{X_{K^p}}^{sm})$ and even $VB : \text{LBan}_{(\mathfrak{g}, G)}(FL) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{G(\mathbb{Q}_p)}(\mathcal{O}_{X_{K^p}}^{sm})$.

Remark 2.2.2. We also have variants over Bruhat strata.

¹ $\{\infty\}^\dagger$ is the space $\{\infty\}$, equipped with the sheaf (in fact module) $\mathcal{O}_{FL, \{\infty\}}$

2.3. Geometric Sen theory.

2.3.1. The main theorem.

Theorem 2.3.1 ([Pan22], [Cam22]). (1) We have that $VB(\mathcal{F}) = \text{colim}_{K_p} H^0(K_p, (H^0(\mathfrak{u}^0, \pi_{HT}^* \mathcal{F})))$
 (2) If $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Ban}_{\mathfrak{g}}(FL)^{\mathfrak{u}_0}$, then

$$VB(\mathcal{F}) \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_{X_{K^p}}^{sm}} \mathcal{O}_{X_{K^p}} = \mathcal{F} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_{FL}} \mathcal{O}_{X_{K^p}}$$

Remark 2.3.2. More precisely, for all small enough K_p , there is a Banach sheaf $VB(\mathcal{F})_{K_p}$ over $X_{K_p K^p}$ such that

$$VB(\mathcal{F})_{K_p} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_{X_{K^p K_p}}} \mathcal{O}_{X_{K^p}} = \mathcal{F} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_{FL}} \mathcal{O}_{X_{K^p}}.$$

This isomorphism is K_p -equivariant. We have $VB(\mathcal{F}) = \text{colim}_{K_p} VB(\mathcal{F})_{K_p}$.

Remark 2.3.3. We thus think of objects in $\text{Ban}_{\mathfrak{g}}(FL)^{\mathfrak{u}_0}$ as admissible objects.

Remark 2.3.4. If $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Coh}_{\mathfrak{g}}(FL)$, we prove in [Pil22] that $R^i VB(\mathcal{F}) = VB(H^i(\mathfrak{u}_0, \mathcal{F}))$. There is also a formula in this spirit in for Banach sheaves, see [Cam22].

Remark 2.3.5. We can give a heuristic explanation for the fact that one needs to consider objects killed by \mathfrak{u}^0 . The pro-étale descent along $\pi_{K_p} : X_{K^p} \rightarrow X_{K^p K_p}$ is not effective because this tower is very ramified integrally (indeed, X_{K^p} is a perfectoid space). Basically one is trying to factor the map π_{K^p} into $X_{K^p} \rightarrow X_{K^p}/\mathfrak{u}^0 \rightarrow X_{K^p K_p}$ where the second map is of effective descent. An object of $\text{Ban}_{\mathfrak{g}}(FL)^{\mathfrak{u}_0}$ pulls back to X_{K^p}/\mathfrak{u}^0 and descends then to $X_{K^p K_p}$. One can give a meaning to this factorization over $\pi_{HT}^{-1}(\infty)$. Note that $\mathfrak{u}^0|_{\infty} = \mathfrak{u}$. Let us assume that $K_p = G(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ and let $X_{K^p G(\mathbb{Z}_p)}^{ord}$ be the ordinary locus. Let $IG \rightarrow X_{K^p G(\mathbb{Z}_p)}^{ord}$ be the Igusa tower. This is a $T(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ -torsor of trivialization of $T_p(E)^{et}$ and $T_p(E)^m$. There is a lift Φ of Frobenius on IG . Let $IG^{perf} = \lim_{\Phi} IG$. Then $\pi_{HT}^{-1}(\infty)$ is the closure of IG^{perf} and $IG = IG^{perf}/U(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. The map $IG^{perf} \rightarrow IG$ is integrally a perfectization, hence is very ramified integrally. The map $IG \rightarrow X_{K^p G(\mathbb{Z}_p)}^{ord}$ extends to a $T(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ -torsor integrally. It is thus of effective pro-étale descent.

2.3.2. A few words about the proof. The proof of the theorem proceeds in roughly two steps. First, one produces locally over X_{K^p} a geometric Sen operator $\Theta_{\text{Sen, geom}} \in \text{End}(\pi_{HT}^* \mathcal{F})$ which has the property that $VB(\mathcal{F}) = H^0(K_p, (H^0(\mathfrak{u}^0, \pi_{HT}^* \mathcal{F})))$. This uses Sen theory as formulated in [BC16] and [BC08]. We then need to identify this operator as a generator of the image of \mathfrak{u}^0 in $\text{End}(\pi_{HT}^* \mathcal{F})$. One checks this in the case of Faltings' extension (see 2.3.4) and deduce it in general.

2.3.3. Special case of the theorem : sheaves of modular forms. We can apply the theorem to the sheaves $\mathcal{O}_{FL}^{\kappa} \in \text{Coh}_{(\mathfrak{g}, G)}(FL)$. We let $VB(\mathcal{O}_{FL}^{\kappa}) = \omega^{\kappa, sm}$.

Lemma 2.3.6. We have $\text{colim} \omega_{K_p}^{\kappa}$ where $\omega_{K_p}^{\kappa}$ is the sheaf of weight κ modular forms over $X_{K_p K^p}$.

Proof. Let us justify this in the case that $\kappa = (1; 1)$ (the general case follows easily). By construction of π_{HT} , we have $\pi_{HT}^* \mathcal{O}_{FL}^{(1;1)} = \pi_{K_p}^* \omega_{Et}$. \square

We can consider $R\Gamma(X_{K^p}, \omega^{\kappa, sm})$. This is a complex of smooth $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -representation, whose H^0 computes classical modular forms.

2.3.4. Special case of the theorem : Faltings' extension. We have $H^0(\mathfrak{u}^0, St \otimes \mathcal{O}_{FL}) = \mathcal{O}_{FL}^{(-1;1)}$, and $H^1(\mathfrak{u}^0, St \otimes \mathcal{O}_{FL}) = \mathcal{O}_{FL}^{(1;1)} \otimes (\mathfrak{u}^0)^{\vee}$.

The theorem is thus claiming that : $VB(St \otimes \mathcal{O}_{FL}) = \omega^{(-1;1), sm}$ and $R^1 VB(St \otimes \mathcal{O}_{FL}) = \omega^{(1;1), sm}$. This is actually a reformulation of some classical computations in p -adic Hodge theory. We have the following extension (called Faltings' extension, see [Sch13]) of pro-Kummer étale sheaves on $X_{K_p K^p}$:

$$0 \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{O}}(1) \rightarrow \text{gr}^1 \mathcal{O}_{dR}^+ \rightarrow \Omega_{X_{K^p K_p}}^1(\log(cusp)) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X_{K^p K^p}}} \hat{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow 0$$

This extension witnesses the fact that $\pi_{p\text{ket},an,\star}\hat{\mathcal{O}} = \mathcal{O}_{X_{K_p K_p}}$ and $R^1\pi_{p\text{ket},an,\star}\hat{\mathcal{O}}(1) = \Omega_{X_{K_p K_p}}^1 \log(\text{cusp})$ for $\pi_{p\text{ket},an} : X_{K_p K_p, p\text{ket}} \rightarrow X_{K_p K_p, an}$ the projection of sites.

We can evaluate $\text{gr}^1 \mathcal{O}_{dR}^+$ on X_{K_p} and we get an extension (on the analytic site of X_{K_p}) :

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_{K_p}}(1) \rightarrow FE \rightarrow \Omega_{X_{K_p K_p}}^1(\log(\text{cusp})) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X_{K_p K_p}}} \mathcal{O}_{X_{K_p}} \rightarrow 0.$$

Theorem 2.3.7 ([Fal87], [Sch13], [Pan22]). *We have the following isomorphism of exact sequences :*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{X_{K_p}} & \longrightarrow & T_p E \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}_{X_{K_p}} \otimes \omega^{(1;-1),sm} & \longrightarrow & \omega^{(1;1),sm} \otimes \omega^{(1;-1),sm} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow -KS \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{X_{K_p}} & \longrightarrow & FE & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{X_{K_p K_p}}^1(\log(\text{cusp})) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X_{K_p K_p}}} \mathcal{O}_{X_{K_p}} \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

This isomorphism together with the computation of the projection to the étale site of the Falting's extension recovers our theorem.

2.3.5. Locally analytic vectors. Let $n \geq 0$. Let $G_n \subseteq G$ be the analytic subgroup of elements which reduce to 1 modulo p^n . So $G_n(\mathbb{Q}_p) = 1 + p^n M_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. Let V be a \mathbb{Q}_p -banach space equipped with a continuous $G_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -representation. Then we have an orbit map $\text{orb} : V \rightarrow C^0(G_n(\mathbb{Q}_p), V) = C^0(G_n(\mathbb{Q}_p), \mathbb{Q}_p) \hat{\otimes} V$. And $V = C^0(G_n(\mathbb{Q}_p), V)^{G_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)}$ for the action $g' \star f(g) = g' f((g')^{-1}g)$.

Definition 2.3.8. We let $V^{n-an} = (\mathcal{O}_{G_n} \otimes V)^{G_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)}$ be the subset of V of analytic vectors. We let $V^{la} = \text{colim}_n V^{n-an}$ be the subset of locally analytic vectors.

Remark 2.3.9. *We have an action of \mathfrak{g} on V^{la} . Indeed let $v \in V^{n-an}$. Then we let $g.v = (g.\text{orb}(v))(1)$.*

By definition $\mathcal{O}_{X_{K_p}}^{la} = (\mathcal{O}_{G,1} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}_p} \mathcal{O}_{X_{K_p}})^{sm} = VB(\mathcal{O}_{G,1} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}_p} \mathcal{O}_{FL})$.

The theorem therefore reads :

Theorem 2.3.10 ([Pan22]). *We have $VB(C^{la}) = \mathcal{O}_{X_{K_p}}^{la}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{X_{K_p}}^{la} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_{X_{K_p}}^{sm}} \mathcal{O}_{X_{K_p}} = C^{la} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_{FL}} \mathcal{O}_{X_{K_p}}$.*

Corollary 2.3.11. *The action of \mathfrak{g} on $\mathcal{O}_{X_{K_p}}^{la}$ induces an action of \mathfrak{g}^0 on $\mathcal{O}_{X_{K_p}}^{la}$ and the induced action of \mathfrak{u}^0 is trivial.*

2.4. The arithmetic Sen operator. Let L be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p . We let $X_{K_p K_p, L}$ be the modular curve defined over L , and let $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Ban}_{\mathfrak{g}}(FL_L)^{u^0}$. By construction, we see that $VB(\mathcal{F})$ carries a semi-linear action of G_L . We can ask whether $VB(\mathcal{F})$ descends to a sheaf $VB(\mathcal{F})_{L'}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{X_{K_p, L'}}^{sm}$ -modules for some finite extension L' of L .

Theorem 2.4.1. *We have that $\text{diag}(1, 0) \in \mathfrak{h}_{hor}$, acting on $VB(\mathcal{F})$ is an arithmetic Sen operator $\Theta_{sen, arith}$. If it vanishes, then $VB(\mathcal{F})$ descends to a sheaf $VB(\mathcal{F})_L$.*

Remark 2.4.2. *More generally, the action of $\Theta_{sen, arith}$ of $VB(\mathcal{F})$ exponentiates to an action of a subgroup $\Gamma_{L'}$ of \mathbb{Z}_p^\times . Let L' be the extension of L with the property that $\chi_{cycl}(G_{L'}) \subseteq \Gamma_{L'}$. If we twist the action of $G_{L'}$ on $VB(\mathcal{F})$, using the projection to $\Gamma_{L'}$, we force the vanishing of the Sen operator and the sheaf $VB(\mathcal{F})$ descends to $VB(\mathcal{F})_{L'}$.*

3. OVERCONVERGENT MODULAR FORMS

3.0.1. Sheaves of overconvergent modular forms. For all $\kappa \in X^*(T)_{\mathbb{C}_p}$, we have defined in proposition 1.3.1, sheaves $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^1}^\kappa$ and $\mathcal{O}_\infty^\kappa$. These sheaves have vanishing geometric Sen operator. By geometric Sen theory, we thus get $B(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -equivariant sheaves : $\omega_\infty^{\kappa, sm}$ on $\pi_{HT}^{-1}(\infty)$ and $\omega_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{\kappa, sm}$ on $\pi_{HT}^{-1}(\mathbb{A}^1)$. Let us call $H_{Id}^0(\kappa) = H^0(\pi_{HT}^{-1}(\infty), \omega_\infty^{\kappa, sm})$ and $(H_{w_0}^1)(\kappa) = H_c^1(\pi_{HT}^{-1}(\mathbb{A}^1), \omega_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{\kappa, sm})$.

Proposition 3.0.1. *The LB spaces $H_{Id}^0(\kappa)$ and $H_{w_0}^1(\kappa)$ are locally analytic representations of $B(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. The action of \mathfrak{b} is via $-w_0\kappa$ and $-\kappa$ respectively.*

3.0.2. *The Cousin complex.* The following exact sequence is a first justification for considering support conditions in our cohomologies:

Proposition 3.0.2. *Let $\kappa \in X^*(T)$. Then we have a long exact sequence of $B(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -smooth representations :*

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(X_{K^p} \omega^{\kappa, sm}) \rightarrow H_{Id}^0(\kappa) \otimes \mathbb{C}_p(w_0\kappa) \rightarrow H_{w_0}^1(\kappa) \otimes \mathbb{C}_p(\kappa) \rightarrow H^1(X_{K^p}, \omega^{\kappa, sm}) \rightarrow 0$$

Proof. Let $j : \pi_{HT}^{-1}(\mathbb{A}^1) \hookrightarrow X_{K^p}$ be the open immersion and $i : \pi_{HT}^{-1}(\{\infty\}) \hookrightarrow X_{K^p}$ be the closed immersion. Then we have an exact sequence :

$$0 \rightarrow j!j^* \omega_{FL}^{\kappa, sm} \rightarrow \omega_{FL}^{\kappa, sm} \rightarrow i_* i^{-1} \omega_{FL}^{\kappa, sm} \rightarrow 0.$$

□

3.0.3. *Higher Coleman theory.* A reference is [BP20]. Let $\nu : T(\mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p^\times$ be a continuous character. Let $\kappa = \log(\nu) \in X^*(T)_{\mathbb{C}_p}$. We let $M_{Id, \nu} = (H_{Id}^0(\kappa) \otimes \mathbb{C}_p(w_0\nu))^{B(\mathbb{Z}_p)}$ and $M_{w_0, \nu} = (H_{Id}^1(\kappa) \otimes \mathbb{C}_p(\nu))^{B(\mathbb{Z}_p)}$.

Proposition 3.0.3. *The spaces $M_{Id, \nu}$ and $M_{w_0, \nu}$ have an action of $U_p = B(\mathbb{Z}_p) \text{diag}(1, p^{-1}) B(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. The U_p -operator is compact and all the eigenvalues for U_p on $M_{Id, \nu}$ have slope ≥ 1 , all the eigenvalues for U_p on $M_{w_0, \nu}$ have slope ≥ 0 .*

Corollary 3.0.4. *Let $\kappa = (k; -k) \in X^*(T)$. If $k > 1$, any class in $M_{Id, \kappa}$ of slope $< k - 1$ is classical. If $k < 1$, any class in $M_{w_0, \kappa}$ of slope $< 1 - k$ is classical.*

3.0.4. *p-adic families.* We can also construct p-adic families. Let \mathscr{W} be the character space of $T(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. Let ν^{univ} be the universal character. We have a logarithm map : $\log : \mathscr{W} \rightarrow X^*(T)_{\mathbb{C}_p}$. Let $\kappa^{univ} = \log(\nu^{univ})$. We can define families of overconvergent modular forms by taking $(\log^* H_{Id}^0(\kappa^{univ}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{W}}(-w_0\nu^{univ}))^{B(\mathbb{Z}_p)} = M_{Id, \nu^{univ}}$ and $(\log^* H_{w_0}^1(\nu^{univ}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{W}}(-\nu^{univ}))^{B(\mathbb{Z}_p)} = M_{w_0, \nu^{univ}}$.

Proposition 3.0.5. *$M_{Id, \nu^{univ}}$ and $M_{w_0, \nu^{univ}}$ define sheaf of LBan-modules over \mathscr{W} (which are locally of the form $\mathcal{O}_{U_i} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}_p} V_i$ for V_i an inductive limit of orthonormalizable Banach module).*

REFERENCES

- [BC08] Laurent Berger and Pierre Colmez, *Familles de représentations de de Rham et monodromie p-adique*, Astérisque (2008), no. 319, 303–337, Représentations p-adiques de groupes p-adiques. I. Représentations galoisiennes et (ϕ, Γ) -modules. ↑ 4
- [BC16] ———, *Théorie de Sen et vecteurs localement analytiques*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) **49** (2016), no. 4, 947–970. ↑ 4
- [BP20] George Boxer and Vincent Pilloni, *Higher hida and coleman theories on the modular curves*, preprint, 2020. ↑ 6
- [Cam22] J. E. Rodríguez Camargo, *Locally analytic completed cohomology*, 2022. ↑ 4
- [Fal87] Gerd Faltings, *Hodge-Tate structures and modular forms*, Math. Ann. **278** (1987), no. 1-4, 133–149. ↑ 5
- [Pan22] Lue Pan, *On locally analytic vectors of the completed cohomology of modular curves*, Forum Math. Pi **10** (2022), Paper No. e7, 82. ↑ 4, 5
- [Pil22] Vincent Pilloni, *Faisceaux équivariants sur \mathbb{P}^1 et faisceaux automorphes*, preprint, 2022. ↑ 4
- [Sch13] Peter Scholze, *p-adic Hodge theory for rigid-analytic varieties*, Forum Math. Pi **1** (2013), e1, 77. ↑ 4, 5
- [Sch15] ———, *On torsion in the cohomology of locally symmetric varieties*, Ann. of Math. (2) **182** (2015), no. 3, 945–1066. ↑ 3