DERIVED INVARIANTS FOR SURFACE CUT ALGEBRAS II: THE PUNCTURED CASE

CLAIRE AMIOT, DANIEL LABARDINI-FRAGOSO, AND PIERRE-GUY PLAMONDON

Abstract. For each algebra of global dimension 2 arising from the quiver with potential associated to a triangulation of an unpunctured surface, Amiot-Grimeland have defined an integer-valued function on the first singular homology group of the surface, and have proved that two such algebras of global dimension 2 are derived equivalent precisely when there exists an automorphism of the surface that makes their associated functions coincide.

In the present paper we generalize the constructions and results of Amiot-Grimeland to the setting of arbitrarily punctured surfaces. As an application, we show that there always is a derived equivalence between any two algebras of global dimension 2 arising from the quivers with potential of (valency $\geq 2$) triangulations of arbitrarily punctured polygons.

While in the unpunctured case the quiver with potential of any triangulation admits cuts yielding algebras of global dimension at most 2, in the case of punctured surfaces the QPs of some triangulations do not admit cuts, and even when they do, the global dimension of the corresponding degree-0 algebra may exceed 2. In this paper we give a combinatorial characterization of each of these two situations.
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1. Introduction

The aim of this paper is to study derived equivalences for a class of finite-dimensional algebras defined from triangulations of punctured surfaces with boundary.

The algebras we consider in this paper arose from the connections between Fomin and Zelevinsky’s cluster algebras [23] and two subjects: triangulations of Riemann surfaces and representation theory of algebras.

On one hand, given a triangulation of an orientable surface (possibly with boundary) with marked points, one can consider the adjacency quiver of this triangulation. It was proved in [22] that the combinatorics of the flips of the triangulation are closely related to the combinatorics of mutation in the cluster algebra associated to the quiver.

On the other hand, it was discovered in [11] that the representations of a quiver allow us to explicitly recover the generators of cluster algebras. The most general results are obtained by considering quivers with potentials (as introduced in [21]); the combinatorics of the associated cluster algebra is then encoded in a triangulated category, the generalized cluster category, defined by the first author in [1].

These two approaches to cluster algebras can be linked in the following way: to the quiver of a triangulated surface, the second author associated a potential in [32] (see also [14] and [7]), and proved, in broad terms, that the combinatorics of the two approaches agree.

The process of mutation in the cluster category bears many similarities to tilting theory; in particular, it was proved in [8] that to any tilted algebra given by a quiver with relations, one can associate a cluster-tilted algebra defined by a quiver with potential; the new quiver is obtained from the original one by adding arrows.

Surface cut algebras (or surface algebras), introduced in [20], are defined by the inverse process of removing arrows from a quiver with potential arising from a triangulation of a surface. More precisely, let \((Q(\tau), S(\tau))\) be the quiver with potential associated to a triangulation \(\tau\) of a marked surface \((\Sigma, M)\). Let \(d\) be a degree map assigning degree 0 or 1 to each arrow of \(Q(\tau)\), in such a way that the potential \(S(\tau)\) is homogeneous of degree 1. Such a degree map is called a cut. The surface cut algebra \(\Lambda(\tau, d)\) is the degree zero subalgebra of the Jacobian algebra of \((Q(\tau), S(\tau))\), provided it has global dimension 2.

Equivalently, the cut algebra is obtained from the Jacobian algebra by quotienting by the ideal generated by all arrows of degree 1 (in other words, by “removing” these arrows), hence the similarity with the process in [8] where arrows are added to tilted algebras.

Since tilted algebras of a given type are derived equivalent, it becomes natural to ask whether surface cut algebras arising from the same marked surface are also derived equivalent. Our main result characterizes the cases where this is true.

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 5.3). Let \((\Sigma, M)\) be a surface with non-empty boundary. Let \(\Lambda = \Lambda(\tau, d)\) and \(\Lambda' = \Lambda(\tau', d')\) be surface cut algebras associated with valency \(\geq 2\)-triangulations \(\tau\) and \(\tau'\) of \((\Sigma, M)\), with respective admissible cuts \(d\) and \(d'\). Then the following statements are equivalent:

1. there is a triangle equivalence \(\mathcal{D}^b(\Lambda) \cong \mathcal{D}^b(\Lambda')\);
2. there exists an orientation preserving homeomorphism \(\Phi: \Sigma \to \Sigma\) with \(\Phi(M) = M\) such that for any closed curve \(\gamma\), \(d(\gamma) = d'\left(\Phi(\gamma)\right)\).

This generalizes results obtained in [19] (for unpunctured spheres and \(\tau = \tau'\)) and in [8] (for unpunctured surfaces in general).

The proof of the main theorem relies heavily on the cluster category defined in [1] for algebras of global dimension 2. For this reason, the assumption that the surface cut algebras have global dimension 2 is essential. While not all algebras constructed in this way have this property, we prove the following
Derivation Invariants for Surface Cut Algebras: The Punctured Case

Section 1: Introduction

In this section, we introduce the concept of cut algebras and their graded invariants. We also discuss the relationship between cut algebras and derived equivalences.

Proposition 1.2 (Corollary 2.4): Any marked surface with non-empty boundary admits a triangulation and a cut such that the resulting cut algebra has global dimension 2.

Finally, we show that triangulations of punctured surfaces do not necessarily admit admissible cuts, which contrasts with the situation in the unpunctured case.

Proposition 1.3 (Corollary 6.8 and Proposition 6.9):
- If $(\Sigma, M)$ has empty boundary and is not a sphere with less than 5 punctures, and if $\tau$ is an ideal triangulation of $(\Sigma, M)$ for which every puncture has valency at least 3, then $(Q(\tau), S(\tau))$ admits no admissible cuts.
- If $(\Sigma, M)$ has non-empty boundary and at least one puncture, then there exist triangulations of $(\Sigma, M)$ whose associated quivers with potential do not admit admissible cuts.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall the different notions of gradings and graded equivalences of algebras that we will need. We also discuss mutation of graded quivers. In Section 3, we define a complex whose homology is isomorphic to that of the surface, and which will allow us to evaluate gradings at curves on the surface. We also discuss graded flips of triangulations. In Section 4, we prove a certain compatibility between mutation of graded quivers and graded flips of triangulations. In Section 5, we state and prove our main theorem on derived equivalences of surface cut algebras. Section 6 is devoted to the existence (or not) of admissible cuts, and Section 7 to the computation of the global dimension of cut algebras.

2. Gradings and Mutation

In this section we recall the notions of graded equivalence of graded algebras, graded mutation of graded quivers, and graded mutations of graded quivers with potential. Graded mutations of graded quivers will be the combinatorial counterpart of graded mutations of graded quivers with potential. We will see that if the quiver $Q$ of a graded quiver $(Q, d)$ admits a non-degenerate potential which is homogeneous of degree 1 with respect to $d$, then it is possible to apply to $(Q, d)$ any finite sequence of graded mutations of graded quivers without the need of mutating potentials along the way.

2.1. Graded equivalence.

Definition 2.1. Let $Q = (Q_0, Q_1, h, t)$ be a quiver. A grading on $Q$ is any function $d : Q_1 \to \mathbb{Z}$.

Let $Q$ be a quiver and $d$ be a grading on $Q$. As it is customary, for a positive-length path $a_\ell \ldots a_1$ on $Q$ we define $d(a_\ell \ldots a_1) = d(a_\ell) + \ldots + d(a_1)$, and set $d(e_i) = 0$ for every length-0 path $e_i$. Letting $C(Q)_{\ell}$ (resp. $C(Q)_{\ell}$) be the $C$-vector subspace that consists of the $C$-linear combinations (resp. the possibly infinite $C$-linear combinations) of paths that have a given degree $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ with respect to $d$, we see that $d$ induces a $\mathbb{Z}$-grading on the algebras

\begin{equation}
\mathbb{C}(Q) = \bigoplus_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} C(Q)_{\ell} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{C}(Q) = \prod_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} C(Q)_{\ell}.
\end{equation}

We will say that $d$ is a grading on $C(Q)$ and $C(Q)$, despite the fact that the decomposition of $C(Q)$ in (2.1) is as a direct product and not as a direct sum.

Remark 2.2. Let $Q$ be a quiver, $d$ a grading on $Q$, and $I$ an ideal of $C(Q)$ which is homogeneous with respect to $d$. Then the topological closure $T$ of $I$ in $\mathbb{C}(Q)$ is homogenous in the sense that $T = \prod_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} (C(Q)_{\ell} \cap T)$; hence there are decompositions

\begin{equation}
\mathbb{C}(Q)/I = \bigoplus_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} (C(Q)_{\ell}/(C(Q)_{\ell} \cap I)) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{C}(Q)/T = \prod_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} (C(Q)_{\ell}/(C(Q)_{\ell} \cap T)).
\end{equation}
and the inclusion $\mathbb{C} \langle Q \rangle \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C} \langle Q \rangle / I$ induces a degree-preserving $\mathbb{C}$-algebra homomorphism $\mathbb{C} \langle Q \rangle / I \to \mathbb{C} \langle Q \rangle / T$. If $I$ is an admissible ideal of $\mathbb{C} \langle Q \rangle$ and this algebra homomorphism happens to be an isomorphism, then $\mathbb{C} \langle Q \rangle / (\mathbb{C} \langle Q \rangle / I \cap T) = 0$ for $|\ell| \gg 0$ and $(\mathbb{C} \langle Q \rangle / (\mathbb{C} \langle Q \rangle / I \cap T)) = \mathbb{C} \langle Q \rangle / (\mathbb{C} \langle Q \rangle / I \cap T)$ for all $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$, hence the decomposition of $\mathbb{C} \langle Q \rangle$ given in 2.2 becomes

$$\mathbb{C} \langle Q \rangle / T = \bigoplus_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathbb{C} \langle Q \rangle / (\mathbb{C} \langle Q \rangle / I \cap T)) = \bigoplus_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathbb{C} \langle Q \rangle / (\mathbb{C} \langle Q \rangle / I \cap T)).$$

Let $d'$ be a grading on $Q'$ and $I'$ be an ideal of $\mathbb{C} \langle Q' \rangle$ which is homogenous with respect to $d'$. In a slight abuse, we will say that $\varphi : (\mathbb{C} \langle Q \rangle / T, d) \to (\mathbb{C} \langle Q' \rangle / T, d')$ is a morphism of graded algebras if $\varphi$ is an algebra morphism which sends homogenous elements of degree $\ell$ with respect to $d$ to homogenous elements of degree $\ell$ with respect to $d'$.

**Definition 2.3.** Let $Q = (Q_0, Q_1, h, t)$ and $Q' = (Q'_0, Q'_1, h', t')$ be quivers, $d : Q_1 \to \mathbb{Z}$ (resp. $d' : Q'_1 \to \mathbb{Z}$) be a grading on $Q$ (resp. $Q'$), and $I$ (resp. $I'$) be an admissible ideal of $\mathbb{C} \langle Q \rangle$ (resp. $\mathbb{C} \langle Q' \rangle$), homogeneous with respect to the grading $d$ (resp. $d'$), so that $d$ (resp. $d'$) induces a grading on the quotient algebra $\Lambda = \mathbb{C} \langle Q \rangle / I$ (resp. $\Lambda' = \mathbb{C} \langle Q' \rangle / I'$), grading which we will still denote $d$ (resp. $d'$). The graded algebras $(\Lambda, d)$ and $(\Lambda', d')$ are said to be graded equivalent if there exists a tuple of integers $(r_i)_{i \in Q_0}$ and an isomorphism of graded algebras

$$\Lambda' \cong \bigoplus_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_{\text{gr} \Lambda} P_i(r_i) \bigoplus_{j \in Q_0} P_j(r_j + p),$$

where $P_i(r_i)$ is the indecomposable graded projective $\Lambda$-module associated to the vertex $i \in Q_0$ shifted by $r_i$. If $Q = Q'$ and $I = I'$ and if the isomorphism of algebras (forgetting the grading) is the identity, then we say that $\Lambda$ and $\Lambda'$ are graded equivalent via the identity.

Note that by [24, Theorem 5.3], $(\Lambda, d)$ and $(\Lambda', d')$ are graded equivalent if and only if there is an equivalence $\text{gr} \Lambda \simeq \text{gr} \Lambda'$ between the categories of finitely generated graded modules.

**Definition 2.4.** Let $Q = (Q_0, Q_1, h, t)$ be a quiver. Two gradings $d_1$ and $d_2$ on $Q$ are equivalent if there exists a function $r : Q_0 \to \mathbb{Z}$ such that $d_1(a) = d_2(a) + r(h(a)) - r(t(a))$ for every $a \in Q_1$.

**Remark 2.5.** Two graded algebras $(\Lambda, d)$ and $(\Lambda', d')$ are graded equivalent if and only if there is an isomorphism of quivers $\phi : Q \to Q'$ of quivers sending $I$ to $I'$ and such that $d$ and $d' \circ \phi$ are equivalent gradings on $Q$.

### 2.2. Graded mutations of graded quivers

In [3, §6.3], Amiot-Oppermann refine Derksen-Weyman-Zelevinsky’s definition [21] of mutations of quivers with potential by defining a graded version. A careful look at the referred subsection of [5] shows that if a 2-acyclic quiver $Q$ and a grading $d$ on it are fixed, then the sole knowledge of existence of a non-degenerate potential $S \in \mathbb{C} \langle Q \rangle$ of degree 1 with respect to $d$ allows to perform any sequence of graded mutations on the pair $(Q, d)$ purely combinatorially, without having to apply the corresponding mutations at the level of potentials. The aim of this subsection is to explicitly describe graded quiver mutations as a combinatorial counterpart of graded mutations of graded QPs which should be thought to be analogous to how ordinary quiver mutations are the combinatorial counterpart of Derksen-Weyman-Zelevinsky’s mutations of QPs.

**Definition 2.6.** [3] Let $(Q, d)$ be a pair consisting of a 2-acyclic quiver and a grading $d : Q_1 \to \mathbb{Z}$. For $k \in Q_0$, let $\widehat{\mu}^k_\ell(Q, d) := (\widehat{\mu}^k_\ell(Q), \widehat{\mu}^k_\ell(d))$ be defined as follows:

1. $\widehat{\mu}^k_\ell(Q)$ is the quiver obtained from $Q$ by applying the following two-step procedure:
   - For each pair of arrows $j \xrightarrow{a} k \xleftarrow{b} i$, add a composite arrow $j \xrightarrow{[ab]} i$;
   - replace every arrow $a$ incident to $k$ with an arrow $a^*$ going in the opposite direction;
(2) $\tilde{\mu}_k^L(d)$ is the grading $\tilde{d}$ on $\tilde{\mu}_k^L(Q)$ defined by

- $\tilde{d}(a) = d(a)$ for any arrow $a \in Q_1 \cap \mu_k^L(Q)$;
- $\tilde{d}([ab]) = d(a) + d(b)$ for every composite arrow $[ab]$ of $\mu_k^L(Q)$;
- $\tilde{d}(a^*) = -d(a)$ for every arrow $a$ of $Q$ satisfying $t(a) = k$;
- $\tilde{d}(b^*) = 1 - d(b)$ for every arrow $b$ of $Q$ with the property that $h(b) = k$.

If the quiver obtained from $\mu_k^L(Q)$ by removing a maximal collection of disjoint degree-1 cycles of length 2 happens to be 2-acyclic, then we denote it $\mu_k^L(Q)$, and call the pair $\mu_k^L(Q,d) := (\mu_k^L(Q),\mu_k^L(d))$ the left graded mutation of $(Q,d)$ with respect to $k$, where $\mu_k^L(d)$ is the grading on $\mu_k^L(Q)$ obtained by restricting $\mu_k^L(d)$ to $\mu_k^L(Q)_1$.

Remark 2.7. Note that if $\mu_k^L(Q)$ is defined, then:

1. Up to isomorphism of graded quivers, the pair $\mu_k^L(Q,d) := (\mu_k^L(Q),\mu_k^L(d))$ is independent of the maximal collection of disjoint degree-1 cycles of length 2 removed from $\mu_k^L(Q)$;
2. $\mu_k^L(Q)$ is isomorphic to the quiver obtained from $Q$ by ordinary quiver mutation, therefore we denote it by $\mu_k(Q)$ in the rest of the paper; and
3. an easy computation shows that the gradings $d$ and $\mu_k^L \circ \mu_k^L(d)$ are equivalent as gradings on $Q = \mu_k \circ \mu_k(Q)$.

Definition 2.8. A graded quiver with potential $(Q,S,d)$ (graded QP for short) is a quiver with potential $(Q,S)$ in the sense of [21] together with a grading on $Q$ making $S$ homogenous of degree 1. A graded right equivalence $\varphi : (Q,S,d) \rightarrow (Q',S',d')$ between two such graded QP with same vertex set $Q_0$ is a right equivalence $\varphi : (Q,S) \rightarrow (Q',S')$ in the sense [21] which is an isomorphism of graded algebras $(\mathbb{C}[Q],d) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}[Q'],d')$. We denote it by $(Q,S,d) \cong (Q',S',d')$. If $(Q,S,d)$ is a graded QP, the associated Jacobian algebra $\mathcal{P}(Q,S,d)$ (see [21] for definition), inherits a natural grading.

Proposition 2.9. Let $Q$ be a 2-acyclic quiver and $(Q,S,d)$ be a graded QP. Then for any vertex $k \in Q_0$:

1. the potential $\tilde{\mu}_k(S)$ is homogeneous of degree 1 with respect to $\tilde{\mu}_k^L(d)$;
2. there exist potentials $W_{\text{red}}$ and $W_{\text{triv}}$ and a graded right equivalence

$$\varphi : (\tilde{\mu}_k^L(Q),\tilde{\mu}_k(S),\tilde{\mu}_k^L(d)) \rightarrow (\mu_k(Q),W_{\text{red}},\tilde{\mu}_k^L(d)_{\mu_k(Q)}) \oplus (C,W_{\text{triv}},\tilde{\mu}_k^L(d)_{\mu_k(Q)})$$

where $C$ is the subquiver of $\tilde{\mu}_k^L(Q)$ such that $\tilde{\mu}_k^L(Q) = \mu_k(Q) \oplus C$, and where $(C,W_{\text{triv}})$ is a trivial QP.

Definition 2.10. We denote by $\mu_k^L(Q,S,d)$ the graded QP $(\mu_k(Q),W_{\text{red}},\tilde{\mu}_k^L(d)_{\mu_k(Q)})$.

As a consequence, if $(Q,S,d)$ is a 2-acyclic graded QP, and $S$ is non degenerate we have $\mu_k^L(Q,S,d) = (\mu_k(Q),\mu_k(S),\mu_k^L(d))$.

We stress the fact that, given $(Q,d)$, the sole existence of a degree-1 non-degenerate potential on $Q$ guarantees the well-definedness of the pair $\mu_k^L(Q,d) := (\mu_k^L(Q,d),\mu_k^L(d))$ for any finite sequence $(k_1,...,k_t)$ of vertices of $Q$.

Remark 2.11. Part 2 of Proposition 2.9 is obtained by a suitable refinement of Derksen-Weyman-Zelevinsky’s [21] Theorem 4.6. Actually, one has $\tilde{\mu}_k(Q) = \tilde{\mu}_k^L(Q)$, and the potential $W_{\text{red}}$ and the right-equivalence $\varphi$ above can be obtained from $(\tilde{\mu}_k(Q),\tilde{\mu}_k(S))$ precisely by applying the limit process described in the proofs of [21] Lemmas 4.7 and 4.8. So, the potential $W_{\text{red}}$ (and not only its right-equivalence class) is precisely the one that Derksen-Weyman-Zelevinsky obtain when they show that a reduced part of $(\tilde{\mu}_k(Q),\tilde{\mu}_k(S))$ does exist indeed.

The following two facts are then easy to check.

Lemma 2.12. Let $Q$ be a 2-acyclic quiver, and let $d_1$ and $d_2$ be equivalent gradings on $Q$. Any potential $S$ on $Q$ which is homogeneous with respect to $d_1$ is also homogeneous with
Triangulations and gradings

In this section we construct two chain complexes \( C_\bullet(\tau) \) and \( \hat{C}_\bullet(\tau) \) and a CW-complex \( X_\tau \) for each valency \( \geq 2 \)-triangulation \( \tau \). The chain complexes \( C_\bullet(\tau) \) and \( \hat{C}_\bullet(\tau) \) will be defined in terms of \( \tau \) and the potentials \( S(\tau) \) and \( \hat{S}(\tau) \), while the CW-complex \( X_\tau \) is defined purely in terms of the triangulation \( \tau \). The main features of \( X_\tau \), \( C_\bullet(\tau) \) and \( \hat{C}_\bullet(\tau) \) will be that \( X_\tau \) is a strong deformation retract of \( \Sigma \) and that the cellular homology of \( X_\tau \) is isomorphic to the homology of \( C_\bullet(\tau) \), features that will allow us to associate invariants in \( H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}) \) and \( H^1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}) \) to objects defined in terms of any fixed \( \tau \).

We then define graded flips of graded tagged triangulations and relate them to mutations of graded quivers. We end the section by discussing graded triangulations having isomorphic graded quivers.

Our treatment follows closely the one presented in [22] Section 2] and [31] Section 2]. Similar constructions have been considered by Broomhead [10] and Mozgovoy-Reineke [36].

For the basic notions of algebraic topology used throughout we refer the reader to [17] and [18].

3.1. The chain complexes \( C_\bullet(\tau) \) and \( \hat{C}_\bullet(\tau) \). We follow [22]. Throughout the paper, a surface with marked points will be a pair \((\Sigma, M)\), where \( \Sigma \) is a compact Riemann surface, possibly with boundary, and \( M \) is a finite subset of \( \Sigma \). Elements of \( M \) are called marked points; when these lie in the interior of \( \Sigma \), they are called punctures. We require that every connected component of the boundary of \( \Sigma \) contains at least one marked point. We also exclude the following cases:

- a sphere without boundary and at most three punctures;
- a once-punctured monogon;
- an unpunctured disc with at most three marked points.

We use the same definitions of arcs, tagged arcs, ideal triangulations and tagged triangulations as in [22]. For any tagged triangulation \( \tau \), we denote by \( \tau^0 \) the corresponding ideal triangulation.

Definition 3.1. Let \((\Sigma, M)\) be a surface with marked points. For any natural number \( v \), a valency \( \geq v \)-triangulation is an ideal triangulation such that all punctures have valency at least \( v \). A valency \( \geq v \)-tagged triangulation is a tagged triangulation \( \tau \) such that \( \tau^0 \) is a valency \( \geq v \)-triangulation.

Let \( \tau \) be a valency \( \geq 2 \)-triangulation. Let \( Q(\tau) \) and \( S(\tau) \) be the quiver and the potential associated to the surface (cf. [32] Definitions 8 and 23]). We respectively denote by \( Q(\tau)_0 \) and \( Q(\tau)_1 \) the vertex set and the arrow set of the quiver \( Q(\tau) \). Let \( Q(\tau)_2 = Q(\tau)_2^+ \cup Q(\tau)_2^- \), where \( Q(\tau)_2^+ \) is the set of 3-cycles that appear in \( S(\tau) \) and come from internal triangles of \( \tau \), and \( Q(\tau)_2^- \) is the set of cycles that appear in \( S(\tau) \) and surround the punctures of \((\Sigma, M)\).

If we let \( \hat{Q}(\tau) \) and \( \hat{S}(\tau) \) be the unreduced quiver and the unreduced potential associated to \((\Sigma, M)\) (cf. [32] Definitions 8 and 23]], then we define in a similar fashion the sets \( \hat{Q}(\tau)_0 \), \( \hat{Q}(\tau)_1 \) and \( \hat{Q}(\tau)_2 \). Note that we always have \( Q(\tau)_0 = \hat{Q}(\tau)_0 \); however, if some puncture has valency 2 with respect to \( \tau \), then \( Q(\tau)_1 \subsetneq \hat{Q}(\tau)_1 \), \( Q(\tau)_2^+ \subsetneq \hat{Q}(\tau)_2^+ \) and \( Q(\tau)_2^- \not\subsetneq \hat{Q}(\tau)_2^- \).

For each integer \( n \), let \( C_n(\tau) \) and \( \hat{C}_n(\tau) \) be the abelian groups defined by

\[
C_n(\tau) = \begin{cases} 
\text{The free abelian group with basis } Q(\tau)_n & \text{if } n \in \{0, 1, 2\}; \\
0 & \text{if } n \notin \{0, 1, 2\}.
\end{cases}
\]
and the same for $\hat{C}_n(\tau)$, replacing $Q(\tau)_n$ by $\hat{Q}(\tau)_n$. Notice that $C_0(\tau) = \hat{C}_0(\tau)$ since $Q(\tau)_0 = \hat{Q}(\tau)_0$.

For each integer $n$, let $\partial_n : C_n(\tau) \to C_{n-1}(\tau)$ be the group homomorphism defined by
\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_0 &= 0; \\
\partial_1(a) &= i - j & \text{if } a \in Q(\tau)_1, a : j \to i; \\
\partial_2(\xi) &= a_1 + a_2 + \ldots + a_\ell & \text{if } \xi = a_1a_2\ldots a_\ell \in Q(\tau)_2; \\
\partial_n &= 0 & \text{if } n \notin \{0, 1, 2\}.
\end{align*}
\]
Define the morphism $\hat{\partial}_n : \hat{C}_n(\tau) \to \hat{C}_{n-1}(\tau)$ in a similar way.

It is straightforward to verify that $\partial_{n-1} \circ \partial_n = 0$ and $\hat{\partial}_{n-1} \circ \hat{\partial}_n = 0$ for every $n$. This means that $(C_\bullet(\tau), \partial_\bullet) = ((C_n(\tau))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}, (\partial_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}})$ and $(\hat{C}_\bullet(\tau), \hat{\partial}_\bullet) = ((\hat{C}_n(\tau))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}, (\hat{\partial}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}})$ are chain complexes of abelian groups. Notice that $C_\bullet(\tau)$ is not a subcomplex of $\hat{C}_\bullet(\tau)$ if some puncture has valency 2 with respect to $\tau$.

For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $\varphi_n : \hat{C}_n(\tau) \to C_n(\tau)$ be the group homomorphism defined by
\[
\begin{align*}
\varphi_0 &= 1_{C_0(\tau)} \\
\varphi_1(\alpha) &= \begin{cases} 
\alpha & \text{if the marked point associated to } \alpha \text{ is not a puncture of valency 2; } \\
\varepsilon_\alpha + \eta_\alpha & \text{otherwise, where we use the notation from Figure 1.}
\end{cases} \\
\varphi_2(\xi) &= \begin{cases} 
\xi & \text{if } \xi \text{ is already a term of } S(\tau); \\
S^p(\tau) & \text{if } \xi = \hat{S}^p(\tau) \text{ for a triangle } \triangle \text{ containing a puncture of valency 2, being } p \text{ such puncture; } \\
S^p(\tau) & \text{if } \xi = \hat{S}^p(\tau) \text{ for a puncture } p \text{ of valency 2.}
\end{cases} \\
\varphi_n &= 0 & \text{if } n \notin \{0, 1, 2\}.
\end{align*}
\]

**Figure 1.** $\varphi_1(\alpha) = \varepsilon_\alpha + \eta_\alpha$ if the marked point associated to $\alpha$ is a puncture of valency 2

The proof of following lemma is an easy exercise.

**Lemma 3.2.** The collection $\varphi_\bullet = (\varphi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a homotopy equivalence $\hat{C}_\bullet(\tau) \to C_\bullet(\tau)$.

### 3.2. The CW-complex $X_\tau$

Our aim in this subsection is to realize $(C_\bullet(\tau), \partial_\bullet)$ as the cellular chain complex of certain CW-complex whose geometric realization is homeomorphic to a strong deformation retract of $\Sigma$.

Suppose $\triangle$ is a triangle of $\tau$ that contains at least one arrow of the quiver $Q(\tau)$. Then $\triangle$ contains either 1 or 3 arrows of $Q(\tau)$. We associate to $\triangle$ an unpunctured polygon $(\Sigma_\triangle, \mathcal{M}_\triangle)$, together with a labeling of the sides of $(\Sigma_\triangle, \mathcal{M}_\triangle)$, as follows. If $\triangle$ contains exactly one arrow $a \in Q(\tau)_1$, then two of the sides of $\triangle$ are arcs in $\tau$ and the remaining side is a boundary segment. We set $(\Sigma_\triangle, \mathcal{M}_\triangle)$ to be an unpunctured square. As for the side labeling, we pick a side of $(\Sigma_\triangle, \mathcal{M}_\triangle)$ and label it with the arrow $a$, then label the adjacent sides with the arcs $t(a)$ and $h(a)$ in such a way that the label $t(a)$ precedes the label $a$ and
the label $a$ precedes the label $h(a)$ in the clockwise direction defined by the orientation of $(\Sigma_{\Delta}, M_{\Delta})$; the remaining side of $(\Sigma_{\Delta}, M_{\Delta})$ is then labeled with the boundary segment of $\Sigma$ that is contained in $\Delta$. See Figure 2.

If $\Delta$ contains exactly three arrows $a, b, c \in Q(\tau)_1$, then all three sides of $\Delta$ are arcs in $\tau$. We set $(\Sigma_{\Delta}, M_{\Delta})$ to be an unpunctured hexagon. As for the side labeling, suppose that $t(a) = h(b)$ and $t(b) = h(c)$, then pick a side of $(\Sigma_{\Delta}, M_{\Delta})$ and label it with the arrow $a$, then label the remaining sides with the arcs and arrows $h(a)$, $t(a)$, $b$, $t(b)$ and $c$, in such a way that, according to the orientation of $(\Sigma_{\Delta}, M_{\Delta})$, the clockwise appearance of the six labels is $(t(a), a, h(a), c, t(b), b)$ (up to cyclic permutation). See Figure 2.

Now, suppose $p$ is a puncture of $(\Sigma, M)$. We associate to it an unpunctured polygon $(\Sigma_p, M_p)$, together with a labeling of the sides of $(\Sigma_p, M_p)$, as follows. Let $\xi = a_1 \ldots a_\ell_p$ be the summand of $S(\tau)$ that runs around $p$. Set $(\Sigma_p, M_p)$ to be an unpunctured $p$-gon. As for the side labeling, the labels are precisely the arrows $a_1, \ldots, a_\ell_p$, and they are placed in such a way that, according to the orientation of $(\Sigma_{\Delta}, M_{\Delta})$, the counterclockwise appearance of the $\ell_p$ labels is $(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_\ell_p)$ (up to cyclic permutation). See Figure 2.

The set $\mathcal{S} = \{(\Sigma_{\Delta}, M_{\Delta}) \mid \Delta$ is a triangle of $\tau$ containing at least one arrow of $Q(\tau)$} \cup \{(\Sigma_p, M_p) \mid p \in P\}$, together with the side labelings of its elements, yields a 2-dimensional CW-complex in an obvious way.

**Definition 3.3.** The CW-complex $X_\tau$ is the 2-dimensional CW-complex defined above.

Namely, there is a 2-cell for each element of $\mathcal{S}$, a 1-cell for each element of $Q(\tau)_0 \cup Q(\tau)_1 \cup \{s \mid s$ is a boundary segment of $(\Sigma, M)$ and a side of a triangle that contains exactly one arrow of $Q(\tau)\}$, and two 0-cells for each element of $Q(\tau)_0$. The attaching maps are defined by identifying pairs of sides of elements of $\mathcal{S}$ that are labeled by the same element of $Q(\tau)_0 \cup Q(\tau)_1$.

Let us be more precise (and careful) about the way the identification of pairs of sides with the same label is done. Orient each side of each element of $\mathcal{S}$ in a clockwise manner (according to the orientation of the corresponding element of $\mathcal{S}$). If $i$ and $j$ are sides of elements of $\mathcal{S}$ that have the same label, then we glue $i$ and $j$ in such a way that traversing $i$ according to the orientation we have fixed for $i$ corresponds to traversing $j$ according to the orientation which is opposite to the one we have fixed for $j$.

We will write $C_*(X_\tau)$ to denote the cellular chain complex of the CW-complex $(X_\tau, (X_\tau^\ell)_{\ell \geq 0})$ over $\mathbb{Z}$. We shall calculate $C_*(X_\tau)$ explicitly. Our calculation will take a particularly simple form due to the fact that $(X_\tau, (X_\tau^\ell)_{\ell \geq 0})$ is a regular CW-complexes. Our reference for the...
The boundary maps of the cellular chain complex $C$ works here.

Let $\psi$ be a valency $\geq 2$-triangulation of $(\Sigma, M)$. There is a canonical isomorphism of abelian groups between $H_1(C_\bullet(\tau), \partial_\bullet)$ and the singular homology group $H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z})$. Hence each choice of $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z})$ induces an isomorphism $H_1(C_\bullet(\tau), \partial_\bullet) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2g + b - 1}$, where $g$ is the genus of $\Sigma$ and $b$ is its number of boundary components.

3.3. Evaluating gradings at curves.

Definition 3.6. Let $\tau$ be a valency $\geq 3$-triangulation. A closed curve $\gamma : [0, 1] \to \Sigma \setminus (M \cup \partial \Sigma)$ is $\tau$-admissible if it satisfies the following conditions:

(1) the intersection of $\gamma$ with each arc of $\tau$ is a finite set;
(2) all the intersection points of $\gamma$ with any arc of $\tau$ are transversal crossings;
(3) $\gamma$ does not cross any arc of $\tau$ twice in succession.
The proof of [3] Lemma 2.3 can be easily adapted to show the following:

**Lemma 3.7.** Let $\tau$ be a valency $\geq 3$-triangulation of $(\Sigma, M)$. Every closed curve $x$ on $\Sigma \setminus M$ is freely homotopic to a $\tau$-admissible closed curve $x_\tau$.

For any $\tau$-admissible closed curve $\gamma$, define $\tilde{\gamma}^\tau \in \hat{C}_1(\tau) = Z\hat{Q}(\tau)_1$ to be the signed algebraic sum of the arrows traversed by $\gamma$. The proof of [4] Lemma 2.5 can be adapted to show that the rule $x \mapsto \tilde{\gamma}_x^\tau$ induces a well-defined map $\pi_1(\Sigma \setminus M) \to Z\hat{Q}(\tau)_1$. We define $\varphi_1: Z\hat{Q}(\tau)_1 \to Z\hat{Q}(\tau)_1$ is the group homomorphism defined right before Lemma 3.2

**Remark 3.8.** The map $\pi_1(\Sigma \setminus M) \to Z\hat{Q}(\tau)_1$ just described may not be a group homomorphism. It does, however, factor through the set $\pi_1^{free}(\Sigma \setminus M)$ of free homotopy classes of closed curves.

Let $\tau$ be a valency $\geq 2$-triangulation of $(\Sigma, M)$. We denote by $(C^\bullet(\tau), \delta^\bullet) = ((C^n(\tau))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}, (\delta^n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}})$ the cochain complex which is dual to $(C_\bullet(\tau), \partial)$ over $\mathbb{Z}$. Thus, for every integer $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the group $C^n(\tau)$ and the map $\delta^n: C^n(\tau) \to C^{n+1}(\tau)$ are defined by

$$C^n(\tau) = \text{Hom}_\mathbb{Z}(C_n(\tau), \mathbb{Z}) \text{ and } \delta^n(f) = f \circ \partial_{n+1}.$$ 

According to Definition 2.4 a grading on $Q(\tau)$ is any function $d: Q(\tau)_1 \to \mathbb{Z}$. Since $Q(\tau)_1$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $C_1(\tau)$, any grading $d: Q(\tau)_1 \to \mathbb{Z}$ induces a group homomorphism $C_1(\tau) \to \mathbb{Z}$, that is, an element of $C^1(\tau)$. In a clear but harmless abuse, we will denote this element of $C^1(\tau)$ by $d$ as well.

**Definition 3.9.** Let $\tau$ be a tagged (resp. valency $\geq 2$-) triangulation and $d$ be a grading on $Q(\tau)$. We will say that $d$ is a degree-1 map for $\tau$, or that the pair $(\tau, d)$ is a graded tagged triangulation (resp. graded valency $\geq 2$-triangulation), if the potential $S(\tau)$ defined in [3] Definitions 3.1 and 3.2 is homogeneous of degree 1 with respect to $d$.

Notice that if $\tau$ is a valency $\geq 2$-triangulation, then a grading $d$ on $Q(\tau)$ is a degree-1 map if and only if $(d \circ \partial_2)(\xi) = 1$ for every $\xi \in Q(\tau)_2$ (recall that $d \circ \partial_2 = \delta^1(d) : C_2(\tau) \to \mathbb{Z}$).

So, if $\tau$ is a valency $\geq 2$-triangulation, then the difference of two degree-1 maps vanishes on the image of $\partial_2$, which is the same as saying that the difference of any two degree-1 maps is a 1-cocycle in $C^\bullet(\tau)$.

Recall that we have group isomorphisms $H^1(C^\bullet(\tau)) \to \text{Hom}_\mathbb{Z}(H_1(C_\bullet(\tau)), \mathbb{Z})$, $H_1(\Sigma) \to H_1(C_\bullet(\tau))$, and $\text{Hom}_\mathbb{Z}(H_1(\Sigma), \mathbb{Z}) \to H^1(\Sigma)$. If $d_1$ and $d_2$ are degree-1 maps, then we have a well-defined cohomology class $[d_1 - d_2]^\tau \in H^1(C^\bullet(\tau))$, and we can think of this class as a group homomorphism $H_1(C_\bullet(\tau)) \to \mathbb{Z}$, hence also as a group homomorphism $H_1(\Sigma) \to \mathbb{Z}$, which in turn corresponds to a cohomology class in $H^1(\Sigma)$. We will denote this cohomology class by $[d_1 - d_2]_{H^1(\Sigma)}$.

**Lemma 3.10.** Let $\tau$ be a valency $\geq 2$-triangulation of $(\Sigma, M)$. For two degree-1 maps $d_1$ and $d_2$ on $Q(\tau)$, the following are equivalent:

1. $d_1$ and $d_2$ are equivalent gradings on $Q(\tau)$;
2. $[d_1 - d_2]^\tau = 0$ as element in $H^1(C^\bullet(\tau));$
3. $d_1(\tau^\gamma) = d_2(\tau^\gamma)$ for every $\tau$-admissible closed curve $\gamma$ on $\Sigma \setminus M$;
4. there exists a set $\Gamma$ of $\tau$-admissible closed curves on $\Sigma \setminus M$ such that:
   a) $\{\tau^\gamma \mid \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $H_1(C_\bullet(\tau));$
   b) $d_1(\tau^\gamma) = d_2(\tau^\gamma)$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$;
5. $[d_1 - d_2]_{H^1(\Sigma)} = 0$ as element in $H^1(\Sigma)$.

3.4. Graded flip. Let $(\tau, d)$ be a graded tagged triangulation. By [3] Corollary 9.1, $(Q(\tau), S(\tau))$ is non-degenerate. Hence there is a well-defined grading $\mu_{k_1}^\tau \ldots \mu_{k_l}^\tau(d)$ on $\mu_{k_1}^\tau \ldots \mu_{k_1}^\tau(Q(\tau)) = \mu_{k_1} \ldots \mu_{k_l}(Q(\tau))$ for any finite sequence $(k_1, \ldots, k_l)$ by Lemma 2.9
Definition 3.11. Let \((\tau, d)\) be a graded tagged triangulation. For \(k \in \tau = Q(\tau)_0\) we call the pair \(f_k(\tau, d) := (f_k(\tau), \mu_k^d(d))\) the (left) graded flip of \((\tau, d)\) with respect to \(k\), where \(f_k(\tau)\) is the tagged triangulation obtained from \(\tau\) by flipping the arc \(k\).

The next lemma is a version of [3, Lemma 2.14] for punctured surfaces.

Lemma 3.12. Let \((\tau, d)\) be a graded valency \(\geq 2\)-triangulation, and let \(i \in \tau\) be an arc such that \(f_i(\tau)\) is a valency \(\geq 2\)-triangulation. For any closed curve \(\gamma\) on \(\Sigma \setminus M\), we have
\[
d(\gamma) = \mu_i^d(d)(\gamma f_i(\tau)).
\]

Let \((\tau, d)\) be a graded valency \(\geq 2\)-triangulation, and let \(\tau'\) be any valency \(\geq 2\)-triangulation. According to [33, Equation (6.4)], \(\tau\) and \(\tau'\) can be connected by a sequence of flips involving only valency \(\geq 2\)-triangulations. Using any such sequence, we can use Definition 3.11 to define a grading on \(Q(\tau')\). This grading is a degree-1 map (this follows, for instance, from Theorem 4.1, which we prove later). Of course, different sequences of flips may yield different degree-1 maps on \(Q(\tau')\), but these have to be equivalent gradings by a combination of Lemmas 3.10 and 3.12. If no confusion shall arise, we will write \(\{d\}_{\tau}^{\tau'}\) for the degree-1 map on \(Q(\tau')\) obtained by applying any sequence of flips.

Corollary 3.13. Let \((\tau, d)\) and \((\tau', d')\) be two graded valency \(\geq 2\)-triangulations. Then \(\{d\}_{\tau}^{\tau'} - d'\) induces a function \((\{d\}_{\tau}^{\tau'} - d') \circ \pi_1(\Sigma \setminus M) \to \mathbb{Z}\) and the diagram
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\pi_1(\Sigma \setminus M) & \to & H_1(\Sigma \setminus M) \to H_1(\Sigma) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathbb{Z} & \to & \{d\}_{\tau}^{\tau'} - d' : H_1(\Sigma) \\
\end{array}
\]
commutes.

3.5. Homeomorphisms and graded equivalence. Let \(\Phi : \Sigma \to \Sigma\) be an orientation-preserving homeomorphism such that \(\Phi(M) = \overline{M}\). It sends arcs to arcs and triangulations to triangulations. Moreover, if \(\tau\) is a triangulation and \(\tau'\) is its image by \(\Phi\), then \(\Phi\) induces an isomorphism of quivers \(Q(\tau) \to Q(\tau')\), which we still denote by \(\Phi\).

Proposition 3.14 (Proposition 8.5 of [9], graded version). Assume that \((\Sigma, M)\) is not one of the following:

- A sphere with at most 5 marked points;
- An unpunctured disc with at most 3 marked points;
- A once-punctured disc with 1, 2 or 4 marked points on the boundary;
- A twice-punctured disc with 2 marked points on the boundary.

Let \((\tau, d)\) and \((\tau', d')\) be two graded triangulations of \((\Sigma, M)\). Then there exists an orientation preserving homeomorphism \(\Phi : \Sigma \to \Sigma\) such that \(\Phi(M) = \overline{M}\), \(\Phi(\tau) = \tau'\) and \(d = d' \circ \Phi\) if and only if the graded quivers associated to \((\tau, d)\) and \((\tau', d')\) are isomorphic.

Proof. We give a graded version of the proof in [9]. One implication is obvious: if there exists a homeomorphism \(\Phi\) as in the statement, then the graded quivers associated to the graded triangulations are isomorphic.

To prove the converse, we use a graded version of the block decomposition of [22]. In [22, Remark 4.1], it is shown that any ideal triangulation (except for the four-punctured sphere) can be obtained by gluing three different types of “puzzle pieces” together; it is easily seen that graded triangulations are obtained by gluing graded versions of these puzzle pieces. Next, it was shown in [22, Theorem 13.3] that a quiver is the quiver of some ideal triangulation if and only if the quiver is obtained by gluing five different types of “blocks” together; moreover, the blocks in the decomposition correspond to puzzle pieces. Again, it is easy to see that a graded quiver will be associated to a graded triangulation if and only if it is obtained by gluing graded versions of the five types of blocks.

While the quiver of a triangulation is not enough to recover the triangulation itself, the data of the quiver together with its block decomposition is enough to recover it up to
isomorphism of graded algebras

That (2) implies (1) is immediate. Assume now that (1) holds. Then there is an
lence between (τ, d) and (τ′, d′) giving rise to this quiver are related by a
homeomorphism Φ as in the statement (that is, τ′ = Φ(τ) and d = d′ ◦ Φ). An algorithm
to determine the block decompositions of a given quiver was developed in [25] and used in
[29] to list all quivers with multiple block decompositions. We see from this list that the
surfaces admitting different triangulations with the same quiver are those excluded in the
statement of the proposition.

To any tagged triangulation τ we associate the Jacobian algebra Π(Q(τ), S(τ)). Then
any degree-1 map on Q(τ) gives rise to a grading on the algebra Π(Q(τ), S(τ)).

Proposition 3.15. Let (τ, d) and (τ′, d′) be two graded valency ≥ 2-triangulations of
(Σ, M). Then the following are equivalent.

1. The algebras Π(Q(τ), S(τ), d) and Π(Q(τ′), S(τ′), d′) are graded equivalent;
2. There exists an orientation preserving homeomorphism Φ : Σ → Σ with Φ(M) = M
such that Φ(τ) = τ′ and for any simple closed curve γ on Σ, we have d(τ′) =
d′(Φ(γ)).

Proof. That (2) implies (1) is immediate. Assume now that (1) holds. Then there is an
isomorphism of quivers φ : Q(τ) → Q(τ′). According to Remark 2.5, d and d′ ◦ φ are
equivalent gradings.

Note that d and d′ ◦ φ give the same result when evaluated at closed curves. Note also
that the graded quivers of (τ, d′ ◦ φ) and (τ′, d′) are isomorphic. Thus Proposition 3.14
implies (2) for all cases except possibly the once-punctured disc with 4 marked points on
the boundary and the twice-punctured disc with 2 marked points on the boundary (indeed,
valency ≥ 2-triangulations of surfaces with non-empty boundary do not fall within the other
excluded cases of Proposition 3.14).

For the once-punctured disc with 4 marked points on the boundary, there are only finitely
many triangulations, exactly five of which (up to orientation-preserving homeomorphisms)
are valency ≥ 2-triangulations. It is easy to check directly on these that (2) holds

For the twice-punctured disc with 2 marked points on the boundary, there are (up to
orientation-preserving homeomorphisms) only four valency ≥ 2-triangulations.

□

4. Graded flip vs graded mutation

Let (τ, d) be a graded tagged triangulation and i be any arc in τ. By definition the
potential S(τ) is homogeneous of degree 1 with respect to d. Hence by Proposition 2.9
the potential µ_i^L(S(τ)) is homogeneous of degree 1 with respect to µ_i^L(d). Moreover by
Theorem 30, we know that (µ_i(Q(τ)), µ_i(S(τ))) and (Q(φ_i(τ)), S(φ_i(τ))) are right-
equivalent. Can the right equivalence be chosen so as to be an isomorphism of graded
algebras with respect to µ_i^L(d) ? In this section we prove the following result that answers
positively this question.

Theorem 4.1. Let (τ, d) be a graded tagged triangulation and i be a tagged arc in τ. Then
there exists a graded right equivalence

Θ : µ_i^L((Q(τ)), S(τ), d) ≅ (Q(φ_i(τ)), S(φ_i(τ)), µ_i^L(d)).

Consequently, S(φ_i(τ)) is homogeneous of degree 1 with respect to µ_i^L(d), and there is an
isomorphism of graded algebras

Π(µ_i(Q(τ)), µ_i(S(τ)), µ_i^L(d)) ≅ Π(Q(φ_i(τ)), S(φ_i(τ)), µ_i^L(d)).

The proof of this result, given in Subsection 1.2 involves checking that the right equiv-
ance between (µ_i(Q(τ)), µ_i(S(τ))) and (Q(φ_i(τ)), S(φ_i(τ))) constructed in the proof of
Theorem 8.1] is graded with respect to µ_i^L(d). Since this latter proof is quite long, and since
it in turn depends heavily on the proof of [32, Theorem 30] and on several technical statements, we devote Subsection 4.2 to describing how the reader can verify this. We first show that we can restrict to the case where \( \tau \) is an ideal triangulation. Then we consider two cases depending whether \( f_1(\tau) \) is ideal or not. This latter case is the most delicate. To handle it, we need intermediate results (Corollaries 4.3 and 4.4) that follow from Proposition 4.2.

4.1. The automorphism \( \psi_{i,j} \).

**Proposition 4.2.** Let \( \nu \) be an ideal triangulation. Suppose that \( i \in \nu \) is the folded side of a self-folded triangle of \( \nu \), and that \( j \in \nu \) is the arc that, together with \( i \), forms such triangle. Let \( \psi_{i,j} \) be the \( \mathbb{C} \)-algebra automorphism of \( \mathbb{C}[\langle Q(\nu) \rangle] \) induced by the quiver automorphism of \( Q(\nu) \) that interchanges \( i \) and \( j \). For any grading \( \tilde{d} : Q(\nu) \to \mathbb{Z} \) with respect to which \( S(\nu) \) is homogeneous of degree 1, define \( \tilde{d}' := \tilde{d} \circ \psi_{i,j} \). Then \( \tilde{d} \) and \( \tilde{d}' \) are equivalent as quiver gradings (see Definition 2.4).

**Proof.** The quiver automorphism of \( Q(\nu) \) can be extended to a quiver automorphism of \( \hat{Q}(\nu) \) where \( \hat{Q}(\nu) \) is the unreduced signed adjacency quiver of \( \nu \) as in Subsection 3.1. We denote again by \( \psi_{i,j} \) the corresponding \( \mathbb{C} \)-algebra automorphism of \( \mathbb{C}[\langle \hat{Q}(\nu) \rangle] \).

The grading \( \tilde{d} : Q(\nu) \to \mathbb{Z} \) can be extended in a unique way to a grading \( \hat{Q}(\nu) \to \mathbb{Z} \) with respect to which \( \hat{S}(\nu) \) is homogeneous of degree 1. We denote this extension by \( \tilde{d} \) as well. To prove the lemma it is enough to show that the gradings \( \tilde{d} \) and \( \tilde{d}' \) are equivalent.

The self-folded triangle formed by \( i \) and \( j \) is contained in a digon. Assume first that both sides of this digon are arcs in \( \nu \) (and not segments of the boundary of \( \Sigma \)). Then the full subquiver of \( \hat{Q}(\nu) \) formed by the arcs that are connected to \( i \) or \( j \) by arrows of \( \hat{Q}(\nu) \) is one of the three depicted in Figure 3.

**Figure 3.** Possibilities for a self-folded triangle and the digon surrounding it

In the first case we have \( \tilde{d}'(\beta) = \tilde{d} \circ \psi_{i,j}(\beta) = \tilde{d}(\delta) \). Similarly we have \( \tilde{d}'(\delta) = \tilde{d}(\beta) \), \( \tilde{d}'(\gamma) = \tilde{d}(\epsilon) \), \( \tilde{d}'(\epsilon) = \tilde{d}(\gamma) \) and \( \tilde{d}'(a) = \tilde{d}(a) \) for any other arrow. Then define \( r : \hat{Q}(\nu)_0 \to \mathbb{Z} \) to be

\[
  r(i) = \tilde{d}(\beta) - \tilde{d}(\delta), \quad r(j) = \tilde{d}(\delta) - \tilde{d}(\beta), \quad \text{and} \quad r(m) = 0 \quad \text{for any other vertex.}
\]

Since \( \hat{S}(\nu) \) is homogeneous of degree 1 with respect to \( \tilde{d} \), and since \( \alpha \beta \gamma \) and \( \alpha \delta \epsilon \) are terms that appear in \( \hat{S}(\nu) \) with non-zero coefficient, we deduce that

\[
  \tilde{d}(\beta) + \tilde{d}(\gamma) = \tilde{d}(\delta) + \tilde{d}(\epsilon).
\]

One then easily checks that

\[
  \tilde{d}'(a) = \tilde{d}(a) + r(t(a)) - r(h(a))
\]

for any arrow \( a \) of \( Q \) which means that \( \tilde{d} \) and \( \tilde{d}' \) are equivalent gradings.

In the second case, since \( \alpha_1 \beta_1 \gamma_1, \alpha_1 \delta_1 \epsilon_1, \alpha_2 \delta_1 \epsilon_2 \) and \( \alpha_2 \delta_1 \epsilon_2 \) are terms in the potential, one deduces that \( \tilde{d}(\gamma_2) + \tilde{d}(\epsilon_1) = \tilde{d}(\gamma_1) + \tilde{d}(\epsilon_2) \). Then the map \( r : \hat{Q}(\nu)_0 \to \mathbb{Z} \) defined by

\[
  r(i) = \tilde{d}(\epsilon_2) - \tilde{d}(\gamma_2) \quad r(j) = \tilde{d}(\gamma_2) - \tilde{d}(\epsilon_2) \quad \text{and} \quad r(k) = 0 \quad \text{for} \ k \neq i, j
\]
Let $\tilde{d}(a) = \tilde{d}(a) + r(t(a)) - r(h(a))$ for any arrow $a$ of $\tilde{Q}(\nu)$.

The third case and the case where one side of the digon is a segment of the boundary are similar.

Combining this proposition together with Lemma 2.12 and the fact that $\psi_{i,j} = \psi_{i,j}^{-1}$, one has the following.

**Corollary 4.3.** Let $\nu$, $i$ and $j$ be as in Proposition 4.2 and $\tilde{d}$ a grading on $Q(\nu)$. Then a potential $W$ on $Q(\nu)$ is homogeneous of degree 1 with respect to $\tilde{d}$ if and only if $\psi_{i,j}(W)$ is homogeneous of degree 1 with respect to $\tilde{d}$.

**Proof.** By Proposition 4.2 there exists a map $r : Q(\nu)_0 \to \mathbb{Z}$ such that for any arrow $a$ in $Q(\nu)$ we have $d(\psi_{i,j}(a)) = d(a) + r(t(a)) - r(h(a))$. Since $\psi_{i,j} = \psi_{i,j}^{-1}$ this map can be chosen in such a way that $r(\psi_{i,j}(k)) = -r(k)$ for each vertex $k$ of $Q(\nu)$ (see for example the choice of $r$ in the proof of Proposition 4.2).

Then we deduce that for any vertices $k$ and $\ell$, the automorphism $\psi_{i,j}$ sends any homogenous element $u$ which is a linear combination of paths from $k$ and $\ell$ to an homogenous element of degree $d(\psi_{i,j}(u)) = d(u) + r(k) - r(\ell)$ which is a linear combination of paths from $\psi_{i,j}(k)$ to $\psi_{i,j}(\ell)$.

Let $a$ be a path from $k$ to $\ell$ in $Q(\nu)$. Then $\psi_{i,j}(a)$ is a path from $\psi_{i,j}(k)$ to $\psi_{i,j}(\ell)$ in $Q(\nu)$, hence is homogenous with respect to $d$ and has degree $d(\psi_{i,j}(a)) = d(a) + r(k) - r(\ell)$. Now since $\rho$ is a graded automorphism which is identity on the vertices, $\rho(\psi_{i,j}(a))$ is a linear combination of paths from $\psi_{i,j}(k)$ to $\psi_{i,j}(\ell)$ and is homogenous of degree $d(a) + r(k) - r(\ell)$.

Hence $\psi_{i,j} \circ \rho \circ \psi_{i,j}(a)$ is a linear combination of paths from $k$ to $\ell$ and is homogenous of degree:

$$d(\psi_{i,j} \circ \rho \circ \psi_{i,j}(a)) = (d(a) + r(k) - r(\ell)) + r(\psi_{i,j}(k)) - r(\psi_{i,j}(\ell)) = d(a).$$

This finishes the proof.

**4.2. Proof of Theorem 4.1** Recall that to a general tagged triangulation $\tau$, Fomin-Shapiro-Thurston associate an ideal triangulation $\tau^\circ$ (cf. the second paragraph of [22 Definition 9.2]). The quiver $Q(\tau)$ is then defined to be the quiver of $\tau^\circ$, but with $\tau$ as vertex set rather than $\tau^\circ$ (cf. [22 Definition 9.6]). It can be easily verified (with the aid of [22 Examples 27, 28 and subsequent paragraphs] if necessary) that $S(\tau)$ can be obtained from $S(\tau^\circ)$ by changing the signs of some of the terms that arise from punctures. Hence the potential $S(\tau^\circ)$ is homogenous of degree 1 with respect to $d$ and the right equivalence $(Q(\tau), S(\tau)) \cong (\mathbb{Z}, S(\tau^\circ))$ (see [34 Proposition 10.2]) obviously preserves the grading $d$. For the same reasons we also have a graded right equivalence

$$(Q(f_i(\tau)), S(f_i(\tau)), \mu_i(d)) \cong (Q(f_i(\tau^\circ)), S(f_i(\tau^\circ)), \mu_i(d)),$$

where $i^\circ$ is the arc corresponding to $i$ in $\tau^\circ$. Therefore, we can assume that $\tau$ is an ideal triangulation.

**Case 1:** $i$ is not the folded side of a self-folded triangle.

This case corresponds to the case where $f_i(\tau)$ is also an ideal triangulation. Then there exists a right equivalence between $(\mu_i(Q(\tau)), \mu_i(S(\tau)))$ and $(Q(f_i(\tau)), S(f_i(\tau)))$ which is explicitly described in the proof of [32 Theorem 30], depending on the local configuration around $i$. It is not difficult to check that in each case the right equivalence is compatible with any grading on $\mu_i(Q(\tau))$ such that $\mu_i(S(\tau))$ is homogenous of degree 1.

**Case 2:** $i$ is the folded side of a self-folded triangle.
Let \( j \in \tau \) be the loop that encloses \( i \) and that, together with the latter, forms the alluded self-folded triangle. Then there exists a right equivalence
\[
\Theta : (Q(\tau), S(\tau)) \rightarrow \mu_i^{-1}(Q(f_i(\tau)), S(f_i(\tau)))
\]
by [34, Theorem 8.1]. The proof of this result uses auxiliary potentials \( W(\tau', i, j) \) associated to any ideal triangulation that contains \( i \) and \( j \). The right equivalence \( \Theta \) is defined to be the following composition:
\[
(Q(\tau), S(\tau), d) \xrightarrow{\Theta_1} \mu_s^L(Q(\sigma), S(\sigma), (\mu_s^L)^{-1}(d)) \xrightarrow{\Theta_2} \mu_s^L(Q(\sigma), W(\sigma, i, j), (\mu_s^L)^{-1}(d)) \xrightarrow{\Theta_3} (Q(f_s(\sigma)), W(f_s(\sigma), i, j), d) = (Q(\tau), W(\tau, i, j), d) \xrightarrow{\Theta_4} (\mu_s^L)^{-1}(Q(f_s(\tau)), S(f_s(\tau)), \mu_s^L(d))
\]
where \( \sigma \) is a particular ideal triangulation containing \( i \) and \( j \) as in [34, Proposition 6.4] and \( s = (i_1, \ldots, i_t) \) is a sequence of flips relating \( \sigma \) to \( \tau = f_s(\sigma) \) that does not contain \( i \) and \( j \) and such that any intermediate triangulation is ideal.

Let us now explain why all these right equivalences are graded.

The right equivalence \( \Theta_1 \) is graded by Case 1, since all intermediate triangulations between \( \tau \) and \( \sigma \) are ideal.

By definition, and since \( \sigma \) is a triangulation as in [34, Proposition 6.4], \( W(\sigma, i, j) \) is the image under \( \psi_{i,j} \) of \( S(\sigma) \) which is homogeneous of degree 1 with respect to \((\mu_s^L)^{-1}(d)\). Consequently, \( W(\sigma, i, j) \) is homogeneous of degree 1 with respect to \((\mu_s^L)^{-1}(d)\) by Corollary 4.3. The right equivalence \( \Theta_2 \) is defined in the proof of [34, Proposition 6.4] as a limit of \( \lim_{\varphi_n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_n \cdots \varphi_1 \) right equivalences. One checks by induction that each \( \varphi_n \) is graded.

The right equivalence \( \Theta_3 \) is the composition \( \psi_{i,j} \circ \Phi \circ \psi_{i,j}^{-1} \) where \( \Phi \) is the right equivalence \( \mu_s^L(Q(\sigma), S(\sigma), (\mu_s^L)^{-1}(d)) \rightarrow (Q(f_s(\sigma)), S(f_s(\sigma), d) \) which is graded by Case 1. Therefore by Corollary 4.3 the right equivalence \( \Theta_3 \) is graded.

Finally the right equivalence \( \Theta_4 \) follows from [34, Proposition 7.1]. The proof is divided in 4 cases, in each of which an explicit right equivalence is exhibited. In all 4 cases, the exhibited right-equivalence sends each arrow to a scalar multiple of itself, and thus is graded. Consequently, the right equivalence \( \Theta \) is graded and Theorem 4.1 follows.

We end this section by the following result which is a consequence of Proposition 4.2 and which will be used in the proof of Theorem 5.3.

**Lemma 4.5.** Let \( (\tau, d) \) be a graded valency \( \geq 2 \)-tagged triangulation. Then there exists a sequence of flips \( s \) such that \( f_s(\tau^o) = \tau \) and such that \( d \) is equivalent to \( \mu_s^L(d) \) as gradings on \( Q(\tau^o) \) (through the canonical quiver isomorphism \( Q(\tau) \simeq Q(\tau^o) \)).

Therefore the graded algebras \( P(Q(\tau), S(\tau), \mu_s^L(d)) \) and \( P(Q(\tau^o), S(\tau^o), d) \) are graded equivalent.

**Proof.** We start by a piece of notation. For a valency \( \geq 2 \)-tagged triangulation \( \sigma \) and a puncture \( p \), we denote by \( t_p(\sigma) \) the valency \( \geq 2 \)-tagged triangulation obtained from \( \sigma \) by changing the tagging at \( p \). Notice that if \( j \) is an arc of \( \sigma \) such that \( f_j(\sigma) \) is also a valency \( \geq 2 \)-tagged triangulation, then we clearly have \( t_p \circ f_j(\sigma) = f_j \circ t_p(\sigma) \).

We proceed by induction on the number \( \ell \) of punctures of \( \tau \) that are notched. If \( \ell = 0 \), the result trivially holds. Assume \( \ell > 0 \) and let \( p \) be a notched puncture. Let \( \tau' \) be a triangulation with the following properties:

- \( \tau' \) is valency \( \geq 2 \)-tagged triangulation;
- \( \tau \) and \( \tau' \) have the same tagging at each puncture;
- \( p \) has valency 2 at \( p \).

Then there exists a sequence of flips \( t = (t_1, \ldots, t_k) \) such that \( f_t(\tau^o) = \tau'^o \) and such that any intermediate triangulation is a valency \( \geq 2 \)-ideal triangulation. Since \( f_t \) commutes
with any \( t_q \), we have \( f_i(\tau) = \tau' \) and any intermediate triangulation is a valency \( \geq 2 \)-tagged triangulation.

Denote by \( i \) and \( j \) the arcs incident to \( p \) in \( \tau' \). Then one has \( f_i \circ \psi_{i,j} \circ f_i(\tau') = t_p(\tau') \) as shown in the following picture.

Denote by \( t^- \) the sequence \( (t_k, \ldots, t_1) \). Since \( t_p \) commutes with \( f_t \), we get

\[
f_{t^-} \circ f_i \circ \psi_{i,j} \circ f_i \circ f_i(\tau) = f_{t^-} \circ f_i \circ \psi_{i,j} \circ f_i(\tau') = f_{t^-} \circ t_p(\tau') = t_p \circ f_i(\tau') \equiv t_p(\tau).
\]

Note that if we denote by \( \tau' \) the sequence of flips \( t^- = (t_k, \ldots, t_1) \) where each occurrence of \( i \) is replaced by \( j \) and vice versa, then the triangulation \( f_{t^-} \circ f_j \circ f_i(\tau) \) is the triangulation \( t_p(\tau) \) where the labeling of the arcs \( i \) and \( j \) are switched.

Applying the above sequence of flips to the grading \( d \) we obtain

\[
\mu_i (\tau) \circ \psi_{i,j} \circ \mu_j (d) = \mu_i (\tau') \circ \mu_j (d) \circ \psi_{i,j} \quad \text{by Proposition 4.2}
\]

\[
\mu_i \circ \psi_{i,j} \circ \mu_j (d) \quad \text{by Remark 2.7 (3)}.
\]

Now \( t_p \tau \) is a nice tagged triangulation with \( \ell - 1 \) notched punctures, hence by induction hypothesis there exists a sequence \( s \) such that \( f_s(t_p \tau) = (t_p \tau)^s = \tau^s \) and \( \mu_i (d) \) is graded equivalent to \( d \). Therefore we get the first statement of the lemma.

Therefore the algebras \( \mathcal{P}(Q(\tau), S(\tau), d) \) and \( \mathcal{P}(Q(\tau), S(\tau), \mu_i (d)) \) are graded equivalent via the identity. Moreover, as mentioned at the beginning of the proof of Theorem 4.1 the right equivalence \( (Q(\tau), S(\tau)) \to (Q(\tau^s), S(\tau^s)) \) is graded for any grading making \( S(\tau) \) (hence \( S(\tau^s) \)) homogenous of degree 1. Thus the graded algebras \( \mathcal{P}(Q(\tau), S(\tau), d) \) and \( \mathcal{P}(Q(\tau^s), S(\tau^s), d) \) are isomorphic and we get the second statement.

\( \square \)

5. Derived Invariants for Surface Cut Algebras

5.1. Derived and cluster categories for algebras of global dimension 2. We start by briefly recalling some definitions and results of [1, 5] that will be used in the proof of the main theorem. For basic notions on 2-Calabi-Yau categories and cluster-tilting theory we refer to [2].

For a finite dimensional algebra \( \Lambda \) with finite global dimension, we denote by \( \mathcal{D}^b(\Lambda) \) the bounded derived category of finitely generated \( \Lambda \)-modules. We denote by \( \mathcal{S}_2 \) the autoequivalence \( - \otimes \Lambda \text{Hom}_k(\Lambda, k)[-2] \) of \( \mathcal{D}^b(\Lambda) \). The algebra \( \Lambda \) is said to be \( \tau_2 \)-finite if the functor \( \tau_2 = H^2(\mathcal{S}_2) : \text{mod} \Lambda \to \text{mod} \Lambda \) is nilpotent.

Let \( \Lambda \) be a finite dimensional algebra of global dimension \( \leq 2 \) which is \( \tau_2 \)-finite. Its cluster category \( \mathcal{C}_2(\Lambda) \) is defined in [1]. It is a 2-Calabi-Yau category with cluster-tilting objects. It comes together with a triangle functor \( \pi : \mathcal{D}^b(\Lambda) \to \mathcal{C}_2(\Lambda) \) and with a canonical algebra isomorphism

\[
\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}_2(\Lambda)}(\pi M) \cong \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(\Lambda)}(M, \mathcal{S}_2^{-p} M), \text{ for all } M \in \mathcal{D}^b(\Lambda).
\]

Hence each algebra \( \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}_2(\Lambda)}(\pi M) \) inherits a natural \( \mathbb{Z} \)-grading. Furthermore \( \pi \Lambda \) is a cluster-tilting object in \( \mathcal{C}_2(\Lambda) \).
Note that if $X$ and $Y$ are indecomposable objects in $\mathcal{D}^b(\Lambda)$ such that $\pi M \simeq \pi N$ in $C_2(\Lambda)$, then there exists an integer $r$ such that $M \simeq \mathbb{S}_r^2 N$. Therefore, if $M \simeq M_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus M_n$ and $N \simeq N_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus N_m$ are basic objects in $\mathcal{D}^b(\Lambda)$ such that $\pi M \simeq \pi N$, then (up to renumbering the summands of $N$) there exist integers $r_i$ such that $M_i \simeq \mathbb{S}_{r_i}^2 N_i$, which exactly means that the graded algebras $\text{End}_{C_2(\Lambda)}(\pi M)$ and $\text{End}_{C_2(\Lambda)}(\pi N)$ are graded equivalent.

For $T$ a basic object in $\mathcal{D}^b(\Lambda)$ such that $\pi T$ is a cluster-tilting object in $C_2(\Lambda)$ a notion of graded mutation is introduced in [5]. This notion coincides with the mutation in $C_2(\Lambda)$ in the following sense: For any $T_i$ indecomposable summand of $T$ we have

$$\pi(\mu_{T_i}^L(T)) \cong \mu_{\pi(T_i)}(\pi T).$$

Moreover assume there exists an isomorphism of graded algebra

$$\text{End}_{C_2(\Lambda)}(\pi T) \cong \mathcal{P}(Q, S, d)$$

for a certain graded QP $(Q, S, d)$ and assume that there is no loops and no 2-cycles in $Q$ at the vertex $i$ corresponding with $T_i$, then we have

$$\text{End}_{C_2(\Lambda)}(\pi(\mu_{T_i}^L)) \cong \mathcal{P}(\mu_{i}^L(Q, S, d)).$$

### 5.2. Surface cut algebras.

**Definition 5.1.** Let $\tau$ be a valency $\geq 2$-triangulation on $(\Sigma, \mathbb{M})$.

1. An admissible cut for $\tau$ is a degree-$1$ map $d : Q(\tau)_1 \to \mathbb{Z}$ whose image is contained in $\{0, 1\}$ and satisfies $d(a) = 0$ for every arrow $a \in Q(\tau)_1$ not appearing in $Q(\tau)_2$.
2. If $d$ is an admissible cut, then the degree zero subalgebra $\Lambda(\tau, d)$ of $\mathcal{P}(Q(\tau), S(\tau), d)$ is called surface cut algebra associated with $(\tau, d)$ if its global dimension is $\leq 2$.

We will see in the next sections that, given a triangulation, it is not always possible to find an admissible cut, and that, given an admissible cut, the corresponding degree zero subalgebra of the Jacobian algebra does not always have global dimension at most two. However, we will show (Corollary 5.4) that for any surface $(\Sigma, \mathbb{M})$ with non empty boundary, there exists a valency $\geq 3$-triangulation $\tau$ and an admissible cut giving rise to a surface cut algebra.

The following result allows us to use all the results mentioned in the previous section in the special case of surface cut algebras.

**Proposition 5.2.** Let $(\Sigma, \mathbb{M})$ be a marked surface with non-empty boundary. Let $\Lambda(\tau, d)$ be a surface cut algebra associated to a triangulation $\tau$ and an admissible cut $d$. Then the following properties hold for $\Lambda$:

- The algebra $\Lambda$ is $\tau_2$-finite;
- There is an isomorphism of graded algebras $\text{End}_{C_2(\Lambda)}(\pi \Lambda) \cong \mathcal{P}(Q(\tau), S(\tau), d)$;
- All cluster-tilting objects in $C_2(\Lambda)$ can be linked by a sequence of mutations.

**Proof.** The proof is exactly similar to the proof of Theorem 3.8 in [3]. The fact that all cluster tilting objects are related by mutations follows from a combination of [16 Corollary 3.5] and [22 Theorem 7.11].

### 5.3. Main result.

**Theorem 5.3.** Let $(\Sigma, \mathbb{M})$ be a surface with non-empty boundary. Let $\tau$ and $\tau'$ be valency $\geq 2$-triangulations of $(\Sigma, \mathbb{M})$, with respective admissible cuts $d$ and $d'$. Assume that the corresponding cut algebras $\Lambda = \Lambda(\tau, d)$ and $\Lambda' = \Lambda(\tau', d')$ have global dimension $\leq 2$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

1. there is a triangle equivalence $\mathcal{D}^b(\Lambda) \cong \mathcal{D}^b(\Lambda')$;
2. there exists an orientation preserving homeomorphism $\Phi : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ with $\Phi(\mathbb{M}) = \mathbb{M}$ such that for any closed curve $\gamma$, $d(\Phi(\gamma)) = d'(\Phi(\gamma)^r)$. 


Remark 5.4. Suppose that $\tau'$ is a valency $\geq 2$-triangulation and $\Phi$ is an orientation-preserving homeomorphism such that $\Phi(M) = M$. Then $\Phi$ induces a quiver isomorphism $Q(\Phi^{-1}(\tau')) \to Q(\tau')$ that in turn induces an isomorphism of chain complexes $C_*(\Phi^{-1}(\tau')) \to C_*(\tau')$. We abuse notation and write $\Phi$ to denote the latter chain complex isomorphism. Then we have a group homomorphism $C^1(\tau') \to C^1(\Phi^{-1}(\tau'))$ given by $d' \mapsto d' \circ \Phi$. Suppose $d'$ is a degree-1 map on $Q(\tau')$; then its image $d' \circ \Phi$ is a degree-1 map on $Q(\Phi^{-1}(\tau'))$. Hence, if we are given another valency $\geq 2$-triangulation $\tau$, then by connecting $\Phi^{-1}(\tau')$ with $\tau$ by a sequence of flips involving only nice valency $\geq 2$-triangulations, then $d' \circ \Phi$ induces a degree-1 map $\{d' \circ \Phi\}_\tau$ on $Q(\tau)$ (again, this degree-1 map depends on the sequence of flips, but any two such sequences induce equivalent gradings on $Q(\tau)$—provided that only valency $\geq 2$-triangulations are involved in the sequences).

Then combining Lemma 3.10 with Corollary 3.13 we easily deduce that item (2) of Theorem 5.3 is equivalent to:

(2') there exists an orientation preserving homeomorphism $\Phi : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ with $\Phi(M) = M$ such that $\{d - \{d' \circ \Phi\}_\tau\}_\tau = 0$ as an element in $H^1(C^*_\tau(\Sigma))$.

(2') there exists an orientation preserving homeomorphism $\Phi : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ with $\Phi(M) = M$ such that $\{d - \{d' \circ \Phi\}_\tau\}_H^1(\Sigma) = 0$ as an element in $H^1(\Sigma)$.

Proof of Theorem 5.3 The proof here is similar to the proof of [3 Thm 3.13], but slightly more complicated given the presence of punctures, which makes it necessary to keep self-folded triangles and tagged triangulations in mind.

Let us immediately treat the small cases. If $(\Sigma, M)$ is an unpunctured disc with at most 3 marked points, then the result is trivial since there are no internal arcs. If $(\Sigma, M)$ is a once-punctured disc with at most 4 marked points or a twice-punctured disc with 2 marked points, then the result is true by [6 Corollary 3.16].

Therefore, for the rest of the proof, we will assume that $(\Sigma, M)$ is not one of the following:

- An unpunctured disc with at most 3 marked points;
- A once-punctured disc with at most 4 marked points on the boundary;
- A twice-punctured disc with 2 marked points on the boundary.

$(1) \Rightarrow (2)$ Assume that we have a derived equivalence $F : D^b(\Lambda) \to D^b(\Lambda')$. This equivalence extends to cluster categories, and we get a commutative diagram of functors

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
D^b(\Lambda) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & D^b(\Lambda') \\
\pi & \downarrow & \pi' \\
C_2(\Lambda) & \xrightarrow{f} & C_2(\Lambda')
\end{array}
$$

By a combination of [22 Theorem 7.11] and [10 Corollary 3.5], there is a bijection

$$
\{\text{cluster-tilting objects in } C_2(\Lambda')\} \xleftarrow{1-1} \{\text{tagged triangulations of } (\Sigma, M)\},
$$

compatible with flips and mutations and in which $\pi' \Lambda'$ corresponds to $\tau'$. Since $f(\pi \Lambda)$ is a cluster-tilting object of $C_2(\Lambda')$, it corresponds to a tagged triangulation $\tau'^\circ$.

The two triangulations $\tau'$ and $\tau'^\circ$ are related by a sequence of flips, which we can split into two subsequences $s'$ and $s$ such that

$$
f_f(\tau') = (\tau'^\circ)^o, \quad \text{and} \quad f_f((\tau'^\circ)^o) = \tau'^\circ.
$$

We can assume that all intermediate triangulations obtained while applying $s'$ are valency $\geq 2$, and thus ideal. To see this, we first show that $(\tau'^\circ)^o$ is a valency $\geq 2$-triangulation. Indeed, the quivers $Q((\tau'^\circ)^o)$ and $Q(\tau'^\circ)$ are isomorphic (cf. [22]). $Q(\tau'^\circ)$ is (isomorphic to) the Gabriel quiver of $\text{End}_{C_2(\Lambda')}(\tau'^\circ)$, $Q(\tau)$ is (isomorphic to) the Gabriel quiver of $\text{End}_{C_2(\Lambda)}(\tau)$, and $\text{End}_{C_2(\Lambda)}(\tau'^\circ) \cong \text{End}_{C_2(\Lambda)}(\tau)$ since $f$ is an equivalence of categories. Hence $Q((\tau'^\circ)^o)$ and $Q(\tau)$ are isomorphic quivers, and this implies that $(\tau'^\circ)^o$ is a valency $\geq 2$-triangulation by Proposition 3.14.
Since both $\tau'$ and $(\pi'^{\circ})^o$ are valency $\geq 2$-triangulations, [33 Equation 6.4] implies the existence of a sequence $s'$ of flips such that $f_s'(\tau') = (\pi'^{\circ})^o$ and with the property that all the intermediate triangulations of the sequence are valency $\geq 2$-triangulations.

This also implies that we can take the sequence $s$ to be as in Lemma 4.3.

Consider the object $\mu_{s'}^L\mu_s^L\Lambda'$ in $\mathcal{D}^p(\Lambda')$. Its image by $\pi$ is $f(\pi\Lambda)$, since $\pi'$ commutes with mutation. Moreover, since $f(\pi\Lambda)$ is isomorphic to $\pi' FA$, then by Section 5.1, the algebra $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}_2(\Lambda)}(\pi' FA) = \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^p(\Lambda)}(FA, S_z^p FA)$ is graded equivalent to $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}_2(\Lambda)}(\pi' \mu_s^L \mu_{s'}^L \Lambda') = \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^p(\Lambda)}(\mu_s^L \mu_{s'}^L \Lambda', S_z^p \mu_s^L \mu_{s'}^L \Lambda')$. Moreover, by Section 5.1,

$$\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}_2(\Lambda)}(\pi' \mu_s^L \mu_{s'}^L \Lambda') \cong \mathcal{P}(\mu_s^L \mu_{s'}^L (Q(\tau'), S(\tau'), d')).$$

Now, by Theorem 4.1,

$$\mathcal{P}(\mu_s^L \mu_{s'}^L (Q(\tau'), S(\tau'), d')) \cong \mathcal{P}(Q(f_s f_s \tau'), S(f_s f_s \tau'), \mu_s^L \mu_{s'}^L d').$$

The right hand side of the last equation is equal to $\mathcal{P}(Q(\pi'(f_s(\pi'^{\circ})^o)), S(\pi'(f_s(\pi'^{\circ})^o)), \mu_s^L \mu_{s'}^L d')$, which is in turn graded equivalent to $\mathcal{P}(Q((\pi'^{\circ})^o), S((\pi'^{\circ})^o), \mu_s^L d')$ by Lemma 4.5.

On the other hand, since $F$ is an equivalence, it commutes with $S_z$, so

$$\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}_2(\Lambda)}(\pi' FA) \cong \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^p(\Lambda)}(\Lambda, S_z^p \Lambda) = \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}_2(\Lambda)}(\pi \Lambda),$$

which is in turn isomorphic to $\mathcal{P}(Q(\tau), S(\tau), d)$ by Proposition 5.2.

Combining this, we get that $\mathcal{P}(Q(\tau'), S(\tau'), d)$ is graded equivalent to $\mathcal{P}(Q((\pi'^{\circ})^o), S((\pi'^{\circ})^o), \mu_s^L (d))$.

Thus, by Proposition 3.15 there exists an orientation preserving homeomorphism $\Phi : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ with $\Phi(M) = M$ and such that for every $\tau$-admissible closed curve $\gamma$ we have

$$d(\gamma^o) = \mu_s^L (d') (\Phi(\gamma)^{(f_s')^o(\tau')}).$$

Since in the sequence of flips $s'$ all intermediate triangulations are valency $\geq 2$-triangulations, Lemma 3.12 implies that

$$\mu_s^L (d') (\Phi(\gamma)^{(f_s')^o(\tau')}) = \Phi(\gamma)^{(f_s')^o(\tau')}.$$

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) For this direction, the proof is exactly similar to the one of [33], we sketch it for the convenience of the reader. Let $\tau'' = \Phi^{-1}(\tau')$ and $d''$ be the grading induced by $d'$ on $Q(\tau'')$ via the isomorphism $Q(\tau') \cong Q(\tau'')$. Then we clearly get an isomorphism of graded algebras

$$\mathcal{P}(Q(\tau'), S(\tau'), d') \cong \mathcal{P}(Q(\tau''), S(\tau''), d'') .$$

Hence we have an isomorphism $\Lambda' \simeq \Lambda''$ where $\Lambda''$ is the surface cut algebra associated with $(\tau'', d'')$. Moreover we have for any simple closed curve $\gamma$

$$d''(\gamma^o) = d''(\Phi^{-1}(\tau')) = d'(\Phi(\gamma)^{(f_s')^o(\tau')}).$$

Now let $s$ be a sequence of flips such that $\mu_s(\tau'') = \tau$ and such that all intermediate triangulations are nice and plain. Then $\mu_s^L (d'')$ and $d$ are both degree-1 maps on $Q(\tau)$ satisfying

$$\mu_s^L (d'')(\gamma^o) = d''(\gamma^o) = d'(\Phi(\gamma)^{(f_s')^o(\tau')}) = d(\gamma^o)$$

for any simple closed curve $\gamma$ on $\Sigma$.

Thus $d$ and $\mu_s^L (d'')$ are equivalent gradings, which implies that $\Lambda$ and $\Lambda''$ (and hence $\Lambda'$) are derived equivalent by [33 Corollary 6.14].

\[\square\]

Theorem 5.3 has the following immediate consequence:
Corollary 5.5. All surface cut algebras coming from an arbitrarily punctured polygon are derived equivalent.

This result was already known in the case of the disk with one (resp. two) puncture. Indeed, in this case, the surface cut algebra is cluster equivalent to the cluster category of type $D$ (resp. $\tilde{D}$). And since $D$ and $\tilde{D}$ are trees, one can conclude using [6, Cor. 3.16].

6. Cuts and perfect matchings

Let $(\Sigma, \mathbb{M})$ be a surface with marked points and non-empty boundary, and suppose that $\tau$ is a valency $\geq 3$-triangulation. Then every triangle of $\tau$ contains either 0, 1 or 3 arrows of the quiver $Q(\tau)$. Define a graph $G(\tau)$ as follows:

1. The vertex set of $G(\tau)$ is divided into three types of vertices, white, black and grey:
   - the set $W$ of white vertices is in bijection with the union of the set of internal triangles of $\tau$ with one side being a boundary segment and one vertex being a puncture;
   - the set $B$ of black vertices is in bijection with the set of punctures of the surface.
   - the set $G$ of grey vertices is in bijection with the union of the set of internal triangles that share at least one point with the boundary with the set of triangles with one side being a boundary segment and one vertex being a puncture.

2. The edges of $G(\tau)$ are only allowed to connect white vertices to black or grey vertices, and they satisfy the following further constraints:
   - for every arrow $\alpha$ shared by a puncture and a triangle, $G(\tau)$ has an edge $E_\alpha$ connecting the corresponding black and white vertices;
   - for every arrow $\alpha$ in an internal triangle and not shared by a puncture, $G(\tau)$ has an edge $E_\alpha$ connecting the corresponding grey and white vertices;
   - for every triangle containing exactly one arrow $\alpha$, if this arrow is shared by such triangle and a puncture, then $G(\tau)$ has an edge $F_\alpha$ connecting the corresponding white and grey vertices;
   - $G(\tau)$ does not have any other edges besides the ones we have just introduced.

Remark 6.1. The graph $G(\tau)$ may be disconnected (see Figure [1]):
   - for every internal triangle, the corresponding white vertex has valency 3, although the edges emanating from it do not necessarily always go to black vertices;
   - for every triangle with one side being a boundary segment and one vertex being a puncture, the corresponding white vertex has valency 2;
   - it is possible for two vertices of $G(\tau)$ to be connected by more than one edge;
   - the graph $G(\tau)$ is bipartite: a bipartition is given by the white vertex set and the union of the black vertex set and the grey vertex set.

Example 6.2. In Figure [1] we can see a triangulation $\tau$ of a twice-punctured octogon as well as the associated graph $G(\tau)$. Edges of type $E_\alpha$ have been drawn undotted, while the edge of type $F_\alpha$ has been drawn dotted.

Lemma 6.3. The set of cuts of $Q(\tau)$ is in bijection with the set $\{ M \mid M$ is a partial matching on $G(\tau)$ and there exists a subset $V_M \subseteq G$ such that $M$ is a perfect matching on $G(\tau) \setminus V_M \}$.

Proof. Let $d$ be an admissible cut of $Q(\tau)$, then $d$ is entirely defined by the set $C := \{ \alpha \in Q(\tau)_1 \mid d(\alpha) = 1 \}$. Define the subset $M = M(C)$ of the edge set of $G(\tau)$ as follows:

$M(C) := \{ E_\alpha \mid \alpha \in C \} \cup \{ F_\alpha \mid \alpha \notin C \text{ and } F_\alpha \text{ is defined} \}$

Note that is $\alpha$ is an arrow of $Q(\tau)$ such that $E_\alpha$ is not defined, then $\alpha$ belongs to a triangle with one side being a boundary segment and the three vertices on the boundary. Then $\alpha$ does not appear in $Q(\tau)_2$, and thus cannot be in $C$.

We claim that $M$ is a partial matching on $G(\tau)$. To prove this claim, we first show that every vertex which is white or black belongs exactly to one edge in $M$. It is obvious that every black vertex belongs to exactly one edge in $M$. Let $w \in G(\tau)$ be a white vertex.
Figure 4. Triangulation $\tau$ and associated graph $G(\tau)$

If it has valency three then the corresponding triangle $\Delta$ of $\tau$ is internal, and so contains exactly three arrows $\alpha$, $\beta$ and $\gamma$. Exactly one of these arrows, say $\alpha$, belongs to $C$, and hence $E_\alpha \in M$ and $E_\beta, E_\gamma \notin M$. If $w$ has valency two, then the corresponding triangle contains one boundary segment and one puncture, hence it contains exactly one arrow $\alpha$. Then either $\alpha \in C$, in which case $E_\alpha \in M$ and $F_\alpha \notin M$ or $\alpha \notin C$, in which case $E_\alpha \notin M$ and $F_\alpha \in M$.

Now we show that every grey vertex does not belong to more than one edge in $M$. Let $g \in G(\tau)$ be a grey vertex, and let $\Delta$ be the corresponding triangle of $\tau$. Let $w$ be the white vertex of $G(\tau)$ corresponding to $\Delta$. It is clear that no edge of $G(\tau)$ connects $g$ with a vertex different from $w$, and that $w$ is connected to $g$ by at least one edge of $G(\tau)$. If $\Delta$ contains exactly one arrow of $Q(\tau)$, then $w$ and $g$ are connected by exactly one edge of $G(\tau)$ and it is hence obvious that $g$ belongs to at most one edge in $M$. If $\Delta$ contains exactly three arrows, say $\alpha$, $\beta$ and $\gamma$, then exactly one of these three arrows, say $\alpha$, belongs to $C$, and then it is clear that both of the following containments are impossible: $E_\beta \in M$, $E_\gamma \in M$, which shows that $g$ belongs to at most one edge in $M$.

We conclude that $M$ is a partial matching on $G(\tau)$. Since we have shown that every white vertex and every black vertex belong to at least one edge in $M$, the existence of a subset $V_M$ of the grey vertex set such that $M$ is a perfect matching on $G(\tau) \setminus V_M$ is obvious.

Conversely, suppose that $M$ is a partial matching on $G(\tau)$ and that there exists a subset $V_M$ of the grey vertex set such that $M$ is a perfect matching on $G(\tau) \setminus V_M$. Define $C = C(M)$ defined as follows.

$$C(M) = \{ \alpha \mid E_\alpha \in M \}.$$  
It is obvious that $C$ is a cut of $(Q(\tau), S(\tau))$.

We leave in the hands of the reader the verification that the assignments $M \mapsto C(M)$ and $C \mapsto M(C)$ are inverse to each other. $\square$

Remark 6.4. Let $M$ be a partial matching on $G(\tau)$ for which there exists a subset $V_M$ of the grey vertex set with the property that $M$ is a perfect matching on $G(\tau) \setminus V_M$. Note that the subset $\{ E \in M \mid E = E_\alpha \}$ of $M$ determines $M$ uniquely.

Example 6.5. In Figure 5 we can see a triangulation $\tau$ of a twice-punctured octogon, a cut of the QP $(Q(\tau), S(\tau))$ (arrows of degree 1 are dotted), and the partial matching corresponding to the cut.

We recall from [27] the following criterion for a general bipartite graph to have at least one perfect matching:

**Theorem 6.6** (Hall’s marriage theorem). Let $G$ be a bipartite graph. A perfect matching on $G$ exists if and only if every collection of white (resp. black) vertices is edge-connected to
Figure 5. Admissible cut of $\tau$ and associated perfect matching of $G(\tau)$

at least as many black (resp. white) vertices. In particular, if $G$ admits a perfect matching, then its number of white vertices equals its number of black vertices.

Since grey vertices are somehow disposable when it comes to partial matchings on $G(\tau)$ that correspond to cuts of $(Q(\tau), S(\tau))$, we give the following slight modification of Theorem 6.6:

**Theorem 6.7.** The graph $G(\tau)$ admits a partial matching that saturates all white and black vertices if and only if the following two conditions are simultaneously satisfied:

1. For any subset $S_1$ of $W$ there are at least $|S_1|$ elements of $B \cup G$ that are edge-connected to elements of $S_1$;
2. For any subset $S_2$ of $B$ there are at least $|S_2|$ elements of $W$ that are edge-connected to elements of $S_2$.

In particular, if a partial matching exists that saturates all black and white vertices, then we have $|B| \leq |W| \leq |B| + |G|$.

**Proof.** Necessity is obvious, we prove sufficiency. From (1) we deduce that there exists a partial matching $M_1$ on $G(\tau)$ that saturates $W$, whereas from (2) we deduce that there exists a partial matching $M_2$ on $G(\tau)$ that saturates $B$. If $M_2 \subseteq M_1$, then $M_1$ is a partial matching that saturates all white and black vertices and the theorem follows. So, let us assume that $M_2 \setminus M_1 \neq \emptyset$ and write $D = (M_1 \cup M_2) \setminus (M_1 \cap M_2)$. Note that $M_2 \setminus M_1 \subseteq D$.

As a graph, $D$ is the union of its connected components. Any such component $C$ either contains a grey vertex or it does not. If it does, then it is a line, it contains exactly one grey vertex and this grey vertex is a leaf (see Figure 6). If $C$ does not contain any grey vertex, then $C$ is a cycle.

Figure 6. If $C$ contains a grey vertex, then $C$ is a line and the grey vertex is a leaf of $C$.

If at least one connected component $C$ of $D$ is either a cycle or a line having a black vertex as a leaf, define $M'_1 = (M_1 \setminus C) \cup (M_2 \cap C)$ and $M'_2 = M_2$. Then $M'_1$ is a partial matching on $G(\tau)$ and it saturates $W$. Furthermore, the symmetric difference $(M'_1 \cup M'_2) \setminus (M'_1 \cap M'_2)$ is properly contained in $D$.

If every connected component of $D$ is a line that has a white vertex as a leaf, then:

- If at least one such component, say $C$, has at least two edges, let $e$ be the unique edge in $C$ that is incident to a grey vertex and define $M'_1 = M_1$ and $M'_2 = (M_2 \setminus$
C) \cup ((M_1 \cap C) \setminus \{e\})$. Then $M_2'$ is a partial matching on $G(\tau)$ and it saturates $\mathcal{B}$. Furthermore, the symmetric difference $(M_1' \cup M_2') \setminus (M_1' \cap M_2')$ is properly contained in $D$.

- If all components of $D$ are singletons, then at least one of them, say $C$, is contained in a connected component of $G(\tau)$ that has a black vertex, for otherwise we would have $M_2 \subseteq M_1$, against our assumption that $M_2 \setminus M_1 \neq \emptyset$. Let $e_0$ be the unique element of $C$. Then $e_0$ connects a vertex $w_0 \in \mathcal{G}$ with a vertex $w_0 \in \mathcal{W}$ and there exists an edge $e_1$ that connects $w_0$ with a black vertex $b_0$. Since $e_0 \in M_1$, the edge $e_1$ does not belong to $M_1$. It does not belong to $M_2$ either, for otherwise $C = \{e_0\}$ would not be a connected component of $D$. Therefore, $e_1 \notin M_1 \cup M_2$. Let $e_2$ be the unique element of $M_2$ containing $b_0$. Then $e_2 \in M_1$, for otherwise $e_2$ would be contained in a connected component of $D$ that would not be a singleton with a white vertex as a leaf. Set $M_1' = (M_1 \setminus \{e_0, e_2\}) \cup \{e_1\}$ and $M_2' = (M_2 \setminus \{e_2\}) \cup \{e_1\}$. Then $M_1'$ (resp. $M_2'$) is a partial matching on $G(\tau)$ that saturates $\mathcal{W}$ (resp. $\mathcal{B}$), and the symmetric difference $(M_1' \cup M_2') \setminus (M_1' \cap M_2')$ has less elements than $D$.

We conclude that a partial matching on $G(\tau)$ indeed exists that simultaneously saturates all white and black vertices.

\textbf{Corollary 6.8.} If $(\Sigma, \mathcal{M})$ is a surface with empty boundary different from a sphere with less than five punctures, and if $\tau$ is a valency \geq 3-triangulation, then $(Q(\tau), S(\tau))$ does not admit any cut whatsoever.

\textbf{Proof.} Using induction on the number of punctures of $(\Sigma, \mathcal{M})$, it is easy to prove that $|\mathcal{B}| < |\mathcal{W}|$. The result follows then from Theorem 6.7 since $\mathcal{G} = \emptyset$. \hfill $\Box$

\textbf{Proposition 6.9.} Let $(\Sigma, \mathcal{M})$ be a surface with non-empty boundary and at least one puncture. If the genus of $\Sigma$ is positive, then there exist ideal triangulations of $(\Sigma, \mathcal{M})$ whose associated QPs do not admit any cuts whatsoever.

\textbf{Proof.} It suffices to show the existence of a triangulation $\tau$ with the property that for some puncture $p$ and some pair $\triangle, \triangle'$, of different triangles of $\tau$, all the sides of $\triangle$ and all the sides of $\triangle'$ are loops based at $p$. Such a triangulation $\tau$ can be constructed as follows. Consider the triangulation $\sigma$ shown in Figure 7, where the underlying surface $(\Sigma', \mathcal{M}')$ is the once-punctured torus with exactly one boundary component and exactly one marked point on such component. If $(\Sigma, \mathcal{M}) = (\Sigma', \mathcal{M}')$, we take $\tau$ to be $\sigma$. Otherwise, it is clear that $(\Sigma, \mathcal{M})$ can be obtained from $(\Sigma', \mathcal{M}')$ by gluing a surface $\Sigma''$ of genus $g(\Sigma) - 1$ to $\Sigma'$ along the boundary of a disc cut out in the interior of the unique non-internal triangle of $\sigma$ (which has been shadowed in Figure 7), and by suitably adding marked points to $\Sigma''$ and/or to the unique boundary component of $\Sigma'$ if necessary. Completing $\sigma$ to a triangulation of $(\Sigma, \mathcal{M})$ yields a triangulation $\tau$ whose associated QP clearly lacks cuts. \hfill $\Box$

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.5\textwidth]{triangulation_no_cuts.png}
\caption{Triangulation without cuts}
\end{figure}
7. Cuts and global dimension \( \leq 2 \)

The aim of this section is to describe the cuts which give rise to algebras of global dimension \( \leq 2 \).

Let \((\Sigma, M)\) be an oriented surface with marked points and with non empty boundary. Let \(\tau\) be an ideal valency \(\geq 3\)-triangulation of \((\Sigma, M)\). Let \(d\) be an admissible cut on \(\tau\). We denote by \(\Lambda\) the degree zero subalgebra of the corresponding Jacobian algebra. The Gabriel quiver \(Q(\Lambda)\) of \(\Lambda\) can be obtained from \(Q(\tau)\) by deleting the arrows that have degree 1. The ideal of relations in \(\Lambda\) is generated by the set \(\{\partial_\alpha S(\tau), d(\alpha) = 1\}\).

We start this section with a result (Lemma 7.1) that gives some zero relations between the arrows from \(\Lambda\). This allows us to describe explicitly all the shapes that a projective indecomposable module can take (Proposition 7.2). From this description, we deduce for which configuration a simple has projective dimension \(\geq 3\) (Proposition 7.3). We end the section proving that there exist surface cut algebras associated to almost any surface (Corollary 7.4).

**7.1. Zero relations in \(\Lambda\).** For arrows \(\alpha, \beta\) of \(Q(\tau)\), we say that \(\alpha\beta\) is a hook if the composition \(\alpha\beta\) is a path and \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\) belong to the same triangle of \(\tau\).

**Lemma 7.1.** If \(\alpha\beta\) is a hook in \(\Lambda\), then for any arrow \(\gamma\) of the Gabriel quiver of \(\Lambda\), the products \(\alpha\beta\gamma\) and \(\gamma\alpha\beta\) are zero in \(\Lambda\).

**Proof.** We only prove that \(\alpha\beta\gamma\) is zero in \(\Lambda\). The proof that the other product is zero as well is similar.

Without loss of generality we can clearly assume that \(\alpha\beta\gamma\) is an actual path, that is, that the head of \(\gamma\) is the tail of \(\beta\). Furthermore, it clearly suffices to show that \(\alpha\beta\gamma\) belongs to the Jacobian ideal \(J(S(\tau))\).

Let \((\tilde{\Sigma}, \tilde{M})\) be a surface with empty boundary, and \(\tilde{\tau}\) be an ideal triangulation of \((\tilde{\Sigma}, \tilde{M})\), with the following properties:

- \(\Sigma \subseteq \tilde{\Sigma}\), \(M \subseteq \tilde{M}\) and \(\tau \subseteq \tilde{\tau}\);
- every puncture of \((\tilde{\Sigma}, \tilde{M})\) is incident to at least three arcs in \(\tilde{\tau}\);
- \((\tilde{\Sigma}, \tilde{M})\) is not a sphere with exactly four punctures.

One can construct \((\tilde{\Sigma}, \tilde{M})\) and \(\tilde{\tau}\) by gluing suitable, possibly punctured, triangulated polygons to \((\Sigma, M)\) along its boundary components. In what follows, all the arrows are meant to be arrows of \(Q(\tilde{\tau})\).

For any arrow \(\eta\) of \(Q(\tilde{\tau})\) there exists exactly one arrow \(t(\eta) \neq \eta\) that has the same tail as \(\eta\), and exactly one arrow \(b(\eta)\) that has the same head as \(\beta\).

Because of the surjective algebra homomorphism \(P(Q(\tilde{\tau}, S(\tilde{\tau}))) \rightarrow P(Q(\tau), S(\tau))\), in order to prove that \(\alpha\beta\gamma\) belongs to \(J(S(\tau))\), it is enough to show that \(\alpha\beta\gamma\) belongs\(^2\) to the Jacobian ideal \(J(S(\tilde{\tau}))\). Furthermore, to prove that \(\alpha\beta\gamma\) belongs to the Jacobian ideal \(J(S(\tilde{\tau}))\), it is enough to show that there exist paths \(p_1\) and \(p_2\) with the property that the length of the path \(p_1\alpha\beta\gamma p_2\) is greater than 3 and, moreover, \(\alpha\beta\gamma - p_1\alpha\beta\gamma p_2 \in J(S(\tilde{\tau}))\).

For each \(n \geq 0\), let \(\beta_n = t(\beta_0)\) and \(\beta_0 = b(\eta)\), and for all \(n \geq 0\), let \(\beta_{n+2} = \begin{cases} b(\beta_{n+1}) & \text{if } \beta_{n+1} = t(\beta_n); \\ t(\beta_{n+1}) & \text{if } \beta_{n+1} = b(\beta_n). \end{cases}\)

Note that we always have \(\beta_1 \neq \beta_0\). Furthermore, since \(Q(\tilde{\tau})\) has only finitely many arrows, there exists an \(n \geq 0\) such that \(\beta_{n+1} = \beta_0\) and \(|\{\beta_0, \ldots, \beta_n\}| = n + 1\). This integer \(n\) is always odd.

For each \(m \in \{0, \ldots, n\}\),
- if \(m\) is even, let \(\alpha_m\) be the unique arrow such that \(\alpha_m\beta_m\) is a hook, and let \(\delta_m\) be the unique arrow such that \(\beta_m\delta_m\) is a hook;
- if \(m\) is odd, let \(\alpha_m\) be the unique arrow such that \(\alpha_m\beta_m\) is a hook, and let \(\delta_m\) be the unique arrow such that \(\beta_m\delta_m\) is a hook.

\(^2\)That \(\alpha\beta\gamma \in J(S(\tilde{\tau}))\) has been proved in [35, Lemma 3.10] under a hypothesis which is less general than assuming that every puncture of \((\tilde{\Sigma}, \tilde{M})\) has valency at least 3.
• if $m$ is odd, let $\alpha_m$ be the unique arrow such that $\beta_m \alpha_m$ is a hook, and let $\delta_m$ be the unique arrow such that $\delta_m \beta_m$ is a hook.

Note that $\alpha_0 = \alpha$ and $\alpha_1 = \gamma$. There certainly exist paths $\lambda_0, \ldots, \lambda_n$, such that

$$\partial_{\delta_m}(S(\tilde{r})) = \begin{cases} 
\alpha_m \beta_m - \lambda_m \alpha_{m+2} \beta_{m+1} & \text{if } m \text{ is even;} \\
\beta_m \alpha_m - \beta_{m+1} \alpha_{m+2} \lambda_m & \text{if } m \text{ is odd;}
\end{cases}$$

which can be written as the following sequence of equalities:

$$\begin{align*}
\alpha_0 \beta_0 &= \partial_{\delta_0}(S(\tilde{r})) + \lambda_0 \alpha_2 \beta_1 \\
\beta_1 \alpha_1 &= \partial_{\delta_1}(S(\tilde{r})) + \beta_2 \alpha_3 \lambda_1 \\
\alpha_2 \beta_2 &= \partial_{\delta_2}(S(\tilde{r})) + \alpha_4 \beta_3 \\
\beta_3 \alpha_3 &= \partial_{\delta_3}(S(\tilde{r})) + \beta_4 \alpha_5 \lambda_3 \\
\alpha_4 \beta_4 &= \partial_{\delta_4}(S(\tilde{r})) + \alpha_6 \beta_5 \\
\beta_5 \alpha_5 &= \partial_{\delta_5}(S(\tilde{r})) + \beta_6 \alpha_7 \lambda_5 \\
\alpha_6 \beta_6 &= \partial_{\delta_6}(S(\tilde{r})) + \alpha_8 \beta_7 \\
\beta_7 \alpha_7 &= \partial_{\delta_7}(S(\tilde{r})) + \beta_8 \alpha_9 \lambda_7 \\
& \vdots \\
\alpha_{n-1} \beta_{n-1} &= \partial_{\delta_{n-1}}(S(\tilde{r})) + \lambda_{n-1} \alpha_0 \beta_n \\
\beta_n \alpha_n &= \partial_{\delta_n}(S(\tilde{r})) + \beta_0 \alpha_1 \lambda_n.
\end{align*}$$

Using this sequence of equalities we see that

$$\alpha \beta \gamma = \alpha_0 \beta_0 \alpha_1 \equiv \lambda_0 \alpha_2 \beta_1 \alpha_1 \equiv \lambda_0 \alpha_2 \beta_2 \alpha_3 \lambda_1 \equiv \lambda_0 \alpha_2 \beta_4 \alpha_5 \alpha_3 \lambda_1 \equiv \lambda_0 \alpha_2 \beta_4 \alpha_6 \alpha_5 \beta_5 \alpha_5 \lambda_3 \lambda_1 \equiv \lambda_0 \alpha_2 \beta_4 \alpha_6 \alpha_7 \beta_7 \alpha_5 \lambda_5 \lambda_3 \lambda_1 \equiv \lambda_0 \alpha_2 \beta_4 \alpha_6 \alpha_8 \beta_8 \alpha_9 \lambda_7 \lambda_5 \lambda_3 \lambda_1 \equiv \lambda_0 \alpha_2 \beta_4 \alpha_6 \alpha_9 \beta_9 \alpha_7 \lambda_7 \lambda_5 \lambda_3 \lambda_1 \equiv \lambda_0 \alpha_2 \beta_4 \alpha_6 \alpha_{n-1} \lambda_{n-1} \alpha_{n-2} \ldots \lambda_7 \lambda_5 \lambda_3 \lambda_1 \equiv \lambda_0 \alpha_2 \beta_4 \alpha_6 \alpha_{n-2} \lambda_{n-1} \alpha_{n-2} \ldots \lambda_7 \lambda_5 \lambda_3 \lambda_1 \equiv p_1 \alpha \beta \gamma p_2,$$

where $x \equiv y$ means that $x - y \in J(S(\tilde{r}))$. That $p_1 \alpha \beta \gamma p_2$ has length greater than 3 follows from the fact that for some $m$, the puncture associated to $\delta_m$ has valency at least 4 in $\tilde{r}$, a fact which itself follows from the easy-to-prove fact that, since $(\Sigma, \tilde{M})$ is not a sphere with exactly 4 punctures, if a puncture $p$ has valency 3 in $\tilde{r}$, then at most one of the arcs it is connected to by means of arcs in $\tilde{r}$ has valency 3 in $\tilde{r}$. \hfill $\square$

### 7.2. Projective indecomposable modules.

Let $i$ be an (internal) arc of $\tau$. We denote $\Delta$ and $\Delta'$ the triangles that contain $i$. We adopt the following notations and orientations for the arcs that are sides of $\Delta$ and $\Delta'$, and for the quiver $Q(\tau)$ around $i$:
Note that there might be identification between vertices or arcs in this picture. But since the valency of each puncture is at least 3 the two triangles $\Delta$ and $\Delta'$ must be different. The arrows $\alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta', \gamma$ and $\gamma'$ may or may not exist in the quiver $Q(\tau)$ depending whether $j, k, j'$ or $k'$ are internal arcs, and if they exists they may or may not be arrows in $Q(\Lambda)$ depending on the cut $d$. We also denote by $\alpha_1 = \alpha, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \ldots$ (resp. $\alpha'_1 = \alpha', \alpha'_2, \alpha'_3 \ldots$) the arrows of $Q(\tau)$ going around the marked point $p$ (resp. $q$).

Now, to the arc $i$ we associate five different $\Lambda$-modules with top $S(i)$ the simple associated to $i$: $A_L(i)$ (resp. $A_R(i)$), $B_L(i)$ (resp. $B_R(i)$), $C(i)$, $D_L(i)$ (resp. $D_R(i)$), $E(i)$.

- The module $A_L(i)$ exists if $\alpha$ is in $Q(\Lambda)$ and if the longest path $\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_2 \alpha$ around the marked point $p$ in $Q(\Lambda)$ starting from $\alpha$ is not zero in $\Lambda$. It corresponds to the case where $p$ is on the boundary; or $p$ is a puncture and $\gamma'$ is in $\Lambda$; or $p$ is a puncture and $j'$ is an internal arc. In these cases, $A_L(i)$ is defined to be the string module associated to the string $\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_2 \alpha$. The module $A_R(i)$ corresponds to the string $\alpha'_1 \alpha'_2 \alpha'$ around $q$. Note that the exponent $R$ and $L$ depends on the choice of the orientation on $i$.
- The module $B_L(i)$ exists if $\alpha$ is in $Q(\Lambda)$. Then it is the string module associated to $\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_2 \alpha$ where $\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_2 \alpha$ is the longest path in $Q(\Lambda)$ around $p$. The module $B_R(i)$ is defined similarly around $q$.
- The module $C(i)$ is defined if $\alpha$ and $\alpha'$ are in $Q(\Lambda)$. It is the string module associated to $\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_2 \alpha \alpha'^{-1} \ldots \alpha'^{-1}$. Note that if $\alpha$ and $\alpha'$ are in $Q(\Lambda)$ then the paths $\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_2 \alpha$ and $\alpha'_1 \ldots \alpha'_2 \alpha'$ are non zero in $\Lambda$.
- The module $D_L(i)$ is defined if $p$ is a puncture, $j'$ is internal and $\alpha'$ and $\beta'$ are in $Q(\Lambda')$. Then $D_L(i)$ is the extension of $A_R(i)$ by $A_R(j)$ given by the arrows $\alpha$ and $\beta'$.
- The module $E(i)$ is defined if $p$ and $q$ are puncture and if $\alpha, \alpha', \beta$ and $\beta'$ are arrows in $Q(\Lambda)$. Its socle is the semi-simple module $S(k) \oplus S(k')$ and it is the extension of $D_L(i)/S(k)$ by $S(k')$ given by the arrows $\alpha_1$ and $\beta'$. 
Proposition 7.2. Let \( \tau \) be a valency \( \geq 3 \)-triangular, \( d \) an admissible cut and \( \Lambda \) the degree zero subalgebra of \( P(Q(\tau), S(\tau), d) \). Let \( i \) be an internal arc in \( \tau \). Then the indecomposable projective module \( P(i) \) associated to \( i \) is either simple, or isomorphic to \( A^{L,R}(i) \), \( B^{L,R}(i) \), \( C(i) \), \( D^{L,R}(i) \) or \( E(i) \).

Proof. Assume that \( P(i) \) is not simple, then \( i \) is not a sink in the quiver \( Q(\Lambda) \). Hence we may assume that \( \alpha \) is in \( Q(\Lambda) \). Let \( \alpha_\ell \ldots \alpha_2 \alpha \) be the maximal composition of arrows around \( p \) in the quiver \( Q(\Lambda) \). If this composition vanishes in \( \Lambda \) then \( \alpha_\ell \ldots \alpha_2 \alpha \) is in the Jacobian ideal and so \( \gamma' \) exists, has degree 1 and \( \alpha_\ell \ldots \alpha_2 \alpha = \partial_{\gamma'} S(\tau) \). This implies that \( \Delta' \) is not internal. Indeed if \( \Delta' \) would be internal, \( \alpha' \) and \( \beta' \) would exist and have degree zero, so we would have \( \partial_{\gamma'} S(\tau) = \alpha_\ell \ldots \alpha_2 \alpha - \beta' \alpha' \) in \( \Lambda \). Therefore \( j' \) is a boundary segment. Then if the hook \( \beta \alpha \) exists in \( Q(\Lambda) \), it vanishes since \( q \) is on the boundary. Moreover for any hook \( \beta_i \alpha_i \), the composition \( \beta_i \alpha_i \alpha_{i-1} \) vanishes by Lemma 7.1. Hence there only one maximal path in \( \Lambda \) starting \( f \) from \( i \) which is \( \alpha_{\ell-1} \ldots \alpha_2 \alpha \), and \( P(i) \) is isomorphic to the module \( B^L(i) \).

Assume now that the path \( \alpha_\ell \ldots \alpha_2 \alpha \) does not vanish in \( \Lambda \). If the hook \( \beta \alpha \) vanishes (or does not exist in \( \Lambda \)), then by the same argument as above, there exists only one maximal path starting with \( \alpha \) in \( \Lambda \).

Assume that \( \beta \) is in \( Q(\Lambda) \) and \( \beta \alpha \neq 0 \) in \( \Lambda \). Then \( \Delta \) is an internal triangle, \( q \) is a puncture and \( d(\gamma) = 1 \). With similar arguments as above one deduces that there are only two maximal paths starting with \( \alpha \), which are \( \alpha_{\ell} \ldots \alpha_2 \alpha \) and \( \beta \alpha \).

Combining these two remarks one deduces the following:

- if \( \beta \alpha = 0 \) (or does not exist) in \( \Lambda \) and \( \alpha' \) is not in \( Q(\Lambda) \) then \( P(i) \) is isomorphic to \( A^L(i) \);
- if \( \beta \alpha = 0 \) (or does not exist) in \( \Lambda \), \( \alpha' \) is in \( Q(\Lambda) \) and \( \beta' \alpha' = 0 \) (or does not exist) then \( P(i) \) is isomorphic to \( C(i) \);
- if \( \beta \alpha = 0 \) (or does not exist) in \( \Lambda \), \( \alpha' \) is in \( Q(\Lambda) \) and \( \beta' \alpha' \neq 0 \) then \( P(i) \) is isomorphic to \( D^R(i) \);
- if \( \beta \alpha \neq 0 \), \( \alpha' \) is in \( Q(\Lambda) \) and \( \beta' \alpha' = 0 \) (or does not exist) then \( P(i) \) is isomorphic to \( D^L(i) \);
- if \( \beta \alpha \neq 0 \) and \( \beta' \alpha' \neq 0 \) in \( \Lambda \), then \( P(i) \) is isomorphic to \( E(i) \).

7.3. Projective dimension of simples. In this subsection, we adopt the following notation. By a black bullet we denote a marked point that is a puncture, and by a gray square a marked point that is on the boundary. A side of a triangle that is a boundary segment is coloured in gray and an angle (between two internal arcs) corresponding to an arrow of \( Q(\tau) \) of degree 1 is denoted by a double angle.

Proposition 7.3. Let \( \tau \), \( d \), \( \Lambda \) and \( i \) as in Proposition 7.2. We assume that the orientations of the arrows around a marked point is counterclockwise. Then the simple \( \Lambda \)-module \( S = S(i) \) has projective dimension \( \geq 3 \) if and only \( i \) lies in one of the following configurations:

Proof. For a module \( M \) we denote by \( P(M) \) its projective cover, and for an arc \( \ell \) we denote \( P(\ell) \) the projective cover of the simple \( S(\ell) \).

If \( P(i) \) is simple, then the projective dimension of \( S(i) \) is 0.

Case 1: \( P(i) \simeq A^L(i) \).

Then the first syzygy \( \Omega S \) of \( S \) is isomorphic to \( S(j) \) or to \( A^R(j) \) depending whether \( \alpha_2 \) is in \( Q(\Lambda) \) or not. Hence we have \( P(\Omega S) = P(j) \). Moreover since \( P(i) = A^L(i) \), the arrow \( \alpha' \) is not in \( Q(\Lambda) \) and either \( \beta \) is not in \( Q(\Lambda) \) or \( \beta \alpha = 0 \) in \( \Lambda \). We distinguish the two cases.
• \( \beta \) is not \( Q(\Lambda) \): In that case, \( A^R(j) \) (resp. \( S(j) \)) if \( \alpha_2 \) is not in \( Q(\Lambda) \) is projective, hence \( S \) has projective dimension 1.

• \( \beta \) is in \( Q(\Lambda) \) and \( \beta \alpha = 0 \): In that case, since \( d(\gamma) = 1 \), the module \( A^R(k) \) (resp. \( S(k) \)) if \( \beta_2 \) is not in \( Q(\Lambda) \) is projective. If \( \Omega S = A^R(j) \), then there are two arrows starting from \( j \) in \( Q(\Lambda) \). So \( P(j) \) is isomorphic to \( C(j) \), \( D^{L,R}(j) \) or \( E(j) \). It cannot be \( D^R(j) \) and \( E(j) \) since \( \alpha \) is in \( Q(\Lambda) \). In the other two cases we have \( \Omega^2 S \simeq A^R(k) \) (resp. \( S(k) \) if \( \beta_2 \) is not in \( Q(\Lambda) \)) which is projective. Thus \( S \) has projective dimension two.

If \( \Omega S = S(j) \), then there is only one arrow in \( Q(\Lambda) \) starting from \( j \), so \( P(j) \) is of type \( A^L(j) \) or \( B^L(j) \). If it is \( A^L(j) \) then \( \Omega^2 S \) is isomorphic to \( A^R(k) \) (or \( S(k) \)) and the projective dimension of \( S \) is two.

If \( P(j) = B^L(j) \), then \( \Omega^2 S \) is isomorphic to \( B^L(k) \) which is not projective. So the projective dimension of \( S \) is \( \geq 3 \), and \( i \) lies in one of the following configurations:

In the case where \( P(i) \simeq A^R(i) \), then we obtain the same configurations (up to central symmetry).

**Case 2:** \( P(i) \simeq B^L(i) \).
In this case, we have \( \Omega S \simeq B^R(j) \) (note that the module \( B^R(j) \) might be simple), hence the projective cover of \( \Omega S \) is \( P(j) \). Again, we separate the cases depending on the existence of \( \beta \) in \( Q(\Lambda) \).

• \( \beta \) is not in \( Q(\Lambda) \): Then we have \( P(j) = A^R(j) \) and \( \Omega^2 S = S(k') \).

• \( \beta \) is in \( Q(\Lambda) \): Then \( \beta \alpha \) vanishes in \( \Lambda \) since \( q \) is on the boundary. By the same argument as in Case 1, the projective \( P(j) \) is isomorphic to \( B(j) \) or \( D^L(j) \) (or to \( A^L(j) \) if \( \Omega S = S(j) \)), and \( \Omega^2 S \simeq S(k') \oplus A^R(k) \) (or \( S(k') \oplus S(k) \) if \( k \) is a sink in \( Q(\Lambda) \)). Moreover, the module \( A^R(k) \) is always projective.

Hence, in this situation, \( S \) has projective dimension \( \geq 3 \) if and only if the simple \( S(k') \) is not projective, i.e. if \( i \) lies in the following configuration:

**Case 3:** \( P(i) \simeq C(i) \).
In this case \( \Omega S \) is isomorphic to \( A^R(j) \oplus A^R(j') \), (or \( A^R(j) \oplus S(j') \) or \( S(j) \oplus A^R(j') \) or \( S(j) \oplus S(j') \)) depending whether \( \alpha_2 \) and \( \alpha_2' \) are in \( Q(\Lambda) \)). The situation is then the same as in Case 1, that is \( S \) has projective dimension \( \geq 3 \) if and only if \( P(j) \) (or \( P(j') \)) are isomorphic to \( B^L(j) \) (or \( B^L(j') \)). This corresponds to the following situations (up to central symmetry):
Case 4: \( P(i) \simeq D^L(i) \).

Then the module \( \Omega S \) has the following shape:

![Diagram](image)

The top of this module is \( S(j) \oplus S(j') \), so we have \( P(\Omega S) \simeq P(j) \oplus P(j') \).

- \( \beta \) is not in \( Q(\Lambda) \): Then the projective \( P(j) \) is isomorphic to \( A^R(j) \). Indeed it is not simple since each puncture has valency at least 3 and it cannot be \( B^R(j) \) since \( \alpha \) is in \( Q(\Lambda) \). The projective \( P(j') \) can be isomorphic to \( A^L(j'), B^L(j'), C(j') \) or \( D^L(j') \). If \( P(j') \not\simeq B^L(j') \) then \( \Omega^2 S \) is isomorphic to \( A^R(k') \) (or \( S(k') \)) which is projective. If \( P(j') \simeq B^L(j') \) then \( \Omega^2 S \) is isomorphic to \( B^R(k') \) which is not projective.

- \( \beta \) is in \( Q(\Lambda) \): Then the hook \( \beta \alpha \) vanishes in \( \Lambda \). The projective \( P(j) \) is isomorphic to \( C(j) \) or \( D^L(j) \), and the projective \( P(j') \) is isomorphic to \( A^L(j'), B^L(j'), C(j') \) or \( D^L(j') \). As in the previous case, if \( P(j') \) is not isomorphic to \( B^L(j') \) then \( \Omega^2 S \) is isomorphic to \( A^R(k) \oplus A^R(k') \) which are both projective. Hence \( S \) has projective dimension \( \geq 3 \) if and only if \( P(j') \simeq B^L(j') \).

Therefore, if \( P(i) \simeq D^L(i) \), the simple \( S \) has projective dimension \( \geq 3 \) if and only if \( i \) lies in the following configuration:

![Diagram](image)

Case 5: \( P(i) \simeq E(i) \).

Then \( \Omega S \) has the following form.

![Diagram](image)

The top of this module is \( S(j) \oplus S(j') \) so \( P(\Omega S) \simeq P(j) \oplus P(j') \). Then as in the previous case, the projective \( P(j) \) (resp. \( P(j') \)) is isomorphic to \( B(j) \) or to \( D^L(j) \) (resp. \( B(j') \) or \( D^L(j') \)). In all these cases we get \( \Omega^2 S \simeq A^R(k) \oplus A^R(k') \) and is projective.

We end this section with the following result, which implies that for any surface \( (\Sigma, \mathcal{M}) \) with boundary there exists an associated surface cut algebra.

**Corollary 7.4.** Let \( (\Sigma, \mathcal{M}) \) be a surface with non empty boundary which is not:

- a \( n \)-gon with \( n \leq 3 \);
- a once punctured monogon or digon;
- a twice punctured monogon.

Then there exists a valency \( \geq 3 \)-triangulation \( \tau \) and an admissible cut \( d \) such that the corresponding cut algebra \( \Lambda \) has global dimension \( \leq 2 \).

**Proof.** We proceed by induction on the number of punctures. Assume that there exists a triangulation \( \tau \) and a cut \( d \) with the following properties:

1. \( \tau \) is a valency \( \geq 3 \)-triangulation;
(2) there exists a triangle $\Delta$ in $\tau$ with exactly one side being a boundary segment;
(3) for each triangle of $\tau$ containing one puncture and one boundary segment, the corresponding arrow has degree 0.

By Proposition 7.3, such graded triangulation gives rise to a cut algebra of global dimension $\leq 2$.

Now we add a puncture $p$ in the surface, and we construct a graded triangulation $(\tau', d')$ of the surface $(\Sigma, M \cup \{p\})$ with the same properties. We may assume that $p$ is in the triangle $\Delta$. Denote by $i$ and $j$ the sides of $\Delta$ that are arcs in $\tau$, and link $p$ to the three vertices of $\Delta$ with arcs $\ell, \ell_i$ and $\ell_j$.

We obtain a valency $\geq 3$-triangulation $\tau' = \tau \cup \{\ell, \ell_i, \ell_j\}$ of $(\Sigma, M \cup \{p\})$. This triangulation contains three new triangles: two internal $\Delta_i$ (resp. $\Delta_j$) formed respectively by the arcs $i$, $\ell_i$, and $\ell$ (resp. $j$, $\ell_j$ and $\ell$) and one triangle $\Delta'$ with exactly one side being a boundary segment. Now define the following cut $d'$ on $\tau'$:

$$d'(\alpha) = \begin{cases} 
  d(\alpha) & \text{if } \alpha \in Q(\tau) \cap Q(\tau'); \\
  1 & \text{if } \alpha \text{ is the arrow in } \Delta_i \text{ parallel to } i; \\
  1 & \text{if } \alpha \text{ is the arrow in } \Delta_j \text{ parallel to } \ell; \\
  0 & \text{else.} 
\end{cases}$$

It is straightforward to check that $d'$ is a cut for $\tau'$ satisfying (3) above.

Now there clearly exists $(\tau, d)$ satisfying (1), (2) and (3) in case $(\Sigma, M)$ has no punctures and is not a $n$-gon with $n \leq 4$. Moreover the next picture shows the existence of such a $(\tau, d)$ in case $(\Sigma, M)$ is a once punctured 4-gon, twice punctured 2 or 3-gon, and a monogon with three punctures.

The only remaining case is the case of a once punctured triangle. In this case, there does not exist a graded triangulation with properties (1), (2) and (3) but there clearly exists a valency $\leq 3$-triangulation and a cut such that the cut algebra has global dimension $\leq 2$. □
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