



Some problems in harmonic analysis on quantum groups

Supervisors

Quanhua Xu

Adam Skalski

Candidate

Simeng Wang

June 22, 2016

Outline

Introduction to abstract harmonic analysis on quantum groups

Convolutions of states and L^p -improving operators

Sidon sets and $\Lambda(p)$ -sets

Outline

Introduction to abstract harmonic analysis on quantum groups

Convolutions of states and L^p -improving operators

Sidon sets and $\Lambda(p)$ -sets

Classical frameworks

Abstract harmonic analysis studies Fourier series, integrals and related problems on **topological groups**. There are two important frameworks studied in recent decades:

- Harmonic analysis on compact groups
- Harmonic analysis on group algebras of discrete groups

Classical frameworks

Abstract harmonic analysis studies Fourier series, integrals and related problems on **topological groups**. There are two important frameworks studied in recent decades:

- Harmonic analysis on compact groups
- Harmonic analysis on group algebras of discrete groups

Observation:

- G a compact group \rightsquigarrow comultiplication on $C(G)$:
 $\Delta_G : C(G) \rightarrow C(G \times G), \quad \Delta_G(f)(s, t) = f(st), \quad s, t \in G.$
 $(C(G), \Delta_G)$ determines G .
- Γ a discrete group \rightsquigarrow group C^* -algebra $C_r^*(\Gamma)$ with comultiplication
 $\Delta_{C_r^*(\Gamma)} : C_r^*(\Gamma) \rightarrow C_r^*(\Gamma) \otimes C_r^*(\Gamma).$ $(C_r^*(\Gamma), \Delta_{C_r^*(\Gamma)})$ determines Γ .
(If Γ is abelian, we have a compact Pontryagin dual group $\hat{\Gamma}$ with
 $(C(\hat{\Gamma}), \Delta_{\hat{\Gamma}}) \cong (C_r^*(\Gamma), \Delta_{C_r^*(\Gamma)}).$)

Woronowicz's Compact quantum groups

We may extend the previous arguments in a more general framework, that is, **compact quantum groups**.

- A **compact quantum group** is a pair $\mathbb{G} = (A, \Delta)$, where:

A : a unital C^* -algebra, $\Delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ a $*$ -homomorphism s.t.

$$(\Delta \otimes \text{id})\Delta = (\text{id} \otimes \Delta)\Delta,$$

$$\overline{\text{span}}((1 \otimes A)\Delta(A)) = \overline{\text{span}}((A \otimes 1)\Delta(A)) = A \otimes A.$$

$A := C(\mathbb{G})$ is called the algebra of “continuous functions” on \mathbb{G} .

Woronowicz's Compact quantum groups

We may extend the previous arguments in a more general framework, that is, **compact quantum groups**.

- A **compact quantum group** is a pair $\mathbb{G} = (A, \Delta)$, where:

A : a unital C^* -algebra, $\Delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ a $*$ -homomorphism s.t.

$$(\Delta \otimes \text{id})\Delta = (\text{id} \otimes \Delta)\Delta,$$

$$\overline{\text{span}}((1 \otimes A)\Delta(A)) = \overline{\text{span}}((A \otimes 1)\Delta(A)) = A \otimes A.$$

$A := C(\mathbb{G})$ is called the algebra of “continuous functions” on \mathbb{G} .

- There exists a **Haar state** h on $C(\mathbb{G})$ which is “translate invariant”.

Towards Fourier analysis: the “dual group”

Recall the Fourier transform on a compact abelian group G ,

$\mathcal{F} : \text{functions on } G \rightarrow \text{functions on Pontryagin dual } \hat{G}$.

- G non-abelian: replace \hat{G} by $\text{Irr}(G)$ (irreducible representations)

Towards Fourier analysis: the “dual group”

Recall the Fourier transform on a compact abelian group G ,

\mathcal{F} : functions on $G \rightarrow$ functions on Pontryagin dual \hat{G} .

- G non-abelian: replace \hat{G} by $\text{Irr}(G)$ (irreducible representations)
- For a compact quantum group \mathbb{G} : $\text{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$?
 - **Unitary representation** of \mathbb{G} : $u = [u_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^n \in \mathbb{M}_n(C(\mathbb{G}))$ unitary s.t.

$$\forall 1 \leq j, k \leq n, \Delta(u_{jk}) = \sum_{p=1}^n u_{jp} \otimes u_{pk}.$$

$\text{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$: equivalent classes of all such irreducible representations u .
For each $\pi \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$ choose a representative $u^{(\pi)}$.

Towards Fourier analysis: the “dual group”

Recall the Fourier transform on a compact abelian group G ,

\mathcal{F} : functions on $G \rightarrow$ functions on Pontryagin dual \hat{G} .

- G non-abelian: replace \hat{G} by $\text{Irr}(G)$ (irreducible representations)
- For a compact quantum group \mathbb{G} : $\text{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$?

- **Unitary representation** of \mathbb{G} : $u = [u_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^n \in \mathbb{M}_n(C(\mathbb{G}))$ unitary s.t.

$$\forall 1 \leq j, k \leq n, \Delta(u_{jk}) = \sum_{p=1}^n u_{jp} \otimes u_{pk}.$$

$\text{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$: equivalent classes of all such irreducible representations u .
For each $\pi \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$ choose a representative $u^{(\pi)}$.

- All such matrix coefficients $u_{ij}^{(\pi)}$ ($\pi \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$) span a **dense** algebra of “**polynomials**” $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}) \subset C(\mathbb{G})$.

Towards Fourier analysis: the “dual group”

In the framework of locally compact quantum groups, there is a “dual” discrete quantum group, denoted $\hat{\mathbb{G}}$, subject to the following *-algebra

$$c_c(\hat{\mathbb{G}}) := \bigoplus_{\pi \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{G})} M_{n_\pi}(\mathbb{C}).$$

We may define the Fourier transform

$$\mathcal{F} : \text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow c_c(\hat{\mathbb{G}}), \quad x \mapsto \hat{x},$$

where

$$\hat{x}(\pi) = (h \otimes \text{id})((u^{(\pi)})^*(x \otimes 1)) = [h(u_{ji}^{(\pi)*} x)]_{i,j=1}^{n_\pi}, \quad \pi \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{G}).$$

(Recall: for a compact group G , $f \in C(G)$,

$$\hat{f}(\pi) = \int_G u^{(\pi)}(g)^* f(g) dm(g) = \left[\int_G u_{ji}^{(\pi)*} f dm \right]_{i,j=1}^{n_\pi} .)$$

L^p -Fourier series

Briefly, we obtain the Fourier transform

$$\mathcal{F} : \text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow c_c(\hat{\mathbb{G}}) := \bigoplus_{\pi \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{G})} \mathbb{M}_{n_\pi}(\mathbb{C}), \quad x \mapsto \hat{x}.$$

The map can be extended to L^p -spaces.

L^p -Fourier series

Briefly, we obtain the Fourier transform

$$\mathcal{F} : \text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow c_c(\hat{\mathbb{G}}) := \bigoplus_{\pi \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{G})} \mathbb{M}_{n_\pi}(\mathbb{C}), \quad x \mapsto \hat{x}.$$

The map can be extended to L^p -spaces.

- $(\pi_h, H_h) = \text{GNS of } \text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}) \text{ wrt } h, L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) = \pi_h(\text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}))'' \subset B(H_h).$

L^p -Fourier series

Briefly, we obtain the Fourier transform

$$\mathcal{F} : \text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow c_c(\hat{\mathbb{G}}) := \bigoplus_{\pi \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{G})} \mathbb{M}_{n_\pi}(\mathbb{C}), \quad x \mapsto \hat{x}.$$

The map can be extended to L^p -spaces.

- $(\pi_h, H_h) = \text{GNS of } \text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}) \text{ wrt } h, L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) = \pi_h(\text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}))'' \subset B(H_h).$
- Define $\|x\|_1 = \|h(\cdot x)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{G})^*}$ for $x \in \text{Pol}(\mathbb{G})$, and let $L^1(\mathbb{G})$ be the completion of $(\text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}), \|\cdot\|_1)$. Define $L^p(\mathbb{G})$ to be the complex interpolation space

$$L^p(\mathbb{G}) = (L^\infty(\mathbb{G}), L^1(\mathbb{G}))_{1/p}, \quad 1 \leq p \leq \infty.$$

L^p -Fourier series

Briefly, we obtain the Fourier transform

$$\mathcal{F} : \text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow c_c(\hat{\mathbb{G}}) := \bigoplus_{\pi \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{G})} \mathbb{M}_{n_\pi}(\mathbb{C}), \quad x \mapsto \hat{x}.$$

The map can be extended to L^p -spaces.

- $(\pi_h, H_h) = \text{GNS of } \text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}) \text{ wrt } h, L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) = \pi_h(\text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}))'' \subset B(H_h).$
- Define $\|x\|_1 = \|h(\cdot x)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{G})^*}$ for $x \in \text{Pol}(\mathbb{G})$, and let $L^1(\mathbb{G})$ be the completion of $(\text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}), \|\cdot\|_1)$. Define $L^p(\mathbb{G})$ to be the complex interpolation space

$$L^p(\mathbb{G}) = (L^\infty(\mathbb{G}), L^1(\mathbb{G}))_{1/p}, \quad 1 \leq p \leq \infty.$$

- Define $\ell^p(\hat{\mathbb{G}})$ on $c_c(\hat{\mathbb{G}})$ similarly.

L^p -Fourier series

Briefly, we obtain the Fourier transform

$$\mathcal{F} : \text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow c_c(\hat{\mathbb{G}}) := \bigoplus_{\pi \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{G})} \mathbb{M}_{n_\pi}(\mathbb{C}), \quad x \mapsto \hat{x}.$$

The map can be extended to L^p -spaces.

- (Hausdorff-Young inequality) We have the extension

$$\mathcal{F} : L^p(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow \ell^q(\hat{\mathbb{G}}) \text{ contraction, } \quad 1/p + 1/q = 1.$$

- (Plancherel theorem) $\mathcal{F} : L^2(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow \ell^2(\hat{\mathbb{G}})$ unitary.

More remark on $\hat{\mathbb{G}}$: non-unimodularity

Recall: a discrete group is always unimodular.

But the discrete quantum group $\hat{\mathbb{G}}$ can be **non-unimodular**.

There are different “left/right invariant” Haar weights on $\ell^\infty(\hat{\mathbb{G}})$, and a **modular element** F linking them,

$$F = (F_\pi)_{\pi \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{G})}, \quad F_\pi \in \mathbb{M}_{n_\pi}(\mathbb{C}).$$

It is possible $\|F\| = \sup_\pi \|F_\pi\| = +\infty$.

In fact, F is trivial iff h on $L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$ is **tracial**. Woronowicz used this F to implement the modular property of non-tracial Haar state h on \mathbb{G} .

Convolutions

- Recall: For a compact group G and $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in M(G)$,

$$\forall f \in C(G), \quad \int_G f d(\mu_1 \star \mu_2) = \int_{G \times G} f(gh) d\mu_1(g) d\mu_2(h).$$

If $d\mu_1 = f_1 dm$, $d\mu_2 = f_2 dm$ with $f_1, f_2 \in L^1(G)$, then “define”

$$(f_1 \star f_2) dm = (f_1 dm) \star (f_2 dm).$$

- Similarly, for a CQG \mathbb{G} and $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{G})^*$, we may define

$$\varphi_1 \star \varphi_2 = (\varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2) \circ \Delta.$$

This also induces the convolution $x_1 \star x_2$ for $x_1, x_2 \in L^1(\mathbb{G})$:

$$h(\cdot x_1 \star x_2) = h(\cdot x_1) \star h(\cdot x_2).$$

Convolutions on L^p -spaces

The modular element F gives rise to a **scaling group** τ of $*$ -automorphisms of $L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$ such that

$$\tau_t(u^{(\pi)}) = F_\pi^{\text{it}} u^{(\pi)} F_\pi^{\text{it}}, \quad \pi \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{G}).$$

Young's inequality (Liu-W.-Wu, 2015): for $x, y \in \text{Pol}(\mathbb{G})$ we have

$$\|\tau_{\frac{i}{p'}}(y) \star x\|_r \leq \|x\|_p \|y\|_q, \quad \frac{1}{r} + 1 = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}, \quad \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$$

where $1 \leq p, q, r \leq \infty$ if h is tracial, and $1 \leq p, q, r \leq 2$ for general cases (counterexample existing for $r = \infty$).

Remark: similar for LCQGs

Fourier multipliers

- **Multipliers:** Each $a = (a_\pi)_{\pi \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{G})} \in \prod_{\pi \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{G})} \mathbb{M}_{n_\pi}$ induces a map

$$m_a : \text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow \text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}), \quad m_a \chi = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(a\hat{\chi}).$$

We say a is a left bounded multiplier on $L^p(\mathbb{G})$ if m_a extends to a bdd map on $L^p(\mathbb{G})$. (similar def. for right multipliers)

$$M(L^p(\mathbb{G})) = \{\text{left \& right bdd multipliers on } L^p(\mathbb{G})\} \subset B(L^p(\mathbb{G})).$$

Fourier multipliers

- **Multipliers:** Each $a = (a_\pi)_{\pi \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{G})} \in \prod_{\pi \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{G})} \mathbb{M}_{n_\pi}$ induces a map

$$m_a : \text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow \text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}), \quad m_a x = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(a \hat{x}).$$

We say a is a left bounded multiplier on $L^p(\mathbb{G})$ if m_a extends to a bdd map on $L^p(\mathbb{G})$. (similar def. for right multipliers)

$$M(L^p(\mathbb{G})) = \{\text{left \& right bdd multipliers on } L^p(\mathbb{G})\} \subset B(L^p(\mathbb{G})).$$

- Daws, Neufang, Junge, Ruan (09'-12'): study completely bounded multiplier on $L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$. Easy to establish

$$\|a\|_{\ell^\infty(\hat{\mathbb{G}})} \leq \|a\|_{M_{cb}(L^\infty(\mathbb{G}))}.$$

Fourier multipliers

- **Multipliers:** Each $a = (a_\pi)_{\pi \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{G})} \in \prod_{\pi \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{G})} \mathbb{M}_{n_\pi}$ induces a map

$$m_a : \text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow \text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}), \quad m_a x = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(a\hat{x}).$$

We say a is a left bounded multiplier on $L^p(\mathbb{G})$ if m_a extends to a bdd map on $L^p(\mathbb{G})$. (similar def. for right multipliers)

$$M(L^p(\mathbb{G})) = \{\text{left \& right bdd multipliers on } L^p(\mathbb{G})\} \subset B(L^p(\mathbb{G})).$$

Proposition (Partially communicated by M. Junge)

$$\|F^{\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{2p}} a F^{-\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2p}}\|_{\ell^\infty(\hat{\mathbb{G}})} \leq \|a\|_{M(L^p(\mathbb{G}))}.$$

Outline

Introduction to abstract harmonic analysis on quantum groups

Convolutions of states and L^p -improving operators

Sidon sets and $\Lambda(p)$ -sets

Background

A classical question: construction of positive Borel probability measures μ so that for a given $p > 1$,

$$\exists p < q, \quad \forall f \in L_p(\mathbb{T}, \frac{dm}{2\pi}), \quad \|\mu \star f\|_q \leq \|f\|_p.$$

Oberlin (1982): the Cantor-Lebesgue measure supported by the usual middle-third Cantor set satisfies the above property.

In fact it suffices to show

$$\exists p < 2, \quad \|\mu \star f\|_2 \leq \|f\|_p, \quad f \in L_p(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}, P)$$

where P is the normalized counting measure, $\mu(\{0\}) = \mu(\{2\}) = \frac{1}{2}$.

Background

Motivated by Oberlin, Ritter (1984) showed that:

Theorem

If G is an arbitrary finite group and $T_\mu : f \mapsto \mu \star f$ is the convolution operator associated to a probability measure μ on G , then

$$(\exists p < 2, \|T_\mu : L^p(G) \rightarrow L^2(G)\| = 1) \Leftrightarrow G = \langle ij^{-1} : i, j \in \text{supp } \mu \rangle.$$

The operator T with $\|T : L^p \rightarrow L^2\| = 1$ for a $p < 2$ will be said to be L^p -improving throughout the presentation.

Motivating question

Similar constructions on (finite/compact) quantum groups?

Interpretation in the quantum language

Recall the classical result: for $T_\mu : f \mapsto \mu \star f$, $f \in C(G)$

$(\exists p < 2, \|T_\mu : L^p(G) \rightarrow L^2(G)\| = 1) \Leftrightarrow (*) G = \langle ij^{-1} : i, j \in \text{supp } \mu \rangle$.

Take $\nu = \mu(\cdot^{-1}) \star \mu$. It is a simple observation that

$$(*) \Leftrightarrow \forall f > 0, \exists n \geq 1, \int f d\nu^{*n} > 0.$$

This corresponds to an important notion in the study of random walks on quantum groups.

Definition (Vaes, Vergnioux 07; Kalantar, Neufang, Ruan 14)

A state ψ on $C(\mathbb{G})$ is **non-degenerate** if

$$\forall 0 \neq x \in C(\mathbb{G})_+, \exists n \geq 1, \psi^{*n}(x) > 0.$$

Main result

Theorem (W.)

Let \mathbb{G} be a finite quantum group and φ be a state on $C(\mathbb{G})$. Denote $\psi = (\varphi \circ S) \star \varphi$. Then

$$\exists 1 < p < 2, \forall x \in C(\mathbb{G}), \|\varphi \star x\|_2 \leq \|x\|_p$$

iff ψ is **non-degenerate**: $\forall 0 \neq x \in C(\mathbb{G})_+, \exists n \geq 1, \psi^{\star n}(x) > 0$.

Infinite case: a free product construction

Let \mathbb{G}_1 and \mathbb{G}_2 be two CQGs. There exist a CQG $\mathbb{G} := \mathbb{G}_1 \hat{*} \mathbb{G}_2$ s.t.

$$C(\mathbb{G}) = C(\mathbb{G}_1) * C(\mathbb{G}_2), \quad L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) = L^\infty(\mathbb{G}_1) \bar{*} L^\infty(\mathbb{G}_2).$$

Theorem (W.)

Let $\mathbb{G}_1, \dots, \mathbb{G}_n$ be finite quantum groups and let each φ_i be a state on $C(\mathbb{G}_i)$, $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Take $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{G}_1 \hat{*} \dots \hat{*} \mathbb{G}_n$ and denote by $\varphi = \varphi_1 * \dots * \varphi_n$ the conditionally free product. If for each i ,

$$\exists 1 \leq p < 2 \text{ s.t. } \forall x \in C(\mathbb{G}), \|\varphi_i \star x\|_2 \leq \|x\|_p,$$

then the convolution operator $T : x \mapsto \varphi \star x$, $x \in C(\mathbb{G})$ satisfies

$$\exists 1 < p' < 2, \quad \|T : L^{p'}(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{G})\| = 1.$$

Key ingredient I: L^p -improvement vs spectral gap

Theorem (W.)

Let A be a *finite* dimensional C^* -algebra equipped with a faithful tracial state τ , and $T : A \rightarrow A$ be a unital 2-positive trace preserving map on A . Then

$$\exists 1 < p < 2, \quad \|T : L^p(A) \rightarrow L^2(A)\| = 1$$

if and only if

$$\sup_{x \in A \setminus \{0\}, \tau(x)=0} \frac{\|Tx\|_2}{\|x\|_2} \left(= \sup_{\|x\|_2=1, \tau(x)=0} \langle |T|x, x \rangle_{L^2(A, \tau)}^{1/2} \right) < 1.$$

Also, the L^p -improving property is stable under free product.

Key ingredient II: non-degenerate states, applications

Proposition (W.)

If φ is a *non-degenerate* state on $C(\mathbb{G})$, then

$$w^* - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \varphi^{*k} = h.$$

This proposition yields useful results for studying quantum subgroups (\rightarrow next slides).

Key ingredient II: non-degenerate states, applications

Recently, Skalski-Sołtan discussed the quantum subgroups “generated by a quantum subset” via the **Hopf image** of Banica-Bichon. Each $*$ -representation π of $C(\mathbb{G})$ associates a quantum subgroup $\mathbb{G}_\pi \subset \mathbb{G}$. If G is a group and $X \subset G$ a closed subset with the restriction map $\pi : C(G) \rightarrow C(X)$, then $\mathbb{G}_\pi = \langle X \rangle$.

Corollary (Banica, Franz, Skalski 12; W.)

Let A be a unital C^* -algebra with a unital $*$ -homomorphism $\pi : C(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow A$, and $q : C(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{G}_\pi)$ the quotient map. Then given any faithful state φ on A ,

$$h_{\mathbb{G}_\pi} \circ q = w^* - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n (\varphi \circ \pi)^{*k}.$$

Key ingredient II: non-degenerate states, applications

This result is recently applied in a series of works of Banica on matrix models of quantum groups. For instance,

- (Banica 16) If $\mathbb{G} \subset O_n^*$, then we have a matrix model $C(\mathbb{G}) \subset \mathbb{M}_2(C(H))$ (H a compact Lie group) such that the Haar state of \mathbb{G} coincides with $tr \otimes \int$.
- (Banica 16) We have a Weyl matrix model $\pi : C(S_N^+) \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_N(C(H))$ ($H \subset U_n$) such that the image of π is a Hopf algebra and the associated Haar state coincides with $tr \otimes \int$.
- (Banica 16; Banica-Nechita 16) For the deformed Fourier matrix model $\pi : C(S_{MN}^+) \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{MN}(C(\mathbb{T}^{MN}))$, the law of the main character of the Hopf image is asymptotically free Poisson.

Outline

Introduction to abstract harmonic analysis on quantum groups

Convolutions of states and L^p -improving operators

Sidon sets and $\Lambda(p)$ -sets

Sidon sets: classical settings

Definition

Let G be a compact abelian group and $\Gamma = \hat{G}$ be the dual discrete group. A subset $E \subset \Gamma$ is called a **Sidon set** if

$$\forall (\alpha_\gamma) \subset \mathbb{C}, \quad \sum_{\gamma \in E} |\alpha_\gamma| \sim \left\| \sum_{\gamma \in E} \alpha_\gamma \gamma \right\|_{L^\infty(G)}.$$

- Various characterizations: interpolation of bounded measures, multipliers, $\Lambda(p)$ -estimations, unconditional bases...
- Typical examples: Rademacher functions; lacunarity in $\mathbb{Z} \dots$

Sidon sets: classical settings

Definition

Let G be a compact abelian group and $\Gamma = \hat{G}$ be the dual group. A subset $E \subset \Gamma$ is called a **Sidon set** if

$$\forall (\alpha_\gamma) \subset \mathbb{C}, \quad \sum_{\gamma \in E} |\alpha_\gamma| \sim \left\| \sum_{\gamma \in E} \alpha_\gamma \gamma \right\|_{L^\infty(G)}.$$

Noncommutative generalizations:

- $G \rightsquigarrow$ compact non-abelian group, $\Gamma \rightsquigarrow \text{Irr}(G)$;
- $\Gamma \rightsquigarrow$ discrete non-abelian group, $L^\infty(G) \rightsquigarrow L^\infty(\hat{\Gamma}) = VN(\Gamma)$ group vNa. ($\hat{\Gamma}$ the dual quantum group of Γ , $\text{Irr}(\hat{\Gamma}) = \Gamma$)

Sidon sets: classical settings

Let G be a compact group.

For $f \in L^\infty(G)$ and $\pi \in \text{Irr}(G)$, recall $\hat{f}(\pi) = \int_G f(g)u^{(\pi)}(g)^* dm(g)$,

$$\|\hat{f}\|_1 = \sum_{\pi \in \text{Irr}(G)} d_\pi \text{Tr}(|\hat{f}(\pi)|).$$

Theorem (Figà-Talamanca)

Consider $E \subset \text{Irr}(G)$. TFAE:

1. E is a *Sidon set*, i.e., $\text{supp}(\hat{f}) \subset E \Rightarrow \|\hat{f}\|_1 \sim \|f\|_\infty$;
2. $\bigoplus_{\pi \in E} \mathbb{M}_{n_\pi} = \{\hat{\mu}|_E : \mu \in M(G)\}$;
3. $\bigoplus_{\pi \in E}^{c_0} \mathbb{M}_{n_\pi} = \{\hat{f}|_E : f \in L^1(G)\}$.

Sidon sets: quantum group setting

Let \mathbb{G} be a compact quantum group. F the modular element for $\hat{\mathbb{G}}$. For $x \in L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$, $\pi \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$, recall $\hat{x}(\pi) = (h \otimes \text{id})((u^{(\pi)})^*(x \otimes 1))$,

$$\|\hat{x}\|_1 = \sum_{\pi \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{G})} d_\pi \text{Tr}(|\hat{x}(\pi)F_\pi|). \quad (d_\pi = \text{Tr}(F_\pi))$$

Theorem (W.)

Consider $E \subset \text{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$. TFAE:

1. E is a *Sidon set*, i.e., $\text{supp}(\hat{x}) \subset E \Rightarrow \|\hat{x}\|_1 \sim \|x\|_\infty$,
2. $\bigoplus_{\pi \in E} \mathbb{M}_{n_\pi} = \{\hat{\varphi}|_E : \varphi \in C_r(\mathbb{G})^*\}$;
3. $\bigoplus_{\pi \in E}^{c_0} \mathbb{M}_{n_\pi} = \{\hat{x}|_E : x \in L^1(\mathbb{G})\}$.

Sidon sets: classical settings revisited

The previous theorem improves a result of Picardello: (Picardello proved it in the special case that Γ is **amenable**)

Corollary

Let Γ be a discrete group (not necessarily amenable). TFAE:

1. $E \subset \Gamma$ is a **Sidon set**, i.e.,

$$\forall (\alpha_\gamma) \subset \mathbb{C}, \quad \sum_{\gamma \in E} |\alpha_\gamma| \sim \left\| \sum_{\gamma \in E} \alpha_\gamma \lambda(\gamma) \right\|_{VN(\Gamma)};$$

2. $E \subset \Gamma$ is a **strong Sidon set**, i.e.,

$$c_0(E) = \{f|_E : f \in A(\Gamma) (\cong L^1(\hat{\Gamma}))\}.$$

Remark: various generalizations vs amenability

- \mathbb{G} is called **coamenable** if $\epsilon : u_{ij}^{(\pi)} \mapsto \delta_{ij}$ is bdd on $L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$.
Recall our convention: $\hat{\Gamma} = (C_r^*(\Gamma), \Delta_{C_r^*(\Gamma)})$ being a compact quantum group. $\hat{\Gamma}$ is coamenable iff Γ is amenable.
- If \mathbb{G} is not coamenable, there are various (non-equivalent) analogue of Sidon sets: weak Sidon sets, interpolation sets of multipliers, unconditional Sidon sets, Leinert sets, etc.
(For $\hat{\Gamma}$: Pisier 95')

Sidon sets $\Rightarrow \Lambda(p)$ -sets

Definition

$E \subset \text{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$ is a $\Lambda(p)$ -set if

$$\|x\|_p \sim \|x\|_1, \quad \text{supp}(\hat{x}) \subset E.$$

It is well-known that if \mathbb{G} is a compact group or the compact dual of a discrete group, then any Sidon set for \mathbb{G} is a $\Lambda(p)$ -set for $1 < p < \infty$.

- Case for compact groups: Figà-Talamanca, Marcus-Pisier;
- Case for (dual of) discrete groups: Picardello, Harcharras...
- Case for compact quantum groups: more delicate –
Blendek-Michaliček 13': Helgason-Sidon \Rightarrow central $\Lambda(2)$ in Kac case.

Sidon sets $\Rightarrow \Lambda(p)$ -sets, Fourier multipliers

Theorem (W.)

Let $2 < p < \infty$ and $E \subset \text{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$. Then:

$$\|x\|_p \sim \|x\|_1, \quad \text{supp}(\hat{x}) \subset E,$$

if and only if

$$\forall a \in \bigoplus_{\pi \in E} \mathbb{M}_{n_\pi}, \quad \exists b \in M(L^p(\mathbb{G})), \quad b|_E = a.$$

Remark: the completely bdd version of above thm is not established yet.

(For $\mathbb{G} = \hat{\Gamma}$ dual of discrete group: Harcharras 99';

For $\mathbb{G} = G$ compact group: Hare-Mohanty 15'.)

Sidon sets $\Rightarrow \Lambda(p)$ -sets, Fourier multipliers

Theorem (W.)

Let $2 < p < \infty$ and $E \subset \text{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$. Then:

$$\|x\|_p \sim \|x\|_1, \quad \text{supp}(\hat{x}) \subset E,$$

if and only if

$$\forall a \in \bigoplus_{\pi \in E} \mathbb{M}_{n_\pi}, \quad \exists b \in M(L^p(\mathbb{G})), \quad b|_E = a.$$

Corollary

Any Sidon set for \mathbb{G} is a $\Lambda(p)$ -set for $1 < p < \infty$.

Observations on non-unimodularity I

Recall that we have a modular element F for $\hat{\mathbb{G}}$.

Theorem

Let $E \subset \text{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$ a $\Lambda(p)$ -set for $2 < p < \infty$. Then

$$\sup_{\pi \in E} \|F_{\pi}\| < +\infty.$$

Drinfeld-Jimbo deformation: a compact semi-simple Lie group $G \rightsquigarrow$ a compact quantum group G_q ($0 < q < 1$), with \hat{G}_q non-unimodular.

Corollary

$SU(2)_q$ does not admit infinite $\Lambda(p)$ -set for any $2 < p < \infty$.

Observations on non-unimodularity II

More words on noncommutative L^p :

Let h be non-tracial on \mathbb{G} (equivalently $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ non-unimodular)

- According to Kosaki 84': For each $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$, there is a complex interpolation scale $(L^p_{(\theta)}(\mathbb{G}))_{1 \leq p \leq \infty}$ between $L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$ and $L^\infty(\mathbb{G})_*$, which are isometrically isomorphic but **not equal**.

In the previous slides we have indeed taken $L^p(\mathbb{G}) = L^p_{(0)}(\mathbb{G})$.

- Casper 13': The boundedness of Fourier transform depends on θ .

Observations on non-modularity II

Proposition

Our definition of $\Lambda(p)$ -sets is independent of θ .

That is, let $2 < p < \infty$, $0 \leq \theta, \theta' \leq 1$ and $E \subset \text{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$. Then:

$$\|x\|_{L^p_{(\theta)}(\mathbb{G})} \sim \|x\|_{L^1_{(\theta)}(\mathbb{G})}, \quad \text{supp}(\hat{x}) \subset E,$$

if and only if

$$\|x\|_{L^p_{(\theta')}(\mathbb{G})} \sim \|x\|_{L^1_{(\theta')}(\mathbb{G})}, \quad \text{supp}(\hat{x}) \subset E.$$

Existence of $\Lambda(p)$ -sets

Theorem (Bożejko; W.)

Let (M, φ) be a vNa equipped with a normal faithful state φ . Let $B = \{x_i \in M : i \geq 1\}$ be an orthogonal system wrt φ s.t. $\sup_i \|x_i\|_\infty < \infty$. Then for each $1 \leq p < \infty$, there exists an infinite subset $\{x_{i_k} : k \geq 1\} \subset B$

$$\forall (c_k) \subset \mathbb{C}, \quad \left\| \sum_{k \geq 1} c_k x_{i_k} \right\|_{L^p(M)} \sim \left(\sum_{k \geq 1} |c_k|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Existence of $\Lambda(p)$ -sets

Corollary

Let \mathbb{G} be a CQG. Let $E \subset \text{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$ be an infinite subset with $\sup_{\pi \in E} d_{\pi} < \infty$. Then for each $1 \leq p < \infty$, there exists an infinite subset $E' \subset E$ s.t.

$$\|x\|_p \sim \|x\|_1, \quad \text{supp}(\hat{x}) \subset E'.$$

Some examples

- $\prod_k \mathbb{G}_k$ with $O_{N_k} \subset \mathbb{G}_k \subset U_{N_k}^+$: the collection of fundamental representations of all \mathbb{G}_k gives a (weak) Sidon set and a $\Lambda(p)$ -set for $1 < p < \infty$.
- $\prod_k \mathrm{SU}_{q_k}(2)$: the collection of fundamental representations of all $\mathrm{SU}_{q_k}(2)$ gives a Sidon set and a $\Lambda(p)$ -set for $1 < p < \infty$ iff $\inf_k q_k > 0$.
- O_N^+ admits no infinite central $\Lambda(p)$ -set for $p > 4$; but we can construct a concrete central $\Lambda(4)$ -set for $\mathrm{SU}_q(2)$.
- For any simply connected compact semi-simple Lie group G , the Drinfeld-Jimbo deformation G_q does not admit infinite (central) Sidon set.

Thank you very much!